



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction	Status
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company meeting ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition to a company meeting ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG expertise <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	<input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company meeting ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition to a company meeting ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	<input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company meeting ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition to a company meeting ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify	<input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF)

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public		✓					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF)

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation
- Endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Family office
- Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

127

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		37	634	480	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		37	634	480	000

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	10-50%
Fixed income	0	10-50%
Private equity	0	<10%
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	<10%
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.



Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

- | |
|--|
| Listed equity – engagement |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf. <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. |
| Listed equity – voting |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We require our external managers to vote on our behalf. <input type="checkbox"/> We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf |

Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
OO 11.2	Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.			

Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	<p data-bbox="566 342 1474 421">Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="566 439 1294 465"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="566 479 1326 506"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="566 519 1307 546"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="566 560 943 586"><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Fixed income - corporate (financial)	<p data-bbox="566 656 1474 757">Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="566 775 1289 801"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="566 815 1326 842"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="566 855 1307 882"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="566 896 943 922"><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)	<p data-bbox="566 999 1474 1099">Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="566 1117 1289 1144"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1158 1326 1184"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1198 1307 1225"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1238 943 1265"><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Fixed income - securitised	<p data-bbox="566 1341 1474 1420">Fixed income - securitised - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="566 1438 1289 1464"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1478 1326 1505"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1518 1307 1545"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1559 943 1585"><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Private equity	<p data-bbox="566 1655 1474 1733">Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="566 1751 1289 1778"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1792 1326 1818"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1832 1307 1859"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="566 1872 943 1899"><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Property	

	<p>Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Hedge funds	<p>Hedge funds - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>
Money market instruments	<p>Money market instruments - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p>

OO 11.4 Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

As part of the selection process, all managers are asked to complete an ESG questionnaire. Annual updates are required of all public managers as part of annual performance reviews.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income - Securitised
- Private Equity
- Property

Closing module

- Closing module

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF)

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)

Other description (1)

General Corporate issues such as linking executive compensation to corporate responsibility.

Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4 Describe your organisation’s investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

For each of the plans and trusts within the CRPTF, the Investment Policy Statement sets forth investment objectives and asset allocation guidelines. These objectives are long term in nature and have been established based on a comprehensive review of the capital markets and the underlying plans' and funds' current and projected financial requirements. Over the long term, prudent investment risk-taking may be rewarded with higher incremental returns. Consequently, while capital preservation is regarded to be of paramount importance, the Treasurer regards prudent risk-taking as justifiable.

The Treasurer's corporate governance program is designed to protect and enhance the long-term value of the CRPTF's investments. The program was developed in accordance with the Treasurer's fiduciary responsibility to prudently manage pension fund assets and oversee proxy voting decisions, promote corporate governance best practices, and engage in other activities that are critical to the financial performance of the companies in which the CRPTF invests. In addition, the CRPTF's external managers are evaluated in terms of the manner in which they integrate ESG considerations into the investment process.

No

SG 01 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	--	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 01.6 CC Indicate whether your organisation has identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and factored this into the investment strategies and products, within the organisation’s investment time horizon.

Yes

Describe the identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and how they have been factored into the investment strategies/products.

At this time, we hold our outside managers accountable for this information.

No

SG 01.7 CC Indicate whether the organisation has assessed the likelihood and impact of these climate risks?

Yes

Describe the associated timescales linked to these risks and opportunities.

In accordance with the Paris Climate Agreement.

No

SG 01.8 CC	Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?
-----------------------------	---

- Yes
- No

SG 01.9 CC	Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.
-----------------------------	---

- Yes

	Describe
--	----------

Our office is a member of Climate Action 100+ which coordinates shareholder engagement with the world's larger emitters of greenhouse gasses. There are set organization-wide strategies which we discuss with our portfolio companies, including: 1) Implementation of a strong governance framework (e.g., board's accountability and oversight of climate change and opportunities); 2) Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions across the value chain; and 3) enhanced corporate disclosure.

