



LEA 02	Discipline: Mandatory	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p>	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Global Evolution

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Public							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Public							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Public	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	✓	Public	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Public		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Public	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Public							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	✓	Public							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Global Evolution

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Denmark

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

45

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2020

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		14	000	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		14	000	000	000

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income	100	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 07	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 07.1 Provide to the nearest 5% the percentage breakdown of your Fixed Income AUM at the end of your reporting year, using the following categories.

Internally managed	SSA	100
	Corporate (financial)	0
	Corporate (non-financial)	0
	Securitised	0
	Total	100%

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets	0
Emerging Markets	25
Frontier Markets	75
Other Markets	0
Total 100%	100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Fixed income SSA – engagement

- We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed income - SSA

Closing module

- Closing module

Peering questions

OO FI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	--	--------	---------	---------

OO FI 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies

SSA	Passive	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other	100
	Total	100%

OO FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

SSA	Developed markets	0
	Emerging markets	100
	Total	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Global Evolution

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) Valuation integration by artificial intelligence <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)

Other description (1)

Valuation integration by artificial intelligence to enhance precision of investment scales

Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Impact Investing through sovereign debt

Global Evolution, in partnership with our investors, is committed to leaving a legacy of impact investing, which assists in the process of lifting nations out of poverty.

Financing the debt that provides macroeconomic sustainability and goes into promoting productivity, and raising infrastructure, such as electricity production, health, water, security, transport, and school systems, has a significant impact on reducing poverty levels.

At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. There is a clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent. By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information.

Furthermore, through country visits, we conduct, among other things, extensive on-the-ground dialogue with policy makers discussing strategies to optimize their funding strategies to promote the swiftest and most sustainable economic development.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

The importance of ESG considerations

At Global Evolution we recognize the importance of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) considerations for investors and advisors globally and continue to help our investors achieve their individual ESG goals.

A strong, integrated, and active ESG approach has become a prerequisite for investment managers to operate in today's market, but certainly also an important selection criteria for many institutional investors.

Through our significant quantitative research effort, we have found that there is a strong business case for considering ESG dynamics as part of the investment process. ESG dynamics are highly correlated with returns - consequently bridging ESG with returns!

Global Evolution is an active signatory of UN Principles for Responsible Investment and UN Global Compact and continuously publishes research on the relevant subjects of ESG dynamics and investment process integration.

Global Evolution is also on the board of directors of the UNPRI Advisory Committee on Credit Ratings (ACCR) which actively takes ESG factors into account.

Global Evolution ESG Integration

Global Evolution has a strategic commitment to impact investment and ESG sustainability as an integrated part of our approach to investment. In terms of our ESG integration approach, we have incorporated ESG dynamics across our investment process through various proprietary quantitative econometric models.

For the full universe of emerging and frontier market countries, we construct proprietary ESG ratings, ESG-adjusted credit ratings, as well as statistical valuation signals for sovereign credit spreads and currencies based on ESG dynamics.

In all modesty, we consider ourselves as being at the forefront of ESG sovereign research and ESG sovereign investment integration. We continue to play an active role in the public domain through our ESG research agenda and our close collaboration with the World Bank, the UN, and other relevant stakeholders.

ESG Ratings

Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries across a set of more than 100 E, S and G indicators. Our ESG ratings are calculated in our ESG-simulator which is integrated into our proprietary IT systems and contributes valuable information to the investment process.

The ESG Ratings are optimized through simulations of the several variables and weightings and only includes indicators with substantial influence on the sustainable economic and socio-economic development of countries. In that context, the ESG dynamics are linked to long-term sovereign investments through sustainable development.

The ESG Ratings serve to inform our investment process and as ongoing input to our quantitative valuation and rating models.

Negative Screening Model

As part of the sovereign monitoring we operate with a negative screening model that provides a negative watch list for countries due to reasons pertaining to unsustainable levels of governance developments.

Key indicators are used as benchmarks, such as: political rights, civil liberties, democracy, corruption, failed state indicators, and whether the international financial institutions are willing to engage with a government. Deteriorations in such indicators may lead to exclusion from the investable universe of countries.

We prefer to use the carrot rather than the stick approach to encourage a government to act in a manner which we believe will be beneficial for the majority of its population. However, in the most extreme circumstances of government malfunction, we would not favor a strategy of positive engagement.

Valuation and Rating Model

Valuation Model:

By integrating fundamental macroeconomic, financial and ESG factors into our valuation models, Global Evolution estimates signals for valuations of sovereign credit spreads and currencies. The models are based on panel regression econometrics across the relevant emerging and frontier markets universe and then compares the fundamental fair value of the sovereign credit spreads and currencies with actual market levels. The models simulates over/under/fair valuation signals as well as their statistical significance to inform the investment process as leading indicators for credit spread and currency change.

Rating Model:

The Rating Model estimates high-frequency credit ratings, and takes advantage of the dynamics of the fundamentals estimated in the Valuation Model, including macroeconomic, financial and ESG factors. Such high-frequency dynamics in fundamentals are applied to adjust the official and low-frequency credit ratings provided by e.g. S&P and Moody's, and estimate ratings for non-rated countries. Such a proprietary methodology provides a monthly leading indicator for fundamentally deserved credit rating upgrades/downgrades that are not captured in the official credit ratings, and consequently provides the investment process with timely information on sovereign debt valuations.

No

SG 01 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	---	--------	-------------	---------

SG 01.6 CC	Indicate whether your organisation has identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and factored this into the investment strategies and products, within the organisation's investment time horizon.
------------	---

Yes

	Describe the identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and how they have been factored into the investment strategies/products.
--	--

Climate risk such as co2 emission, droughts, natural disasters, etc. are identified through data monitoring and analysis, and through such data directly integrated into valuation model approaches as part of positive screening. That informs directly our investment strategies and process

No

SG 01.7
CC

Indicate whether the organisation has assessed the likelihood and impact of these climate risks?

