



| LEA 02 | Disclosures: Mandatory | Reason for Interaction | Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3 |
|--|---|--|------------------------|
| Individual/ internal staff engagements | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision-makers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's internal ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal ESG decision-makers</p> | |
| Collaborative engagements | | | |
| Service provider engagements | | | |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Raiffeisen Capital Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| Ⓜ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|---|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO TG | | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | Asset mix disclosed in OO 06 | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Fixed income AUM breakdown | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | Active ownership practices for listed assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | ESG incorporation practices for all assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 01 | Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 01 | Breakdown by passive, active strategies | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 02 | Option to report on <10% assets | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 03 | Breakdown by market and credit quality | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO SAM 01 | Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 01 | Breakdown of investments by strategy | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 02 | Typical level of ownership | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 01 | Breakdown of investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 02 | Breakdown of assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 03 | Largest property types | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 01 | Breakdown of investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 02 | Breakdown of assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 03 | Largest infrastructure | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| CCStrategy and Governance | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| SG 01 | RI policy and coverage | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 02 | Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 03 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 04 | Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 CC | Climate-issues roles and responsibilities | - | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 08 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 09 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| SG 09.2 | Assets managed by PRI signatories | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 10 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 11 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | - | n/a | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| SG 12 | Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 13 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 14 | Long term investment risks and opportunity | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 15 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 16 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 17 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | ⚠ | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 18 | Innovative features of approach to RI | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 19 | Communication | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 02 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 03 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Types of screening applied | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | ESG issues in index construction | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 14 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 07 | Role in engagement process | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 08 | Monitor / discuss service provider information | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 09 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Engagement methods | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Examples of ESG engagements | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Voting policy & approach | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 16 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 17 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Confirmation of votes | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Securities lending programme | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 22 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 23 | Shareholder resolutions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 24 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Fixed Income | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-----------------------|---|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| FI 01 | Incorporation strategies applied | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 02 | ESG issues and issuer research | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 03 | Processes to ensure analysis is robust | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 04 | Types of screening applied | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 05 | Negative screening - overview and rationale | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 06 | Examples of ESG factors in screening process | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 07 | Screening - ensuring criteria are met | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 08 | Thematic investing - overview | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 09 | Thematic investing - themed bond processes | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 10 | Thematic investing - assessing impact | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 11 | Integration overview | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 12 | Integration - ESG information in investment processes | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 13 | Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 14 | ESG incorporation in passive funds | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| FI 15 | Engagement overview and coverage | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| FI 16 | Engagement method | ✓ | Private | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| FI 17 | Engagement policy disclosure | ✓ | Private | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| FI 18 | Financial/ESG performance | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| FI 19 | Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement | - | n/a | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| FI End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Assurance | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| CM1 01.1 | Assurance, verification, or review | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01.2 & 01.8 | Assurance of this year's PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | |
| CM1 01.3 & 01.9 | Assurance of last year's PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01.4, 10-12 | Other confidence building measures | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01.5 | External assurance | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01.6 | Assurance or internal audit | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01.7 | Internal verification | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01 End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

Raiffeisen Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Further options for investment managers (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Execution and advisory services
- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Austria

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

200

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2017

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | 21 | 915 | 261 | 232 |
| Currency | EUR | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | 26 | 002 | 386 | 582 |

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | 10-50% | <10% |
| Fixed income | >50% | <10% |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | 0 | 0 |
| Hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | 0 | 0 |
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

| OO 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf.
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

