



| LEA 02 | Discipline: Mandatory | Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3 |
|--|--|------------------------|
| <p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> | <p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transition or identify the need to re-evaluate an investment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff | |
| <p>Collaborative engagements</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transition or identify the need to re-evaluate an investment <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements | |
| <p>Service provider engagements</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transition or identify the need to re-evaluate an investment <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers | |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2019

Kinetic

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| Ⓜ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|--|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO TG | | - | n/a | | | | | | | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | Asset mix disclosed in OO 06 | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Fixed income AUM breakdown | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | Active ownership practices for listed assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | ESG incorporation practices for all assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 01 | Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 01 | Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 03 | Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO SAM 01 | Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 01 | Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 02 | Typical level of ownership in private equity investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 01 | Breakdown of property investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 02 | Breakdown of property assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 03 | Largest property types | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 01 | Breakdown of infrastructure investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 02 | Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 03 | Largest infrastructure sectors | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO HF 01 | Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| CCStrategy and Governance | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| SG 01 | RI policy and coverage | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 01 CC | Climate risk | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 02 | Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | ✓ | |
| SG 03 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 04 | Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 CC | Climate-issues roles and responsibilities | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 08 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 09 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| SG 09.2 | Assets managed by PRI signatories | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 10 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 11 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | ✓ | Private | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| SG 12 | Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 13 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 13 CC | | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 14 | Long term investment risks and opportunity | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 14 CC | | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 15 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 16 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 17 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 18 | Innovative features of approach to RI | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 19 | Communication | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| SG End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 02 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 03 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Types of screening applied | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | ESG issues in index construction | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Role in engagement process | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 07 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 08 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 09 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Engagement methods | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Examples of ESG engagements | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Securities lending programme | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 16 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 17 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Shareholder resolutions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Confidence building measures | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| CM1 01 | Assurance, verification, or review | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 02 | Assurance of last year's PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 03 | Other confidence building measures | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 04 | Assurance of this year's PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 05 | External assurance | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 06 | Assurance or internal audit | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 07 | Internal verification | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01 End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

Kinetic

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

| Select the services and funds you offer | % of asset under management (AUM) in ranges |
|--|---|
| Fund management | <input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% |
| Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products | <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50% |
| Other | <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50% |
| Total 100% | |

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 02 | Mandatory | Public | Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

4.5

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | | 896 | 256 | 388 |
| Currency | AUD | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | | 660 | 986 | 645 |

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the total assets at the end of your reporting year subject to an execution and/or advisory approach.

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | 100 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Fixed income | 0 | 0 |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | 0 | 0 |
| Hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Fund of hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | 0 | 0 |
| Money market instruments | 0 | 0 |
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

Yes

No

OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.

No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

| | |
|----------------|--|
| OO 09.1 | Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market. |
| | Developed Markets |
| 100 | |
| | Emerging Markets |
| 0 | |
| | Frontier Markets |
| 0 | |
| | Other Markets |
| 0 | |
| | Total 100% |
| 100% | |

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 11 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

| |
|---------------|
| Listed equity |
|---------------|

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 12 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

| | |
|---------|---|
| OO 12.1 | Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. |
|---------|---|

| |
|--------------|
| Core modules |
|--------------|

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

| |
|---|
| RI implementation directly or via service providers |
|---|

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| Direct - Listed Equity incorporation |
|--------------------------------------|

- Listed Equity incorporation

| |
|---|
| Direct - Listed Equity active ownership |
|---|

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

| |
|---|
| RI implementation via external managers |
|---|

| |
|----------------|
| Closing module |
|----------------|

- Closing module

| |
|-------------------|
| Peering questions |
|-------------------|

| | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO LE 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

| | |
|------------|---|
| OO LE 01.1 | Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies. |
|------------|---|

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

| Strategies | Percentage of internally managed listed equities |
|---|---|
| Passive | <input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% |
| Active - quantitative (quant) | <input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% |
| Active - fundamental and active - other | <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0% |
| Total 100% | |

Kinetic

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

At Kinetic we use a disciplined research and valuation process to uncover investment opportunities.

We focus on a company's ability to create shareholder wealth by generating a cash flow return on investment in excess of the firm's cost of capital.

A careful assessment of both quantitative and qualitative information on a company's business environment and strategies enables us to understand the key drivers of a company.

We model the company using our CFROI methodology to determine whether the market is correctly valuing the company. However, on occasion, a discounted cash flow methodology is more appropriate (such as for toll road investments).