- No

SG 1.10 CC	Indicate the documents and/or communications the organisation uses to publish TCFD disclosures.
-----------------------------	---

- Public PRI Climate Transparency Report
- Annual financial filings
- Regular client reporting
- Member communications
- Other
- We currently do not publish TCFD disclosures

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

- Policy setting out your overall approach

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

- URL

URL

<http://www.ott.ct.gov/pensiondocs/IPStatement.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.ott.ct.gov/pensiondocs/IPStatement.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on social factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.ott.ct.gov/pensiondocs/IPStatement.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.ott.ct.gov/pensiondocs/IPStatement.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

http://www.ott.ct.gov/business_compliancereporting.html

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Engagement policy
- (Proxy) voting policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Time horizon of your investment
- Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

http://ott.ct.gov/pension_proxyvoting_guidelines.html

- Attachment
- Climate change
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].

Global Proxy Voting Policy: <http://www.ott.ct.gov/PDFs/globalvotingpolicies.PDF>

Compliance Reporting: http://www.ott.ct.gov/business_compliancereporting.html

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Conflicts of interest are managed through the CRPTF's contracting process. The process requires disclosure of actual and/or potential conflicts, and also calls for investment managers to update CRPTF on conflicts for the life of their agreement with the CRPTF.

No

Objectives and strategies**SG 05****Mandatory****Public****Gateway/Core Assessed****General****SG 05.1**

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources**SG 07****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Chief Compliance Officer

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
----------------	---

Annual survey to CRPTF managers on oversight and accountability for responsible investment.

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

	Number
--	--------

11

SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

The Treasurer is responsible for the direction and oversight of shareholder initiatives focused on ESG factors. The Treasury's Pension Fund Management (PFM) Division, led by the Chief Investment Officer (CIO), the Deputy CIO and Principal Investment Officers (PIOs), and working in conjunction with the Assistant Treasurer for Policy, are responsible for adding ESG-related questions to investment consultant and manager RFP questionnaires. The Assistant Treasurer for Policy and the Chief Compliance Officer, working with the PIOs, are responsible for the due diligence review of consultants and managers - across all asset classes - in accordance with the ESG policies enumerated within the domestic (U.S.) and global proxy voting policies approved by the Investment Advisory Council, and in accordance with Connecticut statute and contracting rules. The Assistant Treasurer for Policy is also responsible for the identification of ESG issues at specific CRPTF portfolio companies and engagement with those companies' senior management and boards of directors.

SG 07 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	--	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 07.5 CC	Indicate the roles in the organisation that have oversight, accountability and/or management responsibilities for climate-related issues.
-------------------	---

Board members or trustees

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (CRO), Investment Committee

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

Other Chief-level staff or heads of departments

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

External managers or service providers

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

SG 07.8
CC

Indicate how your organisation engages external investment managers and/or service providers on the TCFD recommendations and their implementation.

- Request that external managers and/or service providers incorporate TCFD into mainstream financial filings (annual financial reports, other regulatory reporting or similar)
- Request incorporation of TCFD into regular client reporting
- Request that external managers complete PRI climate indicator reporting
- Request responses to TCFD Fund Manager questions in the PRI Asset Owner Guide
- Other
- We do not engage with external managers and/or service providers on the TCFD recommendations and their implementation

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We encourage our outside managers to become a PRI signatory and our office also reviews research provided by PRI.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We encourage companies in which we invest to participate in the CDP questionnaire and consider TCFD reporting requirements.

- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

CRPTF is a member of the Climate Action 100+ and co-leads engagement with two companies identified by the Climate Action 100+. We also participate on Climate Action 100+ Global Review webinars as a signatory and provide report/updates for companies where our office is a co-lead.

- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We are active participants with the Council of Institutional Investors' Corporate Governance Shareholder Activism Committee. We also review and sign-on to SEC letters.

- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 - Moderate
 - Advanced
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
 - Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 - Moderate
 - Advanced
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
 - International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We participate on bi-weekly CERES Policy calls and monthly INCR calls. INCR researches companies lacking climate change reporting and presents a company target list to their members. CRPTF participates by reviewing target companies held in our portfolio and considers filing shareholder resolutions.

- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

CRPTF managers are required to submit an annual ESG questionnaire which emphasizes the PRI principles.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

The goal of the Treasury's corporate governance program is to protect and enhance the long-term value of investments by the Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF).

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

We encourage our investment managers to become a signatory.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
 - A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
 - Other, specify
- No

SG 10.3

Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

The CRPTF has encouraged responsible investment practices at portfolio companies through voting proxies, filing shareholder resolutions and engagement.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants

SG 12.2

Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external managers.

