



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for Interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 		
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements 		
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements 		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Albright Capital Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive, active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct – Private Equity				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PE 01	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓					✓	
PE 02	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓					
PE 03	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓		✓	
PE 04	Formal commitments to RI	✓	Private				✓			
PE 05	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PE 06	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PE 07	Encouraging improvements in investees	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 08	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
PE 09	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	✓	Public		✓					
PE 10	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
PE 11	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	✓	Private		✓					
PE 12	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	✓	Private		✓	✓				
PE 13	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	✓	Private		✓					
PE 14	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 15	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 16	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	✓	Private							✓
PE End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Assurance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							
CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.4, 10-12	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.5	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.7	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Albright Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products
- Other, specify

Further options for investment managers (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Execution and advisory services
- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

17

OO 03

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

 Yes No**OO 04****Mandatory****Public****Gateway/Peering****General****OO 04.1**

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			563	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			563	000	000

OO 06**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General****New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.****OO 06.1**

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

 as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	100	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

7

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 11 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **General**

Private equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **General**

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Private Equity

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

- Closing module

Albright Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change and related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)

Starting in 2017, we have incorporated an annual review of the UN Sustainable Development Goals for all portfolio companies in which we have an ongoing, active role (i.e., certain legacy assets have been excluded from this review because of lack of ongoing governance rights or nearly complete exit of the original investment). This will be applied to all new investments, as well. We have retained an outside specialist to conduct this annual assesment, and the results are shared with our investors.

- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

ACM believes that, in EM private investments, fulfillment of fiduciary duty and generation of attractive risk-adjusted returns can only be achieved through integration of ESG risk and opportunity variables. ESG factors have a material impact on financial results. In ACM's case, among other processes, Secretary Albright aligns her reputation with Limited Partners in the Fund through her right to veto any proposed Special Situation investment on political risk, ethical or reputational grounds. ACM's priority is fulfillment of fiduciary duty. ACM believes that principled and pragmatic integration of ESG considerations into an EM investment process is essential to accomplish that goal by mitigating political and other risks associated with an investment. ACM also knows that difficulties, and criticism, are part of working in emerging markets. The bedrock of our approach is to seek investments that have sustainable, positive economic benefits for all stakeholders. For all investments, ACM looks to identify potential adverse impacts. With certain exceptions, ACM avoids a prescriptive approach, opting instead to apply its ESG guidelines in a principled and pragmatic fashion, based on all facts and circumstances, while recognizing that some risks and adverse impacts cannot be mitigated or justified and must be avoided.

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.albrightcapital.com/philosophy>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Sector specific RI guidelines
- Screening / exclusions policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.albrightcapital.com/contact/>

- Attachment
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate-related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3

Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

- Yes

URL

<https://www.albrightcapital.com/philosophy/>

- No

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

 Yes**SG 03.2**

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

As a registered investment adviser, ACM has adopted a Conflicts of Interest Policy that sets forth policies and procedures to implement ACM's legal and ethical requirements as a fiduciary for its investment advisory clients. ACM's policy identifies various circumstances that could potentially be deemed a conflict of interest, and provides for associated procedures intended to address the conflict of interest in a manner that is fair and equitable for ACM and its clients while ensuring that clients are not disadvantaged relative to ACM, including the disclosure of the same to its clients. Most of these are disclosed and agreed with investors in ACM's funds at the time of investment, with the balance to be disclosed and resolved with the Advisory Committee of investors in the fund if they arise. In addition, ACM's Policy covers the following specific matters: (1) placement agency costs, if any; (2) size of capital raise; (3) transaction fee offsets; (4) resolution of conflicts arising from conflicting mandates; (5) investment allocations; (6) follow-on rounds; (7) co-investments; (8) fees derived by affiliated parties; (8) shareholder-directorship appointments to portfolio companies (conflict in fiduciary duties); and (9) divestment timing of assets held by multiple funds of different vintages.

 No**SG 03.3**

Additional information. [Optional]

We would be happy to submit the Conflicts of Interest of Policy, which is too large to be included in these responses.