We acknowledge the importance of sustainability for all companies and as such have formally incorporated ESG factors into our overall investment analysis. This formal incorporation of ESG factors reflects our obligation to clients to both maximise long term returns and manage risk. By improving our understanding of individual company's management of ESG issues, we aim to achieve above average, long term sustainable returns.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Our investment process remains consistent for every company we consider for investment and ESG factors are considered throughout the investment process.

With over 900 stocks in our investment universe we can be quite selective in the stocks we conduct in-depth research on. During this stage of the investment process we undertake a large number of company visits and engagements with company management. Our interrogation of company management focuses on the key criteria we use in our stock selection process, including any ESG related issues concerning us. We will also seek the views of other parties such as brokers, competitors and industry contacts to help verify the information provided by individual companies.

For companies to be re-rated, they generally require some type of positive or negative catalyst. An important part of our investment process is to focus on catalysts that will drive share price, and in some cases ESG factors may be the primary factor of the re-rating. For example a positive catalyst is a new technology that improves environmental efficiency or a negative catalyst is a potential litigation event driven by poor Corporate Governance.

Our evaluation of ESG issues is undertaken at the stock selection level. ESG issues are discussed with management and other relevant parties. Post management interaction, ESG issues and potential mitigates are discussed between the team in an open forum as part of the raft of issues that may impact upon a company's

ability to provide long term sustainable investment performance. In short our investment focus is not simply confined to the financial performance of the company and we will not knowingly invest in companies that we believe are causing irreparable damage to the environment, workplace or end consumers.

Environmental

We acknowledge that sustainable business practices will be a key determinate of long term profitability, with companies that are able to understand and actively manage sustainability considerations likely to achieve a competitive advantage. We see environmental issues as not only a potential investment constraint but also an opportunity, with many companies set to benefit from the push towards sustainability.

In general, our analysis of environmental factors will centre upon the following issues:

- Carbon intensity and consequent exposure to carbon pricing
- Water supply and management
- Impact on local environment and risk management
- Climate change
- Waste disposal, pollution and contamination
- Renewable energy generation

Environmental issues are considered on a case by case basis. The materiality of potential environmental issues will dictate the degree of analysis conducted. For example the impact of climate change is likely to have a much greater potential impact on an agricultural company than a biotechnology company. Accordingly an agricultural company will come under much greater scrutiny than a less exposed company. Moreover, increased extreme weather events may disproportionately impact on insurance companies. Such issues and impacts will be raised directly in company discussions and as well as using analysis from third party sources such as brokers, industry contacts and competitors.

An assessment will be made of each company's exposure to particular environmental factors and their relative preparedness for such eventualities. Our evaluation of this response will form part of our investment decision making process. We can model potential carbon taxes in our financial models and hence reflect this impact in our company valuations. Equally our analysis will also incorporate a consideration of potential opportunities that a company may be able to exploit. For example companies involved in renewable energy innovation may be able to develop new markets and build a long term sustainable business from hitherto embryonic markets. Debate and assessment of these issues will form part of our regular in house portfolio management process.

Social

Similarly to environmental issues, social issues affecting individual companies are assessed on a case-by-case basis and form part of the fundamental research process undertaken on all prospective investments. Social factors can be defined as those relating to human health and welfare and include the following factors:

- Occupational health and safety
- Workplace relations and working conditions
- Human rights and child labour
- Community engagement
- Workplace diversity
- Corporate culture and conduct
- Supply chain management

Social factors are relevant to all companies but their relative importance will vary across companies and also through time. Much of our analysis in this area will centre on mining companies and encompass such issues as their safety record, management of community relations and labour relations. While much of this analysis will involve discussions with companies and other sources, where possible, we will try to visit the actual mine site to independently assess the relevant social issues. However all companies will be subject to thorough review as reputational issues can affect all companies at any time.

Social issues such as employee, community and government relations are also important considerations and are particularly relevant when assessing companies with operations in developing countries. We aim to ensure the companies we invest in, treat their employees in a fair and ethical manner and are cognisant of the effect their operations have on the communities they interact with.

We also place emphasis upon a company's safety record and management's commitment to safety in the workplace, including analysis of lost time injury frequency rates (LTIFR rates) and stated safety policies. In general we aim to ensure companies are implementing best practice safety systems and look for evidence of improving safety metrics or continued excellence in this regard.

Governance

We currently maintain a written Proxy Voting Policy which deals with corporate governance issues. The policy details KinetiC's approach to proxy voting in relation to such issues as Board Independence, Committee Memberships and Director Remuneration. In accordance with this policy, we provide regular reporting on our voting activities.

Governance issues form a core consideration irrespective of the nature of the company's operations, with considerations such as the quality and independence of the company's Board and remuneration practices a key part of the investment decision. We will not entertain management that do not behave honestly and with integrity and who do not keep the market fully informed of their company's operations.