- We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers

Asset class

- Listed Equity (LE)
 - Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
 - Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
 - Fixed income - Securitised
 - Private equity (PE)
 - Property (PR)
 - Other asset classes
- We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers

Asset class

- Listed Equity (LE)
 - Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
 - Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
 - Fixed income - Securitised
 - Private equity (PE)
 - Property (PR)
 - Other asset classes
- We do not use investment consultants for selection, appointment and monitoring of external managers.

SG 12.3 Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

- Responsible investment is considered when evaluating investment consultants' investment beliefs, strategies and policies in the selection process.
- Responsible investment is considered when evaluating investment consultants' public commitment to, and promotion of, responsible investment in the selection process.
- Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' approach to investment manager ratings, research and recommendations in the monitoring process.
- Consultants' responsibilities in terms of responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with the investment consultants.
- We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants.

SG 12.4 Indicate whether you use investment consultants for any the following services. Describe the responsible investment components of these services.

- Custodial services
- Investment policy development
- Strategic asset allocation

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

Asset Liability Study

- Investment research
 - Other, specify (1)
 - Other, specify (2)
 - Other, specify (3)
 - None of the above
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

SG 12.5	Indicate whether your organisation considers any of the following responsible investment factors in the monitoring of fiduciary managers
----------------	--

- Including responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings
- Discussing whether the fiduciary manager has acted in accordance with your organisation’s overall investment beliefs/ strategy/ policy on responsible investment and ESG factors
- Reviewing the fiduciary manager’s PRI Transparency or Assessment reports
- Reviewing the fiduciary manager’s responsible investment reporting (excluding PRI generated reports)
- Reviewing ESG characteristics/factors used by the fiduciary manager in portfolio construction
- Reviewing the fiduciary manager’s incorporation approaches of ESG through-out asset classes
- Reviewing the impact of ESG factors on financial performance
- Encouraging your fiduciary managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in educational or collaborative projects with other investors
- Including responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation
- Reviewing the fiduciary manager’s ESG incorporation in external managers’ selection, appointment, monitoring
- Reviewing how ESG materiality is defined by the fiduciary manager
- Other general aspects of your monitoring; specify
- We do not consider responsible investment in the monitoring processes for fiduciary managers.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

SG 13.1	Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).
----------------	--

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

	Describe
--	----------

After reviewing CERES/INCR target lists, the CRPTF files shareholder resolutions requesting companies in our portfolio to report on how the company is assessing the long-term portfolio impacts of a scenario consistent with the internationally recognized Paris Climate Agreement goals.

- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2	Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.
----------------	---

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
Delegated to external managers.
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 13 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	---	--------	-------------	---------

SG 13.4 CC Describe how your organisation is using scenario analysis to manage climate-related risks and opportunities, including how the analysis has been interpreted, its results, and any future plans.

- Initial assessment
- Incorporation into investment analysis
- Inform active ownership

Describe

We engage with companies and request reports on scenario analysis on 2 degrees or steps a company is taking to meet the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement.

- Other

SG 13.5 CC Indicate who uses this analysis.

- Board members, trustees, C-level roles, Investment Committee
- Portfolio managers
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers
- Investment consultants/actuaries
- Other

SG 13.6 CC Indicate whether your organisation has evaluated the potential impact of climate-related risks, beyond the investment time horizon, on its investment strategy.

- Yes
- No

Please explain the rationale

n/a

SG 13.7
CC

Indicate whether a range of climate scenarios is used.

- Analysis based on a 2°C or lower scenario
- Analysis based on an abrupt transition, consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response
- Analysis based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- No, a range is not used

SG 13.8
CC

Indicate the climate scenarios your organisation uses.

Provider	Scenario used	
IEA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Beyond 2 Degrees Scenario (B2DS)	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) 2 Degrees scenario	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS)	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> New Policy Scenario (NPS)	
IEA	<input type="checkbox"/> Current Policy Scenario (CPS)	
IRENA	<input type="checkbox"/> RE Map	
Greenpeace	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced Energy [R]evolution	
Institute for Sustainable Development	<input type="checkbox"/> Deep Decarbonisation Pathway Project (DDPP)	
Bloomberg	<input type="checkbox"/> BNEF reference scenario	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> RPC 6	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> RPC 4.5	
IPCC	<input type="checkbox"/> RPC 2.6	
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (1)	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Other (1) please specify:</div> MSCI
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (2)	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (3)	

SG 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	---	--------	---------------------	-------

SG 14.1

Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.