Objectives and strategies

SG 05**Mandatory****Public****Gateway/Core Assessed****General****SG 05.1**

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

ACM has agreed to provide investors with annual reporting regarding the overall effect of its portfolio companies in furthering the achievement of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals. ACM also provides prompt notice upon becoming aware of any specific event involving any portfolio company that could reasonably be viewed to have a material positive or negative impact on the achievement of such Sustainable Development Goals.

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Chief Investment Officer**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)

Other description (1)

- Chief Compliance Officer**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (2)

Other description (2)

ASG Members of ACM IC

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2 For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Negotiate ESG standards, implementation and reporting at investment origination stage; post-investment monitoring and engagement.

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

1

SG 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Outside consultant provides support on SDG issues and ESG and GRESB reporting.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

We have attended and presented at large investor conferences, where we have presented our investment philosophy, including integrating of ESG factors and attainment of SDGs in emerging and frontier markets.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Constructive feedback to GRESB board members regarding the limits of their bench-marking for measuring performance by EM companies.

- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Multiple reviews of ESG factors in specific instances for actual and prospective clients.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities

Is this scenario analysis based on a 2°C or lower scenario?

- Yes
- No
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
As we only invest in a limited number of private investments, we integrate ESG factors in all of our investments.
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Communication**SG 19****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6****SG 19.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Private equity

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESG information in relationship to our pre-investment activities<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESG information in relationship to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance<input type="checkbox"/> Other
	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently<input type="checkbox"/> Biannually<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually<input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually<input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Albright Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct – Private Equity

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

PE 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

PE 02.1 Indicate whether your organisation's investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy / follow responsible investment guidelines.

- Our investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy

PE 02.2 Describe how your organisation outlines expectations on staff and portfolio companies' approach towards ESG issues in investment activities.

Each ACM deal team will seek to identify any ESG risks and the deal team's assessment of their materiality and prospects for improvement, including the following:

- Where an alternative source of capital is used by a potential investee company to negotiate against integrating ESG goals in the investment, this fact shall be included in the assessment;
- Particularly for companies located in challenging operating environments, an assessment of the portfolio company's governance structures and the capacity of these structures in the areas of compliance, audit, risk management and potential conflicts of interest;
- Whether the portfolio company's compensation and other policies align the interests of owners and management;
- An assessment of a portfolio company's compliance with internationally recognized labor rights; and
- For companies operating in sectors that raise heightened risks of adverse environmental or other ESG impacts (for example, companies with activities that increase net GHG emissions), an assessment by the deal team, working with ASG, of the company's operations as measured against appropriate performance standards or best practices (such as the IFC Performance Standards) for companies operating in such sectors, with the selection and application of such standards to be determined by the Investment Committee.

- Our investment activities are not guided by a responsible investment policy
- We do not have a responsible investment policy

Fundraising of private equity funds

PE 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,4,6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-----------

PE 03.1 Indicate if your most recent fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPM) or similar) refer to responsible investment.

- Yes

PE 03.2 Indicate how your most recent fund placement documents (PPM or similar) refer to the following responsible investment:

- Policy and commitment to responsible investment
- Approach to ESG issues in pre-investment processes
- Approach to ESG issues in post-investment processes
- Approach to ESG reporting

PE 03.3

Describe how your organisation refers to responsible investment in fund placement documents (PPMs or similar). [Optional]

We provide our ESG Policy in our placement document, virtually word-for-word.

- No
- Not applicable as our organisation does not fundraise

Pre-investment (selection)

PE 05

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 1

PE 05.1

During due-diligence indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting private equity investments.

- Yes

PE 05.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in private equity investment selection.

See answers above in PE 04.2 and PE 06.2

- No

PE 06

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,3

PE 06.1

Indicate what type of ESG information your organisation typically considers during your private equity investment selection process.

- Raw data from target company
- Benchmarks against other companies
- Sector level data/benchmarks
- Country level data/benchmarks
- Reporting standards, industry codes and certifications
- International initiatives, declarations or standards
- Engagements with stakeholders (e.g. customers and suppliers)
- Advice from external resources
- Other, specify
- We do not track this information

PE 06.2

Describe how this information is reported to, considered and documented by the Investment Committee or similar.