No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| SG 02 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 6 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{[hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf](http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf)}

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{[hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf](http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf)}

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on social factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf}

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf}

Attachment (will be made public)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf}

Attachment

Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf}

Attachment

Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

ESG incorporation approaches

Active ownership approaches

Reporting

Climate change

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| SG 03 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

We use a Conflicts of Interest Register which records possible conflict of interest situations and the manner in which we will manage them. This registers covers:

- conflicts between Kinetic and a client;
- conflicts arising when acting for two or more clients; and
- conflicts arising in relation to Kinetic and Third Party Service Providers.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Responsible investment is embedded in our culture and underpins our investment framework. As such it is part of our investment process and is front of mind when reviewing any investment. All investment ideas are discussed openly in the office and peer discussion and review will occur prior to any investment decision.

Each Portfolio Manager has a close understanding of the Kinetic ESG Policy and investment framework and this is implemented both individually and as a collective.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Attended conferences as a participant.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| SG 10 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 4 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2 Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

We supported the PRI Academy with 1 out of 4.5 staff completing the RI Fundamentals course and currently completing the RI Essentials course.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

We improved reporting practices and increased discussions with clients surrounding our disclosure of responsible investment practices. We also had adhoc discussions with companies we hold on their transparency and reporting regarding ESG factors.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
 - Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
 - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

Informally encouraged peers to join UNPRI

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
 - A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
 - Other, specify
- No

SG 10.3 Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

We frequently discuss Responsible Investment and what that means to Kinetic with several of our Service Providers.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| SG 12 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 4 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1 Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **PRI 1**

SG 13.1 Indicate whether the organisation undertakes scenario analysis and/or modelling and provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, not to assess future ESG/climate-related issues

SG 13.3 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Our investment decisions are driven by "bottom-up" research. We invest only in Australian listed small cap companies. We review sector weightings only as a risk overlay with ESG issues considered in the course of our normal pre and post investment due-diligence.

SG 15 **Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose** **Public** **Descriptive** **PRI 1**

SG 15.1 Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

- Yes
- No

Innovation

SG 18 **Voluntary** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

SG 18.1 Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

- Yes
- No

Communication

SG 19 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2, 6**

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| | |
|--|--|
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used | |
| Frequency | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | |
| URL | |
| {hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-ESG-Policy.pdf} | |
| URL | |
| {hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Kinetic-Proxy-Voting-Policy.pdf} | |

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

| | |
|--|--|
| Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries | |
| <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other information <p>We disclose details regarding engagement as and when requested by clients.</p> | |
| Frequency | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | |

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

| | |
|--|---|
| Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries | |
| | <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management</p> |
| | <p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p> |

Kinetic

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| LEI 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|

LEI 01.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

| | | |
|---|-----|---|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied | 100 | % |
| | | |

- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.




We are a bottom up equities manager and incorporate ESG issues into our fundamental stock and sector analysis. While we do use screening on occasions this is generally on an ad hoc basis and is not systematic enough to warrant inclusion.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEI 08 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

LEI 08.1

Indicate the ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis and the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis.

| ESG issues | Proportion impacted by analysis |
|----------------------|---|
| Environmental |  <p>Environmental</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p> |
| Social |  <p>Social</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p> |
| Corporate Governance |  <p>Corporate Governance</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p> |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEI 09 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

LEI 09.1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on a robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly.
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 10**Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 10.1

Indicate which aspects of investment analysis you integrate material ESG information into.

- Economic analysis

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Industry analysis

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Quality of management

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Analysis of company strategy

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Portfolio weighting

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Sensitivity and/or scenario analysis

Fair value/fundamental analysis

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%
- Other, specify

LEI 10.2 Indicate which methods are part of your process to integrate ESG information into fair value/fundamental analysis and/or portfolio construction.

- Adjustments to forecasted company financials (sales, operating costs, earnings, cash flows)
- Adjustments to valuation-model variables (discount rates, terminal value, perpetuity growth rates)
- Valuation multiples
- Other adjustments; specify

LEI 10.3 Describe how you integrate ESG information into portfolio weighting.

ESG issues are considered in the course of the fundamental research we undertake on all companies - whether owned or not owned in the portfolio. ESG issues can impact our portfolio weighting decision, for example we may reduce the target weight of a particular stock should it still be considered attractive if there is particular ESG factor that is of concern and quantifiable.

Where an ESG issue is not quantifiable then the company will be excluded from the portfolio.

LEI 10.4 Describe the methods you have used to adjust the income forecast / valuation tool

Within our models we assess the earnings and cost drivers and make adjustments accordingly.