- Changing demographics
- Climate change
- Resource scarcity
- Technological developments
- Other, specify(1)
- Other, specify(2)
- None of the above

SG 14.2

Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity

- Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
- Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments
- Phase out your investments in your fossil fuel holdings
- Reduced portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
- Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
- Sought climate change integration by companies
- Sought climate supportive policy from governments
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.3

Indicate which of the following tools the organisation uses to manage climate-related risks and opportunities.

- Scenario analysis
- Disclosures on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
- Climate-related targets
- Encouraging internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks
- Emissions-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
- Weighted average carbon intensity
- Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)
- Portfolio carbon footprint
- Total carbon emissions
- Carbon intensity
- Exposure to carbon-related assets
- Other emissions metrics
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14 CC

Voluntary

Public

General

SG 14.8 CC	Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.
-----------------------	--

- Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management
- Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management

	Please describe
--	-----------------

Climate-related risks can lower investment returns if companies fail to integrate these risks into the investment decision making process. The Treasury considers a range of factors in determining which companies to engage on climate risks.

SG 14.9 CC	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or external investment manager or service providers acting on your behalf, undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.
-----------------------	--

- Yes

	Please describe
--	-----------------

CRPTF is a member of Climate Action 100+ and one of its top priorities is to encourage companies to adopt TCFD reporting. CRPTF is co-lead on engagements with two companies on the Climate Action 100+ target list.

- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities.
- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

SG 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------

SG 15.1	Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.
----------------	---

- Yes
- No

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 17	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 17.1	Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.
----------------	--

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Hedge funds - DDQ	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">Select whether you use the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ</div> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Hedge funds	N/A
Money market instruments	N/A

SG 17.2 Additional information.

We encourage our managers to become a signatory to the PRI. The CRPTF sends annual ESG questionnaires to our external investment managers asking to what extent they apply the UNPRI. If a manager is not a signatory, managers must explain if they have adopted their own ESG policy and how they evaluate the potential financial impact of ESG factors. We ask managers to give examples of where ESG factors have been taken into account in their investment decision process, and how proactive a manager is when it comes to encouraging companies in which they invest to consider ESG issues.

Communication

SG 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2, 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------

SG 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes <input type="checkbox"/> Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf <input type="checkbox"/> E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Frequency	
<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested	
URL	
http://ott.ct.gov/pensionfunds_overview.html	

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested
URL	http://ott.ct.gov/pensionfunds_overview.html
URL	http://ott.ct.gov/pension_meetingminutes.html

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	
Frequency	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested	
URL	
http://ott.ct.gov/pensionfunds_overview.html	
URL	
http://ott.ct.gov/pension_meetingminutes.html	

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF)

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Listed Equity and Fixed Income Strategies

SAM 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

SAM 01.1 Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf for all your listed equity and/or fixed income assets:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI -Corporate (financial)	FI -Corporate (non-financial)	Fixed income - Securitised
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Selection

SAM 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SAM 02.1 Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitized	Private equity	Property	
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG incorporation requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG reporting requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No RI information covered in the selection documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE		FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitized	Private equity	Property	
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ESG people/oversight

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised	Private equity	Property	
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	FI - Securitized	Private equity	Property	
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review and agree ESG risk limits at the portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 02.3

Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components

- Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.
- Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)
- Review publicly available information on ESG/RI
- Review assurance process on ESG/RI data and processes
- Review PRI Transparency Reports
- Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports
- Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes
- Site visits to potential managers offices
- Other, specify

SAM 02.4

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised	Private equity	Property	
ESG performance development targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG score	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG weight	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 03**Mandatory****Public****Additional Assessed****PRI 2****SAM 03.1**

Indicate how your organisation typically evaluates the manager's active ownership practices in the majority of the manager selection process.