Each deal team is required to include its ESG assessments required under the ACM ESG Policy (described herein), and Secretary Albright can veto the proposal if she believes it poses ethical, reputational or political risks. Post-investment, ESG progress and initiatives are monitored and presented to the IC on a quarterly basis.

Post-investment (monitoring)

PE 09

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

PE 09.1

Indicate whether your organisation incorporates ESG issues in investment monitoring of portfolio companies.

Yes

PE 09.2

Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 09.3

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues

Environmental

List up to three example targets of environmental issues

Reducing diesel fuel consumption in favor of solar-energy power generation
Replacing diesel generators with aero-derivative turbines in our fast-track power operations
Increasing capex to improve water treatment systems and quality in municipal concessions

Social

List up to three example targets of social issues

Zero tolerance for corruption in supply chains; mandatory training and contract termination provisions
Zero tolerance for non-compliance with safety procedures by security and transport sub-contractors; mandatory training and contract termination provisions
Non-discriminatory, equal opportunity practices in the workplace

Governance

List up to three example targets of governance issues

Zero tolerance of corruption in any portfolio company; best practices implemented from Board down, with mandatory training and automatic termination; whistle-blower protection. etc.

We do not set and/or monitor against targets

No

PE 09.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Environmental

For investments with particularly sensitive environmental issues, we identify KPIs in diligence. For example, with respect to a prior investment in an oil & gas services company, the key performance indicators are the resource reductions that it could attain, such as water and chemical usage, and the company's success rate in predictive mapping of productive new stages.

Social

For each of our portfolio companies, a key performance indicator is meeting internationally recognized labor standards, such as (i) the payment of appropriate minimum wages and benefits to employees based on relevant local and industry factors (e.g., labor market, cost of living, risks to worker safety, overall level of benefits, job security and local labor law rights, existence of collective bargaining or legal minimum standards, and similar factors); (ii) a safe and healthy workplace; (iii) fair treatment, non-discrimination and equal opportunity in its hiring and promotion practices, and (iv) no forced labor, especially vulnerable categories such as migrant workers or children, including management of the supply chain to avoid child labor.

Governance

For all of our portfolio companies, a key performance indicator is zero instances of a failure to detect a prohibited payment that would violate FCPA or UK Bribery Act.

PE 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

PE 10.1	Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).
----------------	---

Yes

PE 10.2	Indicate what percentage of your portfolio companies has an ESG/sustainability policy (or similar guidelines).
----------------	--

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies
- 0% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

No

PE 10.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

We are not always in a position where we can negotiate the implementation of best practices on ESG matters. When we acquire interests on a secondary basis, we do so with an eye toward increasing the investment and gaining a position of influence, but this does not always happen.

Albright Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Assurance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Assurance

CM1 01.1	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
----------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

CM1 01.1	Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:
----------	---

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	--

CM1 01.2	Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?
----------	--

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.3	We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report
----------	---

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.

CM1 01.4, 10-12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.4

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme

CM1 01.10

Which scheme?

- National SRI label based on the EUROSIF Transparency guidelines
 B-corporation
 UK Stewardship code
 GRESB

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
 25-50 %
 50-70 %
 >75 %
- Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
 Social label
 Climate label
 RIAA
 Other

- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
 ESG audit of holdings

CM1 01.12

Describe the process of external/third party ESG audit of holdings, including which data has been assured.

Description of process (including what data has been assured)

We use ESG Associates of London to carry out an annual audit of our portfolio companies performance against our ESG policies (including IFC performance standards) and the UN SDGs.

In addition, certain of our portfolio companies are required to complete the GRESB Infrastructure annual report.

- Other, specify
 None of the above

CM1 01.7

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 01.7

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

Sign-off

Review of responses

The Board

Sign-off or review of responses

Sign-off

Review of responses

Investment Committee

Compliance Function

RI/ESG Team

Investment Teams

Legal Department

Other (specify)