Fixed income SSA – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

| Asset class | ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes |
|--|---|
| Listed equity | <p data-bbox="564 342 1474 423">Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="564 439 1289 465"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="564 481 1326 508"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="564 524 1305 551"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="564 566 940 593"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p> |
| Fixed income - SSA | <p data-bbox="564 656 1474 736">Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="564 752 1289 779"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="564 795 1326 822"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="564 837 1305 864"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="564 880 940 907"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p> |
| Fixed income - corporate (financial) | <p data-bbox="564 969 1474 1072">Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="564 1088 1289 1115"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1131 1326 1158"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1173 1305 1200"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1216 940 1243"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p> |
| Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) | <p data-bbox="564 1305 1474 1408">Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="564 1424 1289 1451"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1467 1326 1494"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1509 1305 1536"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1552 940 1579"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p> |
| Fixed income - securitised | <p data-bbox="564 1641 1474 1722">Fixed income - securitised - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</p> <p data-bbox="564 1738 1289 1765"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1780 1326 1807"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1823 1305 1850"><input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process</p> <p data-bbox="564 1865 940 1892"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation</p> |

OO 11.3b

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your externally managed assets, explain why not.

We are still in the process of implementing ESG factors into investment decisions of externally managed assets.

OO 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

We are still in the process of implementing ESG factors into investment decisions of externally managed assets.

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 12 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed income - SSA
- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

- Closing module

Raiffeisen Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) | <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change and related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

A Bottom-up approach is used particularly in equity management. In the case of investments in equities, individual stocks and companies are analysed and at the same time, the opportunities and risks associated with the relevant sector are assessed. For a part of this analysis ESG factors are taken into consideration.

A Top-down approach is used in the management of umbrella funds and bonds. After performing a detailed analysis of the economic conditions at the global and national levels, our management team decides which markets and countries to buy bonds and equities in and which currencies they should be denominated in.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Sustainable Investment Policy_ENG.pdf](#)

Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:RCM Richtlinie zu Kohle_EN.pdf](#)

[File 2:RCM Richtlinie zu Geächteten Waffen_EN.pdf](#)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{hyperlink:https://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/ArtikelFlexible/1357909745111}

Attachment

ESG incorporation approaches

Reporting

Climate-related issues

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

Yes

URL

{hyperlink:https://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/ArtikelFlexible/1357909745111}

No

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| SG 03 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

The policy is available on <http://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/Artikel/1366809110619>.

No

Objectives and strategies

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| SG 05 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway/Core Assessed | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------|

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Head of SRI**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 - Portfolio managers
 - ESG portfolio manager
 - Investment analysts
 - Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
 - External managers or service providers
 - Investor relations
 - Other role, specify (1)
 - Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

6

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Raiffeisen Capital Management takes part in different collaborative engagements. As leading investors and supporting investors, sustainability specialists participated in a number of engagement calls and discussions.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

category: investor

- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
FNG (Forum Nachhaltige Geldanlagen), Forum per la Finanza Sostenibile

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Wolfgang Pinner, head of sustainability investments at Raiffeisen Capital Management, is vice president of FNG and president of FNG Austria.

- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Montreal Carbon Pledge

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| SG 10 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 4 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2 Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

We provide a range of education and training for clients as well as internal investment consultants

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Wolfgang Pinner, who heads the sustainability team at Raiffeisen KAG, is also leading a working group in the Austrian association of investment managers (VÖIG)

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

Members of the Raiffeisen Sustainability team take part in panel discussions as well as presentations at international investment conferences.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
 - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
 - Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
 - A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
 - Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|
| SG 16 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|

| Asset Class | Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Fixed income - Corporate (financial) | Basic exclusionary criteria are applied to all asset classes, also to Financial Corporates. More advanced criteria and other sustainability approaches are applied in dedicated sustainability portfolios. Those sustainability portfolios also include Financial Corporates. |

Communication

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| SG 19 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 6 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| | |
|--|--|
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| <p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information | |
| <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | |
| <p>URL</p> <p>{hyperlink:http://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/ArtikelFlexible/1357909745552}</p> | |

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| | |
|--|--|
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="radio"/> Explain all voting decisions<input type="radio"/> Explain some voting decisions<input type="radio"/> Only explain abstentions and votes against management<input checked="" type="radio"/> No explanations provided | |
| Frequency | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly<input type="checkbox"/> Biannually<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually<input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually<input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested | |
| URL | |
| {hyperlink:http://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/ArtikelFlexible/1357909745552} | |