Example: A company producing a carbon emitting commodity

We assess the long term revenue drivers of the company. This would include taking into account the long term demand as a function of the world changing to less carbon emitting commodities and the impact this will have on revenue. We would also include our forecasted price for coal for example, and where relevant this would also impact revenue. Where appropriate we would also include the cost of site remediation. These factors are included within our model and thus directly impact our valuation of the company.

Outputs and outcomes

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| LEI 12 | Voluntary | Public | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|

LEI 12.1 Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.

- Integration of ESG factors

Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration:

- Reduce or prioritise the investment universe
- Overweight/underweight at sector level
- Overweight/underweight at stock level
- Buy/sell decisions
- Engagement / Voting
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Kinetic

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy.

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

{[hyperlink:http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Kinetic-Engagement-Policy-Sep-2018.pdf](http://kineticip.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Kinetic-Engagement-Policy-Sep-2018.pdf)}

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to active ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Method of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other specify;
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other specify;
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes
- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|---|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers |

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Kinetic has in the past participated in collaborative engagements however in the last 12 months there have not been any relevant Australian small cap collaborations.

LEA 03

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

- Yes
 No

LEA 04

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Individual / Internal engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff. |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration |

LEA 04.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Kinetic has in the past participated in collaborative engagements however in the last 12 months there have not been any relevant Australian small cap collaborations.

LEA 05 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2**

LEA 05.1 Indicate if you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Individual / Internal engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes carried out by our internal staff. |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes via collaborative engagement activities. |

LEA 05.2 Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and review the progress of engagement activities.

| | |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |

| | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| LEA 05.3 | Additional information [Optional] |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|

Kinetic has in the past participated in collaborative engagements however in the last 12 months there have not been any relevant Australian small cap collaborations.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| LEA 06 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 2,4 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 06.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful. |
|-----------------|--|

- Yes
- No

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| LEA 07 | Voluntary | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 1,2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 07.1 | Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers. |
|-----------------|---|

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|--|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

LEA 07.2 Indicate the practices used to ensure information and insights collected through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|--|---|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

LEA 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Engagement activities are discussed and reviewed in our Team Meetings. This allows the Team to understand all engagement activities, learnings and provide feedback.

LEA 08 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **PRI 2**

LEA 08.1 Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|--|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |

Outputs and outcomes

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-------|
| LEA 10 | Voluntary | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 10.1 | Indicate which of the following your engagement involved. |
|----------|---|

- Letters and emails to companies
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with board/senior management
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with the CSR, IR or other management
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Visits to operations
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Visits to the supplier(s) from the 'company's supply chain
- Participation in roadshows
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Other

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------|
| LEA 12 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|--|
| LEA 12.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions. |
|----------|--|

| |
|----------|
| Approach |
|----------|

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy we sign off on
 - our own voting policy
 - our clients' requests or policies
 - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2 Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

All voting instructions are made by email (the team is CC on all voting emails). Confirmation of the vote cast is emailed back to the team prior to the closing date, this enables the team to ensure voting has occurred as they intended. A Voting Register for each client is maintained and a report detailing all activity is provided to all mandated clients quarterly.

Ensuring the team is CC in on all instruction and confirmation emails provides oversight and ensures the Kinetic Policy is adhered to.

LEA 14 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

LEA 14.1 Indicate if your organisation has a securities lending programme.

- Yes
- No

LEA 14.2 Describe why your organisation does not lend securities.

We are the fund manager and not the beneficial owner of the assets.

LEA 15 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2

LEA 15.1 Indicate the proportion of votes where you or the service providers acting on your behalf have raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.

- Vote(s) for selected markets
- Vote(s) for selected sectors
- Vote(s) relating to certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) on companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) for significant shareholdings
- On request by clients
- Other

LEA 15.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We have taken the above question to mean when we have had concerns with a company we have raised these concerns with the company 50-74% of the time.

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 16.1 Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which, you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, have communicated to companies the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.2 Indicate the reasons your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- Votes for selected markets
- Votes for selected sectors
- Votes relating to certain ESG issues
- Votes on companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Votes for significant shareholdings
- On request by clients
- Other

LEA 16.3 In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for the abstention or the vote against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

- Yes
- No

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| LEA 16.4 | Additional information. [Optional] |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|

When we vote against management our clients are notified of our reason prior to our lodging of the vote.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 17 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 17.1 | For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. |
|-----------------|--|

We do track or collect this information

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) |
|--|--------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| | % |
|--|---|

100

| | |
|--|--|
| | Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated |
|--|--|

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 19 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 19.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting. |
|-----------------|--|

- Yes
- No

Kinetic

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| CM1 01 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 02 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 03 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 04 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)