Engagement

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised
Review the manager's engagement policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's engagement process (with examples and outcomes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ensure whether engagement outcomes feed back into the investment decision-making process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other engagement issues in your selection process specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Review the manager's voting policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's ability to align voting activities with clients' specific voting policies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Review the manager's process for informing clients about voting decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ensure whether voting outcomes feed back into the investment decision-making process	<input type="checkbox"/>
Review the number of votes cast as a percentage of ballots/AGMs or holdings and available rationale	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other active ownership voting issues in your selection process; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>

SAM 03.2

Describe how you assess if the manager's engagement approach is effective.

- Impact on investment decisions
- Financial impact on target company or asset class
- Impact on ESG profile of company or the portfolio
- Evidence of changes in corporate practices(i.e. ESG policies and implementation activities)
- Other, specify
 - Overall manager performance and long term performance of CRPTF investments.
- None of the above

SAM 03.3 Describe how you assess if the manager’s voting approach is effective/appropriate

- Impact on investment decisions
- Impact on ESG profile of company or the portfolio
- Evidence of changes in corporate practices(i.e. ESG policies and implementation activities)
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SAM 03.4 Additional information [OPTIONAL]

CRPTF monitors managers' engagement processes by reviewing annual ESG questionnaires which seek specific examples of how proactive they are in encouraging companies in which they invest to consider ESG issues. We also ask managers to provide examples of how they incorporate ESG risks into the investment decision process (buy, not-buy, sell).

Appointment

SAM 04

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

SAM 04.1 Indicate if in the majority of cases and where the structure of the product allows, your organisation does any of the following as part of the manager appointment and/or commitment process

- Sets standard benchmarks or ESG benchmarks
- Defines ESG objectives and/ or ESG related exclusions/restrictions
- Sets incentives and controls linked to the ESG objectives
- Requires reporting on ESG objectives
- Requires the investment manager to adhere to ESG guidelines, regulations, principles or standards
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- None of the above

SAM 04.2 Provide an example per asset class of your benchmarks, objectives, incentives/controls and reporting requirements that would typically be included in your managers' appointment.

Asset class

- Listed equity (LE)

Benchmark

- We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

- We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

- We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Monthly
 - Quarterly
 - Bi-annually
 - Annually
 - Ad-hoc/when requested
- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)

Benchmark

- We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

- We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

- We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Ad-hoc/when requested
 - Annually
 - Bi-annually
 - Quarterly
 - Monthly
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)

Benchmark

- We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

- We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

- We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Ad-hoc/when requested
- Annually
- Bi-annually
- Quarterly
- Monthly

Fixed income - Securitised

Benchmark

We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Ad-hoc/when requested
- Annually
- Bi-annually
- Quarterly
- Monthly

Private equity

Benchmark

We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Ad-hoc/when requested
 - Annually
 - Bi-annually
 - Quarterly
 - Monthly
- Property

Benchmark

- We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

- We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

- We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Monthly
- Quarterly
- Bi-annually
- Annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

SAM 04.3

Indicate which of these actions your organisation might take if any of the requirements are not met

- Discuss requirements not met and set project plan to rectify
- Place investment manager on a “watch list”
- Track and investigate reason for non-compliance
- Re-negotiate fees
- Failing all actions, terminate contract with the manager
- Other, specify
- No actions are taken if any of the ESG requirements are not met

SAM 04.4

Provide additional information relevant to your organisation’s appointment processes of external managers. [OPTIONAL]

As part of the CRPTF’s procurement process, external managers must submit compliance documents related to workforce diversity, corporate citizenship and ESG integration.

Monitoring

SAM 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SAM 05.1	When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates
----------	---

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised	Private equity	Property	
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG portfolio characteristics	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Information on any ESG incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
PRI Transparency Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
PRI Assessment Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 05.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised	Private equity	Property	
ESG score	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG weight	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG performance minimum threshold	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

SAM 05.3

Provide additional information relevant to your organisation's monitoring processes of external managers. [OPTIONAL]

CRPTF monitors external managers by requiring each manager to respond to our annual survey which includes questions related to responsible investing and ESG integration.