Yes

Describe the associated timescales linked to these risks and opportunities.

The timescales vary across type of indicator for climate risk; some take place gradually and slow (like CO₂ emission) while others take place quickly and almost without warning (like natural disasters). Through our quantitative valuation approach the implicit estimates the coefficients and likelihoods of events appearing.

No

SG 01.8
CC

Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?

Yes

No

SG 01.9
CC

Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.

Yes

Describe

Our dual objectives of sustainability improvements and return generation for our clients are integrated under the umbrella of the following nine pillars:

Philosophy Our philosophy and corporate values as a company rely on supporting progress towards sustainable growth and development in the countries in which we invest. In practice, this is accomplished by directly integrating sustainability into our investment decisions.

Vision Our vision is a world free of poverty driven by institutions, countries and companies.

Mission Our mission is to promote sustainable development in emerging market countries and companies around the world through our investments

Approach Our approach is to integrate sustainability indicators directly into our valuation assessments that directly and systematically inform investment decision-making

Framework Our proprietary approach leans on a unified framework articulated by the United Nation with the formulation of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Engagement Our engagement with governments and companies is conducted directly and indirectly and is an important avenue to influence decision-making at the issuer level to promote sustainable development.

Support Our support goes to a variety of initiatives and principles to promote such as the UN Principles of Responsible Investment, the UN Global Compact and similar initiatives with the purpose of standing by the thought-leadership that such initiatives represent.

Process Our Sustainability Process lays out the technical details of this Sustainability Policy[1] by describing in detail the definitions and indicators among other relevant process-oriented items.

Pledge Our pledge to our clients, colleagues, community, society, and the world is to diligently and analytically and with passion to direct our assets under management in a direction that supports and promotes sustainable development to the best of our ability.

These nine pillars are the cornerstones of our Sustainability Policy for our company.

[Our Sustainability Process is available as a separate and supporting document to this Sustainability Policy]

No

**SG 1.10
CC**

Indicate the documents and/or communications the organisation uses to publish TCFD disclosures.

- Public PRI Climate Transparency Report
- Annual financial filings
- Regular client reporting
- Member communications
- Other
- We currently do not publish TCFD disclosures

SG 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx](#)

- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx](#)

- Formalised guidelines on social factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx](#)

- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx](#)

- Asset class-specific RI guidelines

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Global evolution ESG BRIEF.pdf](#)

Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx](#)

Other, specify (1)

Other, specify (1) description

Valuation integration by artificial intelligence

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:SUSTAINABILITY MATTERS.pdf](#)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

File Attachment

[Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx \[88KB\]](#)

Time horizon of your investment

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

File Attachment

[Global evolution ESG BRIEF.pdf \[179KB\]](#)

Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

File Attachment

[UN Global Compact Communication on Progress Global Evolution 2020.docx \[238KB\]](#)

Climate change

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

File Attachment

[UN Global Compact Communication on Progress Global Evolution 2020.docx \[238KB\]](#)

Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attachment

Other RI considerations, specify (1)

Other description (1)

Valuation integration by artificial intelligence to enhance precision of investment scales

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment

File Attachment

[POLITICAL RISK.pdf \[177KB\]](#)

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

The policy is that various conflicts of interest are openly discussed and the CIO (and primary owner of the company) is the final decision maker responsible also to the Board.

No

SG 04	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 04.1 Indicate if your organisation has a process for identifying and managing incidents that occur within investee entities.

Yes

No

SG 04.2	Describe your process on managing incidents
----------------	---

this process is part of our ongoing investment management processes to monitor on a daily basis the developments in the countries in which we invest

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

on a weekly basis integrating valuations for the investment process of ESG developments

SG 06	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 06.1	List the main responsible investment objectives that your organisation set for the reporting year.
----------------	--

	Responsible investment processes
--	----------------------------------

- Provide training on ESG incorporation

	Key performance indicator
--	---------------------------

that key personnel is acquainted with our ESG incorporation practices

	Progress achieved
--	-------------------

indicator achieved

- Provide training on ESG engagement

	Key performance indicator
--	---------------------------

that key personnel is acquainted with our ESG engagement practices

Progress achieved

indicator achieved

- Improved communication of ESG activities within the organisation

Key performance indicator

communication to key personnel when ESG reporting and incidents occur

Progress achieved

indicator achieved

- Improved engagement to encourage change with regards to management of ESG issues

Key performance indicator

this is a highly important issue; change management in the context of SDG and ESG integration. There is communicated and discussed internally.

Progress achieved

indicator achieved

- Improved ESG incorporation into investment decision making processes

Key performance indicator

integration of ESG indicators into not only negative screening models but also positive screening quantitative econometric models used in the investment process

Progress achieved

indicator achieved

- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

Financial performance of investments

- Increase portfolio performance by consideration of ESG factors

Key performance indicator

integrate ESG dynamics in the valuation models

Progress achieved

when done properly, it is clear that integrating ESG dynamics in the valuation models we use has led to superior performance of the investments.

- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

ESG characteristics of investments

- Over or underweight companies based on ESG characteristics

Key performance indicator

take valuation signals into account in investment management

Progress achieved

kpi achieved

- Improve ESG ratings of portfolio

Key performance indicator

Develop and improve esg ratings of proprietary nature

Progress achieved

kpi achieved

- Setting carbon reduction targets for portfolio
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

Other activities

- Joining and/or participation in RI initiatives

Key performance indicator

involve key personnel in RI initiative

Progress achieved

kpi achieved such as conference apticipation; and the participation of our reserach dircetor in the UNPRI ACCR committee as Chairman of the Board

- Encouraging others to join a RI initiative
- Documentation of best practice case studies

Key performance indicator

document our results from integrating ESG dynamics to the investment process

Progress achieved

kpi achieved

- Using case studies to demonstrate engagement and ESG incorporation to clients

Key performance indicator

upon request, illustrate to clients how ESG is incorporated into the investment process

