



| LEA 02 | Disclosures: Mandatory | Reason for Interaction | Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3 |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Individual/ internal staff engagements | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition for climate change</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff</p> | | |
| Collaborative engagements | <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition for climate change</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage collaborative engagements</p> | | |
| Service provider engagements | <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition for climate change</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage service providers</p> | | |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Acadian Asset Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| Ⓜ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|--|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO TG | | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | Asset mix disclosed in OO 06 | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Fixed income AUM breakdown | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | Active ownership practices for listed assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | ESG incorporation practices for all assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 01 | Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 01 | Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 03 | Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO SAM 01 | Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 01 | Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 02 | Typical level of ownership in private equity investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 01 | Breakdown of property investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 02 | Breakdown of property assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 03 | Largest property types | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 01 | Breakdown of infrastructure investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 02 | Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 03 | Largest infrastructure sectors | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO HF 01 | Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| CCStrategy and Governance | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| SG 01 | RI policy and coverage | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 01 CC | Climate risk | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 02 | Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | ✓ | |
| SG 03 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 04 | Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 CC | Climate-issues roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 08 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 09 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| SG 09.2 | Assets managed by PRI signatories | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 10 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 11 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | ✓ | Private | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| SG 12 | Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 13 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 13 CC | | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 14 | Long term investment risks and opportunity | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 14 CC | | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 15 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 16 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 17 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 18 | Innovative features of approach to RI | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 19 | Communication | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| SG End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 02 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 03 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Types of screening applied | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | ESG issues in index construction | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Role in engagement process | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 07 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 08 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 09 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Engagement methods | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Examples of ESG engagements | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Securities lending programme | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 16 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 17 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Shareholder resolutions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Confidence building measures | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| CM1 01 | Assurance, verification, or review | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 02 | Assurance of last year`s PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 03 | Other confidence building measures | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 04 | Assurance of this year`s PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 05 | External assurance | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 06 | Assurance or internal audit | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 07 | Internal verification | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01 End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|
| OO 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway/Peering | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

| Select the services and funds you offer | % of asset under management (AUM) in ranges |
|--|---|
| Fund management | <input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% |
| Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products | <input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50% |
| Other | <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50% |
| Total 100% | |

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

as of 2/29/2020

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 02 | Mandatory | Public | Peering | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

380

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Date is as of 2/29/2020

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

29/02/2020

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | 90 | 491 | 150 | 512 |
| Currency | USD | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | 90 | 491 | 150 | 512 |

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | | 888 | 192 | 170 |
| Currency | USD | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | | 888 | 192 | 170 |

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | 100 | 00 |
| Fixed income | 0 | 0 |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | 0 | 0 |
| Hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Fund of hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | 0 | 0 |
| Money market instruments | 0 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

Yes

No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.

No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09 **Mandatory** **Public** **Peering** **General**

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

| | |
|------|-------------------|
| 74 | Developed Markets |
| 26 | Emerging Markets |
| 0 | Frontier Markets |
| 0 | Other Markets |
| 100% | Total 100% |

OO 09.2

Additional information. [Optional]

As of 2/29/2020. Acadian Asset Management LLC is a Boston-headquartered investment manager registered as an investment adviser with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Along with our wholly-owned affiliates in Singapore, Tokyo, Australia and the U.K., we specialize in systematic, active global and regional equity strategies. Led by a strong team whose professional ties extend back over 30 years, we apply a disciplined framework to a broad universe and focus our extensive research capabilities on developing customized investment management strategies for our clients. We invest on behalf of pension funds, endowments, foundations, governments and other institutions globally.

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 11 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 12 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

Engagements

(Proxy) voting

Closing module

Closing module

Peering questions

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO LE 01 | Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose | Public | Gateway | General |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive

0

Active - quantitative (quant)

100

Active - fundamental and active - other

0

Total

100%

Acadian Asset Management LLC is a quantitative investment manager using multi-factor computer models to assess over 40,500 stocks globally. We incorporate ESG issues into our quantitative process through the use of various ESG indicators, as well as through active research and testing of potential new ESG-related factors. We utilize several ESG services, third-party data vendors and other sources to augment our research and monitor sustainable practices. Active ownership practices; Proxy Voting and Engagement are offered. By default, Acadian votes all proxies via a third-party and engage via various company engagement strategies. Client reporting is offered for proxy voting, engagement outcomes, and ESG portfolio characteristics.

Further applications are feasible through active client-directed ESG screening and increased voting preferences on ESG issues.

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Acadian's investment process is quantitative, built on the philosophy that market inefficiencies exist because of behavioral errors. We seek to exploit resulting market mispricings by identifying mispriced securities, in a systematic way, on the basis of underlying corporate fundamentals. As we develop a fundamental view of a company, we evaluate a variety of signals, including both traditional investment factors and non-financial, ESG signals that provide a more holistic view.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Acadian incorporates ESG investing in all parts of our investment process, through ESG factors in our bottom-up and top-down forecasting frameworks, client-mandated portfolio exclusions, and/or proxy voting direction, if desired by a client.

Responsible Investing factors are implemented in our alpha model and hence impact all portfolios. Acadian also has the capability of tilting portfolios toward a given carbon intensity target and therefore we can provide clients with a low carbon portfolio offering. Screening / exclusions, for example, do not apply to all AUM but to 41% of our total AUM as of Feb 29, 2020.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6****SG 02.1**

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on social factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment (will be made public)

Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment (will be made public)

(Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment (will be made public)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment

Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment

Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment

ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment

Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment

Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

Attachment

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].

Our standard policy incorporates all aspects of responsible investing.