SAM 06**Mandatory****Public****Additional Assessed****PRI 1****SAM 06.1**

When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following active ownership information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls

Engagement

	LE	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	FI - Securitised
Report on engagements undertaken (summary with metrics, themes, issues, sectors or similar)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Report on engagement ESG impacts (outcomes, progress made against objectives and examples)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Information on any escalation strategy taken after initial unsuccessful dialogue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alignment with any eventual engagement programme done internally	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Information on the engagement activities' impact on investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other RI considerations relating to engagement in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Proxy) voting

	LE
Report on voting undertaken (with outcomes and examples)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Report on voting decisions taken	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adherence with the agreed upon voting policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other RI considerations relating to (proxy) voting in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outputs and outcomes

SAM 09	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

Add Example 1

Topic or issue	ESG Integration into the Investment Decision Process
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> Investment consultants
Asset class	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All asset classes <input type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – corporate (financial) <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – corporate (non financial) <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income – securitised <input type="checkbox"/> Private equity <input type="checkbox"/> Property
Scope and process	<p>As part of the the CRPTF's due diligence of prospective investment opportunities, there have been several instances where we have received inadequate, vague or ambiguous disclosures of how ESG is integrated into the investment decision making process. Before recommendations were finalized, staff engaged in focused discussions with the firms about their approach to ESG integration, as well as the resources committed to staying abreast of developments. We've also specifically encouraged external managers to become signatories of the PRI, and to align their ESG policies with the Principles. In addition, as part of the annual reviews of ESG questionnaires, we track the growth of ESG integration/capacity over time.</p>
Outcomes	<p>Firms have committed to: signing on to the PRI; designating specific staff responsible for ESG integration; reporting in greater detail the manner in which ESG factors are incorporated into the investment decision making process.</p>

- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- We are not able to provide examples

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF)

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

http://www.ott.ct.gov/pensionfunds_overview.html

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes

LEA 01.5

Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:

- Outline of service provider's role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy
 - Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
 - Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
 - Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
 - Description of service provider monitoring processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - None of the above
- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

(Service Provider - ISS)

CRPTF contracts with Institutional Shareholder Services to vote proxies in accordance with the CRPTF's in-house proxy voting guidelines. We meet with our service provider on an annual basis.

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers

No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 05.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 06.1	Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.
-----------------	--

Yes

LEA 06.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.
-----------------	--

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other; specify

No

LEA 06.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

In December of 2019, the Connecticut Treasurer announced his decision to proceed with divestment from manufacturers of civilian firearms after years of unsuccessful engagement with this sector.

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 07.1	Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.
-----------------	---

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.2 Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

The Treasury reports quarterly on all engagements to an independent Investment Advisory Council. These reports are available to the public, including beneficiaries of the CRPTF, on the Treasury's website.

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

LEA 08.1 Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

LEA 08.2 | Additional information. [Optional]

CRPTF has a tracking system in place for companies in which we engage and/or file shareholder resolutions.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12 | **Mandatory** | **Public** | **Descriptive** | **PRI 2**

LEA 12.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2 | Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

CRPTF's Assistant Investment Officer reviews proxy vote information/recommendations provided by our service provider (ISS) on its software system (ProxyExchange). CRPTF also requires quarterly reporting from ISS, which is reported to the Treasurer and Investment Advisory Council.

LEA 12.3	Additional information.[Optional]
-----------------	-----------------------------------

Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) serves as the voting agent for the CRPTF's domestic proxies and votes U.S. proxies consistent with the CRPTF's in-house domestic proxy voting guidelines. CRPTF's external managers are responsible to vote CRPTF's international proxies in accordance with the CRPTF's in-house global proxy voting guidelines. Both proxy voting policies have been approved as part of an Investment Policy Statement, subject to annual review by the Investment Advisory Council.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- Vote(s) concerned selected markets
- Vote(s) concerned selected sectors
- Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.
-----------------	---

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1

For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Reports are provided to our office on a quarterly basis.

LEA 18

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 2




LEA 18.1

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 70
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 29
Abstentions	 1

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3	In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.
-----------------	---

10

LEA 18.4	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Our office engages with companies in which the CRPTF votes AGAINST management recommendations on an ad hoc basis.

Only in the case of a proxy contest would our office abstain on one of the proxy cards.

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.
-----------------	--

- Yes
 No

LEA 19.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following abstentions and/or votes against management.
-----------------	---

- Contacting the company's board
- Contacting the company's senior management
- Issuing a public statement explaining the rationale
- Initiating individual/collaborative engagement
- Directing service providers to engage
- Reducing exposure (holdings) / divestment
- Other

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds (CRPTF)

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
- Other, specify
Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI.
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report