Progress achieved

kpi achieved

- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

SG 06.2 Additional information.

our process also involves integrating ESG indicators into artificial intelligence models for valuations of the assets we are investing in. This is a highly innovative endeavour and positions us uniquely as an asset manager that substantially integrates ESG dybamics in the investment process

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1	Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.
----------------	---

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
COO
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
----------------	---

THROUGH INFORMING THE INVESTMENT PROCESS where individuals with such responsibilities participate directly

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

Number

2

SG 07 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	--	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 07.5 CC	Indicate the roles in the organisation that have oversight, accountability and/or management responsibilities for climate-related issues.
-------------------	---

Board members or trustees

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (CRO), Investment Committee

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Other Chief-level staff or heads of departments

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Portfolio managers

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Investment analysts

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Dedicated responsible investment staff

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Investor relations

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

SG 07.6 CC For board-level roles that have climate-related issue oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how these responsibilities are executed.

board member are informed and cognizant of our ESG policies and practices

SG 08	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

SG 08.1 Indicate if your organisation’s performance management, reward and/or personal development processes have a responsible investment element.

Board members/Board of trustees

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan

SG 08.2 Describe any activities undertaken during the reporting year to develop and maintain Board members’ skills and knowledge in relation to responsible investment.

there has been information to board members to the extent that they have full insights into the ESG and sustainability policy we have and the reasons for the herein priorities

- None of the above

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee

SG 08.1a | RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b | RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Other C-level staff or head of department

COO

SG 08.1a | RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b | RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Portfolio managers

SG 08.1a | RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b | RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Investment analysts

SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Dedicated responsible investment staff

SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

SG 08.3 Provide any additional information on your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes in relation to responsible investment.

for each employee RI compliance and engagement is a part of their appraisal process

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

We have developed proprietary SDG ratings and successfully proved the statistically strong links to performance in our asset class. Furthermore, we develop proprietary AI driven ESG indices to inform our investment process

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We have developed proprietary SDG ratings and successfully proved the statistically strong links to performance in our asset class. Furthermore, we develop proprietary AI driven ESG indices to inform our investment process

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
em investors alliance.org

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

advisory

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
world bank

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We have developed proprietary SDG ratings and successfully proved the statistically strong links to performance in our asset class. Furthermore, we develop proprietary AI driven ESG indices to inform our investment process

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

b. Impact Investing Symposium: In November 2017, Global Evolution hosted an Impact Investing symposium in our US office at One World Trade Center. The symposium was titled “Emerging Market Sovereign Debt Investing: The Future of Development Finance,” and included speakers and panelists from the UN-PRI, the IMF, and the World Bank, as well as, importantly, the Central Bank governor for eight emerging market economies—one ingredient of our direct engagement with policy makers in the countries in which we invest. We hosted over 35 participants representing a range of organizations in the financial industry.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

Description

f. UVM Sustainable Innovation MBA Program: In 2017, we hosted a practicum project for students from the University of Vermont Sustainable Innovation MBA program, ranked the #1 Green MBA Program by the Princeton Review. These students spent time at Global Evolution’s headquarters in Denmark, learning the day to day aspects of the business. They wrote a final report on the state of asset management in the US market, and provided recommendations on how to improve our ESG marketing in North America. We are hosting a similar project with students from the program in 2018.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

in development of valuation models for investment management as part of our esg integrations

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

mainly at client meetings and conference speaking

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

frequent conference participation e.g. as a panelist at UNPRI In Person 2017 in Berlin

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

publication of research and investment related issues that integrate ESG dynamics

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

to clients and partners we recommend and put in contact with PRI

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)

Description

world bank, Government pension investment fund of Japan

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

Description

e.g. in the USA based magazine CIO Magazine on the importance of integrating ESG

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify

Description

ACCR Chairman of the Board

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

Other, specify

No

SG 10.3

Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

Communicating and engaging with our stakeholders: Our corporate communication is both internal to employees and board members as well as external to stakeholders such as clients and affiliated consultants as well as the media and other stakeholders. With our continued growth, we are increasingly aware of the role we play in the markets, economies and societies in which we practice. Our engagement with stakeholders on the ten principles and our proprietary approach to ESG modeling and country investment selection is consequently essential to our business operations. In client meetings, we consistently stress the significance of our dedication to the Global Compact principles reflected in our choice of ESG factors in our proprietary modeling. In addition, we experience that the interaction with our clients is also cross-directional since clients increasingly display this focus and seek discussions on these important issues. Consequently, our ESG deliberations and careful analysis as part of the investment processes will play a central role in our screening and portfolio allocations going forward.

UNPRI Advisory Committee on Credit Ratings Report: Global Evolution co-authored a report by the UNPRI ACCR in 2017. As Chairman of the Board at the UNPRI ACCR committee, Ole Hagen Jorgensen, Global Evolution Research Director, coauthored the report SHIFTING PERCEPTIONS: ESG, CREDIT RISK AND RATINGS (PART 1: THE STATE OF PLAY).

ESG dissemination and conference participation: Ole Jorgensen, Research Director, speaks at a number of conferences regarding integrating ESG into the investment process. Notably, in September 2017, Ole spoke on a panel about ESG in Credit Ratings at the UNPRI "In Person" Conference in Berlin, Germany.

SG 11

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 4,5,6

SG 11.1

Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or regulators in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.

 Yes

If yes

- Yes, individually
- Yes, in collaboration with others

SG 11.2

Select the methods you have used.

- Endorsed written submissions to governments, regulators or public policy-makers developed by others
- Drafted your own written submissions to governments, regulators or public-policy markers
- Participated in face-to-face meetings with government members or officials to discuss policy
- Other, specify

specify description

In November 2017, Global Evolution hosted an Impact Investing symposium in our US office at One World Trade Center.

SG 11.3

Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.