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Raiffeisen Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEI 01 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEI 01.1 | Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%) |
|-----------------|--|

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

| | |
|---|--------|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied | 80 |
|---|--------|

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
 Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
 Screening and integration strategies

| | |
|---|--------|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied | 20 |
|---|--------|

- Thematic and integration strategies
 Screening and thematic strategies
 All three strategies combined
 We do not apply incorporation strategies

| |
|--|
| Total actively managed listed equities |
|--|

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation’s approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

It is our target to have a basic screening for all our investment products. Therefore we agreed to exclude controversial weapons companies from all our portfolios. In addition we agreed to exclude companies mainly active in the field of coal producing and processing from all our portfolios. For our dedicated SRI portfolios we have a very detailed and well-structured ESG investment approach, which covers an in-depth stakeholder analysis as well as ESG risks on a sector-level. This SRI approach uses a wide set of criteria (exclusionary, positive). The reason for choosing this strategies was to respond to the needs and requirements of institutional and retail investors in Austria, Germany and Switzerland.

LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

Starting from the overall investment universe we first apply a broad set of exclusionary criteria. This is followed by an in-depth ESG analysis (stakeholder analysis as well as ESG sector risks).

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Exclusionary criteria may have diverse backgrounds, we apply criteria both for companies and (other) issuers (sovereigns, supranationals, collateralised). Negative screening is part of the screening process provided by external sources.

Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

| Description |
|-------------|
|-------------|

Positive criteria may have diverse backgrounds, we apply criteria both for companies and (other) issuers (sovereigns, supranationals, collateralised). Positive screening is part of the screening process provided by external sources.

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

| Description |
|-------------|
|-------------|

Norms-based screening is part of the screening process provided by external sources.

| LEI 04.2 | Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made. |
|----------|---|
|----------|---|

Screening criteria are established and discussed within the SRI department and there is a final decision by the management board. There is no review period defined. In addition to that we are flexible to specific client needs.

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEI 05 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Review and evaluation of external research providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.4




Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 08**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****LEI 08.1**

Indicate which ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis and the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis.

| ESG issues | Proportion impacted by analysis |
|----------------------|---|
| Environmental |  <p>Environmental</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p> |
| Social |  <p>Social</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p> |
| Corporate Governance |  <p>Corporate Governance</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p> |

Raiffeisen Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your engagement policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

{hyperlink:<http://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/ArtikelFlexible/1357909745552>}

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your engagement policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Insider information
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.4

Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement

Engagement is a crucial part of Raiffeisen Capital Management's sustainability strategy and comprises two approaches: (1) proactive engagement and (2) reactive engagement.

Proactive engagement is seen as a constructive dialogue with companies in order to identify ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) related risks. Raiffeisen Capital Management aims to avoid controversies of those companies and aims to strengthen the companies' ESG management.

Reactive engagement is conducted when controversies have already been revealed. Still it is a constructive dialogue, but focuses on specific measures to prevent a repetition of similar controversies in the future. Structural enhancements are in the center of Raiffeisen Capital Management's engagement efforts.

No

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| LEA 02 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1,2,3 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 02.1 | Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction. |
|-----------------|--|

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers <div style="background-color: #0072bc; color: white; padding: 5px; margin: 5px 0;">Please specify why your organisation does not engage via service providers.</div> <p>We are convinced that we can provide those services inhouse. This is our current set-up. Maybe this will change in the future.</p> |

Process

Process for engagements run internally

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 03 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 03.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff. |
|-----------------|---|

Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Geography / market of the companies
 - Materiality of ESG factors
 - Systemic risks to global portfolios
 - Exposure (holdings)
 - In response to ESG impacts that have already occurred.
 - As a response to divestment pressure
 - Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
 - Consultation with other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, trade unions etc.)
 - As a follow-up from a voting decision
 - Client request
 - Other, describe
- No

LEA 04**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take during and following your engagements activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

Yes

LEA 05.2

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

- Potential to learn from other investors
- Ability to add value to the collaboration
- Geography / market of the companies targeted by the collaboration
- Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration
- Systemic risks to global portfolios addressed by the collaboration
- Exposure (holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration
- In reaction to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred.
- As a response to divestment pressure
- As a follow-up from a voting decision
- Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
- Consultation with other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, trade unions etc.)
- Other, describe

No

LEA 06**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take during and following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

General processes for all three groups of engagers

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| LEA 09 | Voluntary | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 1,2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------|

LEA 09.1

Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers.