- Yes, publicly available
- No
- No

SG 11.4

Provide a brief description of the main topics your organisation has engaged with public policy-makers or regulators on.

especially governance and resilience to climate related risks:

Impact Investing Symposium: In November 2017, Global Evolution hosted an Impact Investing symposium in our US office at One World Trade Center. The symposium was titled "Emerging Market Sovereign Debt Investing: The Future of Development Finance," and included speakers and panelists from the UN-PRI, the IMF, and the World Bank, as well as, importantly, the Central Bank governor for eight emerging market economies-one ingredient of our direct engagement with policy makers in the countries in which we invest. We hosted over 35 participants representing a range of organizations in the financial industry.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants

SG 12.4

Indicate whether you use investment consultants for any the following services. Describe the responsible investment components of these services.

- Custodial services
- Investment policy development
- Strategic asset allocation
- Investment research

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

though integrating esg dynamics into the quantitative process

- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

this research area will be addressed through AI in the future (mainly NLP)

- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe

this research area will be addressed through AI in the future (mainly NLP)

- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 13 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	---	--------	-------------	---------

SG 13.4 CC

Describe how your organisation is using scenario analysis to manage climate-related risks and opportunities, including how the analysis has been interpreted, its results, and any future plans.

- Initial assessment

Describe

Our research will be addressed through AI in the future (mainly NLP)

- Incorporation into investment analysis

Describe

Our research will be addressed through AI in the future in valuation modeling for bonds and currencies on emerging market countries and corporations (mainly NLP and machine learning)

Inform active ownership

Other

SG 13.5
CC

Indicate who uses this analysis.

Board members, trustees, C-level roles, Investment Committee

Portfolio managers

Dedicated responsible investment staff

External managers

Investment consultants/actuaries

Other

SG 13.6
CC

Indicate whether your organisation has evaluated the potential impact of climate-related risks, beyond the investment time horizon, on its investment strategy.

Yes

Describe

our valuation models do forecasts which addresses this

No

SG 13.7
CC

Indicate whether a range of climate scenarios is used.

Analysis based on a 2°C or lower scenario

Analysis based on an abrupt transition, consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response

Analysis based on a 4°C or higher scenario

No, a range is not used

SG 13.8
CC

Indicate the climate scenarios your organisation uses.

Provider	Scenario used	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Beyond 2 Degrees Scenario (B2DS)	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) 2 Degrees scenario	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS)	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> New Policy Scenario (NPS)	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Current Policy Scenario (CPS)	
IRENA	<input type="checkbox"/> RE Map	
Greenpeace	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced Energy [R]evolution	
Institute for Sustainable Development	<input type="checkbox"/> Deep Decarbonisation Pathway Project (DDPP)	
Bloomberg	<input type="checkbox"/> BNEF reference scenario	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> RPC 6	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> RPC 4.5	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> RPC 2.6	
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (1)	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Other (1) please specify:</div> no range is used
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (2)	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (3)	

SG 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	---	--------	---------------------	-------

SG 14.1

Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.

- Changing demographics
- Climate change
- Resource scarcity
- Technological developments
- Other, specify(1)
- Other, specify(2)
- None of the above

SG 14.2

Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity

- Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
- Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments
- Phase out your investments in your fossil fuel holdings
- Reduced portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
- Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
- Sought climate change integration by companies
- Sought climate supportive policy from governments
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.3

Indicate which of the following tools the organisation uses to manage climate-related risks and opportunities.

- Scenario analysis
- Disclosures on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
- Climate-related targets
- Encouraging internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks
- Emissions-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
- Weighted average carbon intensity
- Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)
- Portfolio carbon footprint
- Total carbon emissions
- Carbon intensity
- Exposure to carbon-related assets
- Other emissions metrics
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.4	If you selected disclosure on emissions risks, list any specific climate related disclosure tools or frameworks that you used.
----------------	--

data from our data provider is excellent (maplecroft)

SG 14.5	Additional information [Optional]
----------------	-----------------------------------

various indicators are tracked; not just one (one have to review several different nuances for such an indicator whjich is why we have several similar indicatorsd sahedding light on vartious nueances of carbon emissions).

SG 14 CC	Voluntary	Public	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	---------

SG 14.6 CC	Provide further details on the key metric(s) used to assess climate-related risks and opportunities.
-----------------------	--

Metric Type	Coverage	Purpose	Metric Unit	Metric Methodology
Climate-related targets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Weighted average carbon intensity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Portfolio carbon footprint	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Total carbon emissions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Carbon intensity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Exposure to carbon-related assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets	climate resilience	number	data
Other emissions metrics	<input type="checkbox"/> All assets <input type="checkbox"/> Majority of assets <input type="checkbox"/> Minority of assets			

SG 14.7
CC Describe in further detail the key targets.

Targettype	Baseline year	Target year	Description	Attachments
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Absolute target <input type="checkbox"/> Intensity target	2020	2020	unchanged number	
<input type="checkbox"/> Absolute target <input type="checkbox"/> Intensity target				
<input type="checkbox"/> Absolute target <input type="checkbox"/> Intensity target				
<input type="checkbox"/> Absolute target <input type="checkbox"/> Intensity target				
<input type="checkbox"/> Absolute target <input type="checkbox"/> Intensity target				

SG 14.8
CC Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.

Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management

Please describe

We integrate these issues as part of our risk management through negative ESG screening

Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management

SG 14.9
CC Indicate whether your organisation, and/or external investment manager or service providers acting on your behalf, undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

- Yes
- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities.
- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

SG 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------

SG 15.1 Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

Yes

SG 15.2 Indicate the percentage of your total AUM invested in environmental and social themed areas.

%

100

SG 15.3

Specify which thematic area(s) you invest in, indicate the percentage of your AUM in the particular asset class and provide a brief description.

Area

- Energy efficiency / Clean technology
- Renewable energy
- Green buildings
- Sustainable forestry
- Sustainable agriculture
- Microfinance
- SME financing
- Social enterprise / community investing
- Affordable housing
- Education
- Global health
- Water
- Other area, specify

all environmental and social aspects are taken into account when investing; not individual issues only.