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|--|---|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| LEA 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|--|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements |

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-----------|
| LEA 15 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1,2,3 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-----------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 15.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

- Yes

LEA 15.2 Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Share blocking
- Securities lending process
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Regional voting practices
- Transparency of proxy voting activities
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 15.3 Attach or provide a URL to your voting policy. [Optional]

URL

{hyperlink:<http://www.rcm.at/RCMAT/Page/Artikel/1366809110619>}

LEA 15.4 Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

For the dedicated sustainability funds we try to attend all Austrian companies' AGMs in person, whereas for international companies we use the proxy voting platform of IVOX.

For the mainstream funds proxy voting is done mainly via the IVOX platform.

No

Process

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 16.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 16.2 Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).

Our voting policy gives a general framework that leaves discretion on details to the fund manager. Therefore exceptions to the policy are not necessary.

LEA 16.3 Additional information.[Optional]

In some cases we inform our voting decisions by external voting recommendations, in some cases we do our own research and make voting decisions without external recommendations.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 20 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 20.1 Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 20.2 Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 21 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 21.1 | For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. |
|-----------------|--|

We do track or collect this information

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) |
|--|--------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| | % |
|--|---|

33

| | |
|--|--|
| | Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated |
|--|--|

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 21.2 | Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings |
|-----------------|--|

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- On request by clients
- Other

We do not track or collect this information

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| LEA 22 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 22.1 | Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued. |
|-----------------|---|

- Yes, we track this information
- No, we do not track this information

Raiffeisen Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

| FI 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1 |
|---------|---|--------|---------|-------|
| FI 01.1 | Indicate 1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and 2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to. | | | |

| SSA | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Strategy</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + thematic strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All three strategies combined</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No incorporation strategies applied</td> <td>90</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Strategy | Percentage | Screening alone | 0 | Thematic alone | 0 | Integration alone | 0 | Screening + integration strategies | 10 | Thematic + integration strategies | 0 | Screening + thematic strategies | 0 | All three strategies combined | 0 | No incorporation strategies applied | 90 |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------|------------|-----------------|----|----------------|---|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----|
| Strategy | Percentage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening alone | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thematic alone | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integration alone | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening + integration strategies | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thematic + integration strategies | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening + thematic strategies | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All three strategies combined | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No incorporation strategies applied | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate (non-financial) | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Strategy</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Strategy | Percentage | Screening alone | 90 | Thematic alone | 0 | Integration alone | 0 | Screening + integration strategies | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Percentage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening alone | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thematic alone | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integration alone | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Screening + integration strategies | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| | Thematic + integration strategies | 0 |
| | Screening + thematic strategies | 0 |
| | All three strategies combined | 0 |
| | No incorporation strategies applied | 0 |
| | | 100% |

FI 01.2 Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

For all Fixed Income corporate investments a basic exclusionary screen is applied. In addition for dedicated ESG funds, we apply a more advanced strategy that incorporates also integration via our RCM-ESG-Score.

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| FI 03 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|

FI 03.1 Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:

- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way
- ESG analysis is benchmarked for quality against other providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 03.2 Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, 'tear sheets', 'dashboards' or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| FI 04 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|

FI 04.1 Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

Select all that apply

| | SSA | Corporate (non-financial) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Negative/exclusionary screening | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Positive/best-in-class screening | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Norms-based screening | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

For the majority of Corporates investments a basic Negative Screening (coal, controversial weapons) is applied. For the range of dedicated sustainability funds, a broad range of exclusionary screening, positive screening and norms-based screening is applied.