Asset class invested

- Fixed income - SSA

Percentage of AUM (+/-5%) per asset class invested in the area

100

- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income - Securitised

Brief description and measures of investment

all environmental and social aspects are taken into account when investing; not individual issues only.

No

SG 15.4

Please attach any supporting information you wish to include. [OPTIONAL]

[File 1:Global Evolution Sustainability Policy.docx](#)

Innovation

SG 18	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 18.1	Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.
----------------	--

Yes

SG 18.2	Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.
----------------	---

our artificial intelligence approach to valuation of the assets we invest in integrate ESG dynamics. This has never been done before in our asset class, and our clients say that we are highly innovative in this area. Feel free to contact us if you would like to know more (jorgensen at globalevolution.com)

No

Communication

SG 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2, 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------

SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.
----------------	---

	Fixed income
--	--------------

	Do you disclose?
--	------------------

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Global Evolution

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.
----------------	---

SSA	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	0
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	100
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
		100%

FI 01.2	Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.
----------------	--

At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive ground-breaking research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. We have found clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent.

The quantitative valuation models effectively provide us with statistically significant valuation signals that are partly based on ESG dynamics and partly based on macroeconomic, financial, and fiscal dynamics. The models provide us with such statistically significant valuation signals, i.e. "trade ideas", at a weekly basis which informs our investment process.

By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information. Our quantitative approach reveals a clear ESG historical "dividend" which is conducive for a successful investment process.

for those reasons we have chosen ESG strategies and combine various factors to become as well informed as possible

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
----------------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- Other, specify

	specify description
--	---------------------

- compliance reviews are more and more prevalent by compliance department
- None of the above

FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
----------------	--

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify

valuation models directly illustrate ESG contribution to trade ideas

None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 04.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

	SSA			
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 04.2	Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income
----------------	--

NEGATIVE SCREENING

Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries. Our sovereign exclusion process is based on the levels and dynamics of our ESG ratings in order to convey our corporate values for ethical and responsible investing.

POSITIVE SCREENING

To inform our investment process, we integrate ESG indicators into our valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates. E, S, and G indicators are integrated into econometric valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and forecasting power.

In addition, our proprietary ESG-adjusted sovereign credit ratings also serve to inform our investment process by providing a high-frequent outlook and rating for sovereign creditworthiness.

FI 05	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 05.1	Provide examples of how ESG factors are included in your screening criteria.
----------------	--

Example 1

Type of fixed income
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSA
ESG factors
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input type="checkbox"/> Governance
Screening
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative/ exclusionary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive/ best-in-class
Description of how ESG factors are used as the screening criteria
<p>NEGATIVE SCREENING</p> <p>Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries. Our sovereign exclusion process is based on the levels and dynamics of our ESG ratings in order to convey our corporate values for ethical and responsible investing.</p> <p>POSITIVE SCREENING</p> <p>To inform our investment process, we integrate ESG indicators into our valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates. E, S, and G indicators are integrated into econometric valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and forecasting power.</p> <p>In addition, our proprietary ESG-adjusted sovereign credit ratings also serve to inform our investment process by providing a high-frequent outlook and rating for sovereign creditworthiness.</p> <p>At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive ground-breaking research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. We have found clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent.</p> <p>The quantitative valuation models effectively provide us with statistically significant valuation signals that are partly based on ESG dynamics and partly based on macroeconomic, financial, and fiscal dynamics. The models provide us with such statistically significant valuation signals, i.e. "trade ideas", at a weekly basis which informs our investment process.</p> <p>By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information. Our quantitative approach reveals a clear ESG historical "dividend" which is conducive for a successful investment process.</p>

Example 2

Type of fixed income
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSA
ESG factors
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social
<input type="checkbox"/> Governance
Screening
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative/ exclusionary
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive/ best-in-class
Description of how ESG factors are used as the screening criteria
<p>NEGATIVE SCREENING</p> <p>Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries. Our sovereign exclusion process is based on the levels and dynamics of our ESG ratings in order to convey our corporate values for ethical and responsible investing.</p> <p>POSITIVE SCREENING</p> <p>To inform our investment process, we integrate ESG indicators into our valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates. E, S, and G indicators are integrated into econometric valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and forecasting power.</p> <p>In addition, our proprietary ESG-adjusted sovereign credit ratings also serve to inform our investment process by providing a high-frequent outlook and rating for sovereign creditworthiness.</p> <p>At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive ground-breaking research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. We have found clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent.</p> <p>The quantitative valuation models effectively provide us with statistically significant valuation signals that are partly based on ESG dynamics and partly based on macroeconomic, financial, and fiscal dynamics. The models provide us with such statistically significant valuation signals, i.e. "trade ideas", at a weekly basis which informs our investment process.</p> <p>By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information. Our quantitative approach reveals a clear ESG historical "dividend" which is conducive for a successful investment process.</p>

Example 3

Type of fixed income
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSA
ESG factors
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance
Screening
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative/ exclusionary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive/ best-in-class
Description of how ESG factors are used as the screening criteria
<p>NEGATIVE SCREENING</p> <p>Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries. Our sovereign exclusion process is based on the levels and dynamics of our ESG ratings in order to convey our corporate values for ethical and responsible investing.</p> <p>POSITIVE SCREENING</p> <p>To inform our investment process, we integrate ESG indicators into our valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates. E, S, and G indicators are integrated into econometric valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and forecasting power.</p> <p>In addition, our proprietary ESG-adjusted sovereign credit ratings also serve to inform our investment process by providing a high-frequent outlook and rating for sovereign creditworthiness.</p> <p>At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive ground-breaking research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. We have found clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent.</p> <p>The quantitative valuation models effectively provide us with statistically significant valuation signals that are partly based on ESG dynamics and partly based on macroeconomic, financial, and fiscal dynamics. The models provide us with such statistically significant valuation signals, i.e. "trade ideas", at a weekly basis which informs our investment process.</p> <p>By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information. Our quantitative approach reveals a clear ESG historical "dividend" which is conducive for a successful investment process.</p>

Example 4

Type of fixed income
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSA
ESG factors
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social <input type="checkbox"/> Governance
Screening
<input type="checkbox"/> Negative/ exclusionary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive/ best-in-class
Description of how ESG factors are used as the screening criteria
integrated indicator in our econometric valuation model

Example 5

Type of fixed income
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSA
ESG factors
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance
Screening
<input type="checkbox"/> Negative/ exclusionary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive/ best-in-class
Description of how ESG factors are used as the screening criteria
integrated indicator in our econometric valuation model

FI 05.2	Additional information.
---------	-------------------------

PHILOSOPHY

In partnership with our investors, we are committed to leaving a legacy of impact investing, which assists in the process of lifting nations out of poverty.

NEGATIVE SCREENING

Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries. Our sovereign exclusion process is based on the levels and dynamics of our ESG ratings in order to convey our corporate values for ethical and responsible investing.

POSITIVE SCREENING

To inform our investment process, we integrate ESG indicators into our valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates. E, S, and G indicators are integrated into econometric valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and forecasting power.

In addition, our proprietary ESG-adjusted sovereign credit ratings also serve to inform our investment process by providing a high-frequent outlook and rating for sovereign creditworthiness.

At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive ground-breaking research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. We have found clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent.

The quantitative valuation models effectively provide us with statistically significant valuation signals that are partly based on ESG dynamics and partly based on macroeconomic, financial, and fiscal dynamics. The models provide us with such statistically significant valuation signals, i.e. "trade ideas", at a weekly basis which informs our investment process.

By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information. Our quantitative approach reveals a clear ESG historical "dividend" which is conducive for a successful investment process.

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
FI 06.1	Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.			

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin: 5px 0;">other description</div> risk management audit on quarterly basis <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

(B) Implementation: Thematic

FI 07	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------

FI 07.1 Indicate what proportion of your thematic investments are (totalling up to 100%):

- Green/SDG bonds linked to environmental goals
- Social/SDG bonds linked to social goals
- Sustainability/SDG bonds (combination of green and social linked to multiple SDG categories)

% 100

100

- Other

FI 07.2 Describe your organisation's approach to thematic fixed income investing

The purpose of this Sustainability thematic approach is to state our philosophy, vision, mission, approach, framework, engagement, principles supported, process of investing, and to articulate to stakeholders our pledge that we will diligently and analytically to the best of our ability integrate sustainability in investment decisions.

Sustainability is a cornerstone in our investment philosophy[1]. The ambitions for sustainable development and assessments non-financial risk of emerging markets is essential to address when investing in their sovereign and

corporate securities. Holistically, there is a dual perspective from an investment perspective for addressing sustainability-issues which is oriented towards both ethical considerations as well we business-related issues:

First, the ethical dimension is at the core of our corporate philosophy. In partnership with our clients and stakeholders, we are committed to leaving a legacy of impact investing, which assists countries in lifting them out of poverty on paths to sustained development. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects of development help shape the development of societies, and we favor engagement rather than isolation of countries. We believe that a sustainable development of E, S, and G areas will help reach the sustainable development goals (SDGs) with the ambition of eradicating poverty with sustainable use of nature's resources.

Second, the business-related issues for considering sustainability in an investment context is due to the link between sustainability and creditworthiness of countries and companies. Our research has found strong statistical evidence that there is a historical correlation between indicators of sustainability and the prices of what we invest in. Consequently, ethical motives aside, there is a strong business case for integrating ESG and SDG dynamics in investment decisions.

These dual objectives are integrated under the umbrella of the following nine pillars:

Philosophy Our philosophy and corporate values as a company rely on supporting progress towards sustainable growth and development in the countries in which we invest. In practice, this is accomplished by directly integrating sustainability into our investment decisions.

Vision Our vision is a world free of poverty driven by institutions, countries and companies.

Mission Our mission is to promote sustainable development in emerging market countries and companies around the world through our investments

Approach Our approach is to integrate sustainability indicators directly into our valuation assessments that directly and systematically inform investment decision-making

Framework Our proprietary approach leans on a unified framework articulated by the United Nation with the formulation of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Engagement Our engagement with governments and companies is conducted directly and indirectly and is an important avenue to influence decision-making at the issuer level to promote sustainable development.

Support Our support goes to a variety of initiatives and principles to promote such as the UN Principles of Responsible Investment, the UN Global Compact and similar initiatives with the purpose of standing by the thought-leadership that such initiatives represent.

Process Our Sustainability Process lays out the technical details of this Sustainability Policy[2] by describing in detail the definitions and indicators among other relevant process-oriented items.

Pledge Our pledge to our clients, colleagues, community, society, and the world is to diligently and analytically and with passion to direct our assets under management in a direction that supports and promotes sustainable development to the best of our ability.

These nine pillars are the cornerstones of our Sustainability Policy for our company.

[1] This Sustainability Policy was first formulated in 2012 in the form of an ESG Policy. This version is an updated version that integrates the sustainable development goals.