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------|
| FI 05 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------|

FI 05.1 Indicate why you conduct negative screening.

SSA

SSA

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

Corporate (non-financial)

Corporate (non-fin)

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

| | |
|----------------|--|
| FI 05.2 | Describe your approach to ESG-based negative screening of issuers from your investable universe. |
|----------------|--|

Raiffeisen KAG decided to ban issuers related to controversial weapons and coal. This basic screening is conducted for all funds, whereas for dedicated sustainability funds a detailed set of exclusionary criteria is applied.

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| FI 07 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|----------------|---|
| FI 07.1 | Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments. |
|----------------|---|

| Type of screening | Checks |
|---|---|
| Negative/exclusionary screening? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above |
| Positive/best-in-class screening | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above |
| Norms-based screening | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above |

(C) Implementation: Integration

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| FI 11 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|

| | |
|----------------|--|
| FI 11.1 | Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis. |
|----------------|--|

We use a proprietary model to evaluate each company. This is measured by the "Raiffeisen ESG Score". We systematically try to maximise this Raiffeisen ESG Score for all dedicated sustainability products.

In the Raiffeisen ESG Scorecard sustainability information i.e. the Raiffeisen ESG Score is integrated as well as financial information. When it comes to portfolio construction and decisions upon weighting of specific issuers, the Raiffeisen ESG Score plays a crucial role.

For the mainstream products the Raiffeisen ESG Score is not calculated yet. For those products exclusions only are applied so far.

| | |
|----------------|---|
| FI 11.2 | Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in. |
|----------------|---|

| | |
|--|-----|
| | SSA |
|--|-----|

The basic set up of two different ESG rating partners delivering detailed ESG data is the same for all asset classes. For SSAs the criteria set differs compared to corporate issuers.

| | |
|--|---------------------------|
| | Corporate (non-financial) |
|--|---------------------------|

Our ESG approach is the same for all corporates and relates to the issuing corporate, therefore there are no adaptations necessary for Corporates (non-financial).

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| FI 12 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|----------------|--|
| FI 12.1 | Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process. |
|----------------|--|

Select all that apply

| | SSA | Corporate (non-financial) |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis is integrated into security weighting decisions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio construction decisions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis is a standard part of internal credit ratings or assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis for issuers is a standard agenda item at investment committee meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis is regularly featured in internal research notes or similar | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis is a standard feature of ongoing portfolio monitoring | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| ESG analysis features in all internal issuer summaries or similar documents | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other, specify | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-------|
| FI 13 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 1 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-------|

FI 13.1 Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.

| | Environment | Social | Governance |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| SSA | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all |
| Corporate (non-financial) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all |

FI 13.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and G factors in your integration process.

SSA

For dedicated sustainability portfolios, which also include SSAs, investment decisions are based on the Raiffeisen ESG Scorecard. This Scorecard integrates ESG information as well as financial information at the same time.

For mainstream portfolios ESG integration is not implemented yet.

Corporate (non-financial)

For dedicated sustainability portfolios, which also include Corporate bonds, investment decisions are based on the Raiffeisen ESG Scorecard. This Scorecard integrates ESG information as well as financial information at the same time.

For mainstream portfolios a basic exclusionary screen is applied, but ESG integration is not implemented yet.

Raiffeisen Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Assurance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Assurance

| | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| CM1 01.1 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | General |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|----------|---|
| CM1 01.1 | Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone: |
|----------|---|

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------------|--|
| CM1 01.2 & 01.8 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------------|--|

| | |
|----------|--|
| CM1 01.2 | Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report? |
|----------|--|

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 01.3 & 01.9 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| CM1 01.3 | We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report |
|----------|---|

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 01.4, 10-12 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 01.4

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings

CM1 01.12

Describe the process of external/third party ESG audit of holdings, including which data has been assured.

Description of process (including what data has been assured)

Our dedicated Sustainability funds are audited by external parties as a requirement for the Austrian Eco label and European FNG seal.

- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.7

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 01.7

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)