[2] Our Sustainability Process is available as a separate and supporting document to this Sustainability Policy

FI 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 08.1	Indicate whether you encourage transparency and disclosure relating to the issuance of themed bonds as per the Green Bonds Principles, Social Bond Principles, or Sustainability Bond Guidelines..
----------------	--

- We require that themed bond proceeds are only allocated to environmentally or socially beneficial projects
- We require the issuer (or 3rd party assurer) to demonstrate a process which determines the eligibility of projects to which themed bond proceeds are allocated
- We require issuers to demonstrate a systematic and transparent process of disbursing themed bond proceeds to eligible projects until all funds are allocated
- We require issuers to report at least once per year on the projects to which proceeds have been allocated including a description of those projects
- Other, specify

we endorse transparency by issuers at all times
- None of the above

FI 08.2	Describe the actions you take when issuers do not disburse bond proceeds as described in the offering documents.
----------------	--

our direct and indirect engagement are the actions to this end:

Impact Investing Symposium: In November 2017, Global Evolution hosted an Impact Investing symposium in our US office at One World Trade Center. The symposium was titled "Emerging Market Sovereign Debt Investing: The Future of Development Finance," and included speakers and panelists from the UN-PRI, the IMF, and the World Bank, as well as, importantly, the Central Bank governor for eight emerging market economies-one ingredient of our direct engagement with policy makers in the countries in which we invest. We hosted over 35 participants representing a range of organizations in the financial industry.

World Bank/IMF Spring Meetings: In April 2017, four members of the investment team attended the spring meetings of the World Bank/IMF in Washington, DC. This is partly related to our "indirect engagement" with policy makers in the countries in which we invest.

ESG dissemination and conference participation: Ole Jorgensen, Research Director, speaks at a number of conferences regarding integrating ESG into the investment process. Notably, in September 2017, Ole spoke on a panel about ESG in Credit Ratings at the UNPRI "In Person" Conference in Berlin, Germany.

FI 09	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 09.1	Indicate how you assess the environmental or social impact of your thematic investments.
----------------	--

- We require issuers to report at least once per year on specific environmental or social impacts resulting from our themed investments
- We ensure independent audits are conducted on the environmental or social impact of our investments
- We have a proprietary system to measure environmental and social impact
- We measure the impact of our themed bond investments on specific ESG factors such as carbon emissions or human rights
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration
--

FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

FI 10.1	Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.
---------	--

PHILOSOPHY

In partnership with our investors, we are committed to leaving a legacy of impact investing, which assists in the process of lifting nations out of poverty.

NEGATIVE SCREENING

Global Evolution maintains proprietary ESG ratings for all emerging and frontier market countries. Our sovereign exclusion process is based on the levels and dynamics of our ESG ratings in order to convey our corporate values for ethical and responsible investing.

POSITIVE SCREENING

To inform our investment process, we integrate ESG indicators into our valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates. E, S, and G indicators are integrated into econometric valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and forecasting power.

In addition, our proprietary ESG-adjusted sovereign credit ratings also serve to inform our investment process by providing a high-frequent outlook and rating for sovereign creditworthiness.

At Global Evolution, we have conducted extensive ground-breaking research into the relationship between sovereign debt investing and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) indicators. We have found clear correlation between the sovereign funding costs and ESG dynamics, with governance, unsurprisingly, the most prevalent.

The quantitative valuation models effectively provide us with statistically significant valuation signals that are partly based on ESG dynamics and partly based on macroeconomic, financial, and fiscal dynamics. The models provide us with such statistically significant valuation signals, i.e. "trade ideas", at a weekly basis which informs our investment process.

By not integrating ESG dynamics into investment decisions, investors sacrifice essential information. Our quantitative approach reveals a clear ESG historical "dividend" which is conducive for a successful investment process.

FI 10.2	Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.
---------	---

	SSA
--	-----

since we invest in one single type of asset: government bonds, it is the same ESG integration approach throughout

FI 11	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 11.1	Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.
---------	--

Select all that apply

	SSA			
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other, specify in Additional Information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

FI 11.2	Additional information [OPTIONAL]
----------------	-----------------------------------

Estimation of high-frequent ESG indices using AI are being developed and integrated

FI 12	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 12.1	Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.
----------------	---

	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

data

Data for ESG factors are supplied by third-party data providers, and include more than 100 indicators across the environmental, social, and governance themes. Different data providers are used including Verisk-Maplecroft, the World Bank, the UN, and others.

Negative screening process

Purpose: Global Evolution aim to express our values as a corporation by not including countries in our investable universe if they have extremely low levels in their environmental frameworks, human rights approaches, and governance areas. Consequently, Global Evolution operates with a sovereign exclusion list for the countries that do not meet our minimum ethical criteria across the ESG areas. The ESG approach is therefore anchored in our corporate ethics related to countries' careful consideration of the environment, human rights, democracy and efficiently and fairly managed public institutions.

Process: Global Evolution operates systematically with the following processual steps for negative screening:

Selected data from Verisk-Maplecroft on the following indicators are equally weighted to form E, S, G, and ESG ratings. Global Evolution has chosen these indicators among several possible indicators in order to reflect Global Evolution's corporate ethical values related to countries' careful consideration of the environment, human rights, democracy and efficiently and fairly managed public institutions. This approach with only 5 indicators ensures a simple framework where each variable is under government control (policy variables) so the indicators used (i) reflect our corporate values; (ii) are policy variables to the government that are actionable:

- E: Environmental Regulatory Framework Index
- S: Freedom of Association and Collective Bargaining Index, and Women's and Girls' Rights Index
- G: Democratic Governance, and Corruption.

Within our proprietary IT system's Information Center, the Verisk-Maplecroft data portal is integrated to calculate Global Evolution's ESG ratings based on the 5 variables. In step 2, the ESG rating is constructed based on the indicators chosen in Step 1.

Threshold definitions for high and low ratings are adopted from the Verisk-Maplecroft portal and their data is constructed on a 0-10 scale where:

- 0-2.5: extremely ESG risk
- 2.5-5: high ESG risk
- 5-7.5: medium ESG risk
- 7.5-10: low ESG risk

Decision rules: There are three rules for constructing our negative list:

CONDITIONALLY EXCLUDED: A country is excluded if its overall ESG rating is in extreme risk (ESG rating <2.5). However, the exclusion is conditional. Even if the ESG rating is in extreme risk, then Global Evolution reserve the right to investigate the country further for levels and dynamics of E, S, and G indicators to decide if the country should be included.

CONDITIONALLY INCLUDED: A country is included if the overall ESG rating is higher than the extreme risk level (ESG rating >2.5). However, the inclusion is conditional. If there is one or more E, S, and G indicators that is in extreme risk (indicator rating <2.5), then Global Evolution reserve the right to investigate the country further for levels and dynamics of E, S, and G indicators to decide if the country should be excluded. Furthermore Global Evolution discretely can exclude countries.

Updating frequency: The ESG ratings are set quarterly, along with data update cycle, and there may therefore be a quarterly update to the Global Evolution exclusion list.

Sovereign exclusion decision process: When ESG ratings are updated each quarter, any country that qualifies for conditional inclusion or conditional exclusion is by the Research Director proposed for approval to the CIO who is final decision maker.

Output and documentation: The output from the quarterly update includes two documents:

The sovereign exclusion list will be updated quarterly, and any changes will be explained and justified according to the decision rules in #4 above. The PowerPoint slide indicating the investable will also be updated together with the exclusion list.

positive screening process

Purpose: To inform the Global Evolution Investment management process, Global Evolution integrates ESG indicators into the valuation analysis for bonds, nominal exchange rates, and real effective exchange rates.

Process: E, S, and G indicators are integrated into valuation models alongside other macro and financial indicators to the extent they generate statistical significance and explanatory power represented by the adjusted R-squared. Other research initiatives may include ESG indicators as driving indicators for financial variables.

Output: Valuation model output includes valuation signals from the model as a whole, relying on all variables incl. ESG indicators. Output is generated weekly, and is documented through the minutes from the weekly strategy meeting.

Fixed income - Engagement

FI 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	---	--------	---------------	-------

FI 14.1 Indicate the proportion of your fixed income assets on which you engage. Please exclude any engagements carried out solely in your capacity as a shareholder.

Category	Proportion of assets	
SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 26-50% <input type="radio"/> 5-25% <input type="radio"/> More than 0%, less than 5%	
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>FI 14.2</td> <td>Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (SSA fixed income assets).</td> </tr> </table> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence issuer practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issue	FI 14.2
FI 14.2	Indicate your motivations for conducting engagement (SSA fixed income assets).	

FI 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	---	--------	---------------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

FI 15.1

Indicate how you typically engage with issuers as a fixed income investor, or as both a fixed income and listed equity investor. (Please do not include engagements where you are both a bondholder and shareholder but engage as a listed equity investor only.)

Select all that apply

Type of engagement	SSA			
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 15.2

Indicate how your organisation prioritises engagements with issuers.

Select all that apply

	SSA			
Size of holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Credit quality of the issuer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Duration of holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Quality of transparency on ESG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Specific markets and/or sectors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Specific ESG themes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Issuers in the lowest ranks of ESG benchmarks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Issuers in the highest ranks of ESG benchmarks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Specific issues considered priorities for the investor based on input from clients and beneficiaries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 15.3

Indicate when your organisation conducts engagements with issuers.

Select all that apply

	SSA			
We engage pre-investment.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We engage post-investment.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We engage proactively in anticipation of specific ESG risks and/or opportunities.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We engage in reaction to ESG issues that have already affected the issuer.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We engage prior to ESG-related divestments.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other, describe	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 15.4

Indicate what your organisation conducts engagements with issuers on.

Select all that apply

	SSA			
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting a specific bond issuer or its issuer.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We engage on ESG risks and opportunities affecting the entire industry or region that the issuer belongs to.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We engage on specific ESG themes across issuers and industries (e.g., human rights).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Other, describe	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 15.5

Indicate how your organisation ensures that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.

Select all that apply

	SSA			
Ensuring regular cross-team meetings and presentations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Sharing engagement data across platforms that is accessible to ESG and investment teams.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Encouraging ESG and investment teams to join engagement meetings and roadshows.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Delegating some engagement dialogue to portfolio managers/credit analysts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Involving portfolio managers when defining an engagement programme and developing engagement decisions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Establishing mechanisms to rebalance portfolio holdings based on levels of interaction and outcomes of engagements.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Considering active ownership as a mechanism to assess potential future investments.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other, describe	<input type="checkbox"/>			
We do not ensure that information and insights collected through engagement can feed into the investment decision-making process.	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 16	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
-------	---	--------	---------------------	---------

FI 16.1 Indicate if your publicly available policy documents explicitly refer to fixed income engagement separately from engagements in relation to other asset classes.

Yes

FI 16.2 Please attach or provide a URL to your fixed income engagement policy document. [Optional]

URL

<http://www.globalevolution.com/impact-investing/>

Attach document

[File 1:Global Evolution - Engaging Policy Makers.pdf](#)

No

Outputs and outcomes

FI 17	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	General
-------	---	--------	---------------------	---------

FI 17.1 Indicate whether your organisation measures how your incorporation of ESG analysis in fixed income has affected investment outcomes and/or performance.

Select all that apply

	SSA			
We measure whether incorporating ESG impacts portfolio risk.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We measure whether incorporating ESG impacts portfolio returns.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
We measure the ESG performance/profile of portfolios (relative to the benchmark).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 17.2 Describe how your organisation measures how your incorporation of ESG analysis in fixed income has affected investment outcomes and/or ESG performance. [OPTIONAL]

statistically significant link to historical returns and prices

Global Evolution

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1 Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 06.1

Provide details of the third party assurance of RI related processes, and/or details of the internal audit conducted by internal auditors of RI related processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)

What RI processes have been assured

- Data related to RI activities
- RI policies

Specify

ESG policy

- RI related governance
- Engagement processes
- Integration process in listed assets
- Screening process in listed assets
- Thematic process in listed assets
- Other

Specify

econometric evidence for decision making

When was the process assurance completed(dd/ mm/yy)

01/12/2019

Assurance standard used

- IIA's International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing
- ISAE 3402
- ISO standard
- AAF 01/06
- SSE18
- AT 101 (excluding financial data)
- Other

Specify

internal audit

CM1 07	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 07.1 Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)