SG 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **General**

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Acadian will try to avoid any material conflicts with a specific client. Should such a conflict be identified, we will notify the client. It is our policy to attempt to identify general conflicts that occur within our investment process and to establish mitigating controls to address the conflicts.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Core Assessed** **General**

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **General**

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Scott Dias - Chief Compliance Officer
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

| | |
|----------------|---|
| SG 07.2 | For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities. |
|----------------|---|

Acadian's Director of Responsible Investments and chair of our Responsible Investing Committee, Asha Mehta, Senior Vice President, is responsible for monitoring the academic and industry research as well as leading Acadian's ESG efforts in addition to her portfolio management and research responsibilities.

Acadian's Responsible Investing Committee comprised with a majority of senior members including our Co-CEOs, CIO and CCO to name a few, are responsible for providing oversight/accountability for the firm's responsible investing capabilities, acting as a steward of Acadian's Responsible Investing policy and providing market and investment feedback related to ESG developments.

Analysts on our research team are involved in overseeing Acadian's accountability for responsible investing capabilities through the research of ESG signals. This research is an extension of their day-to-day responsibilities.

| | |
|----------------|--|
| SG 07.3 | Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. |
|----------------|--|

| |
|--------|
| Number |
|--------|

2

Promoting responsible investment

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| SG 09 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 4,5 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|

| | |
|----------------|---|
| SG 09.1 | Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played. |
|----------------|---|

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

| |
|--|
| Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions) |
|--|

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

| |
|--|
| Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional] |
|--|

Acadian has been an active member of the PRI since 2009. We have participated in PRI-led collaborative engagements each year since 2016. One of our team members is participating in the Australia PRI Advisory Committee.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Acadian's involvement with ESG RA is through our Sydney affiliate, Acadian Asset Management (Australia), which is active on the ESG RA research evaluation committee responsible for annual brokerage research awards selection.

- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
RIAA

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
High Meadows Institute

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Acadian is co-chair of the HMI Investment Industry Leadership Forum. The objective is to collaborate with other asset managers to develop practical solutions that integrate ESG and maximize risk-adjusted returns.

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

UN Expert Working Group on SDGs

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Conference participation with clients and consultants to inform their teams on ESG investing

Frequency of contribution

Quarterly or more frequently

Biannually

Annually

Less frequently than annually

Ad hoc

Other

Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

As a member of the steering committee of the High Meadows Institute, we aimed to promote the notion of ESG materiality in the investment industry. These forum brings together both academics and practitioners and create industry papers on advances in RI.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Acadian engages in corporate engagements to promote data disclosure

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

Acadian participated in many public forums on ESG, including industry conferences

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

We have published several papers on RI, including a paper on engagements, materiality, and climate

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

Given our grounding in materiality, we work with clients to explain our commitment to the PRI and to encourage others

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify

Description

Australia PRI Advisory committee

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

Description

As a member of the steering committee of the High Meadows Institute, we aimed to promote the notion of ESG materiality in the investment industry.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants

SG 12.4

Indicate whether you use investment consultants for any the following services. Describe the responsible investment components of these services.

- Custodial services
- Investment policy development

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

Our research consultant is a member of our senior investment leadership team; as such, he is a core team member in investment policy development

- Strategic asset allocation
- Investment research

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

Responsible investment is incorporated in our global alpha model. Our research consultant spearheads many of the new RI factors that we consider for model inclusion.

- Other, specify (1)

Describe how responsible investment is incorporated

Our research consultant is a member of our Responsible Investing Committee and advised on emerging trends

- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------|
| SG 13 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 1 |
|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------|

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

our quantitative approach requires modelling of the potential impact of our ESG factors

- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe

our quantitative approach requires modelling of the potential impact of our climate related factors

- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Communication

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------|
| SG 19 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2, 6 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------|

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| Disclosure to public and URL | Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries |
|--|--|
| <p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used | <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used |
| <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested |
| <p>URL</p> <p>https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing</p> | |

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

No

| Disclosure to public and URL | Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries |
|---|---|
| <p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information | <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information |
| <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested |
| <p>URL</p> <p>https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing</p> | |

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| Disclosure to public and URL | Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries |
|--|--|
| <p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management | <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management |
| <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested | <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested |
| <p>URL</p> <p>https://www.acadian-asset.com/emfund</p> | |

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| LEI 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|

LEI 01.1

Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)

| | |
|---|--------|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5% | 59 |
|---|--------|

- Screening and integration strategies

| | |
|---|--------|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5% | 35 |
|---|--------|

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined

| | |
|---|-------|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5% | 6 |
|---|-------|

- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation’s approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

Responsible investing issues are embedded in our process in the context of our quantitative frameworks for security and peer group valuation. At our core, Acadian seeks investments that are likely to generate the best risk-adjusted returns, inclusive of ESG considerations. We believe that such investments are characterized by strong financial health, solid business prospects, effective corporate governance, and upside potential - traits that are likely to be embodied by sustainable and responsible businesses.

Given this method, our base approach to ESG investing is via integration. Approximately 40% of our assets also use an additional SRI screen, and an additional 1% use both screens and thematic tilts.

LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

Approximately 41% of Acadian's assets are managed with some type of client- or fund-mandated responsible investing exclusionary screen in place. In addition, the underlying investment process that Acadian utilizes to produce all equity portfolios, regardless of whether an exclusionary screen is mandated, incorporates ESG-related signals along with a host of other predictive factors to ensure that all stocks are evaluated on the full range of factors.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

| Description |
|-------------|
|-------------|

At client direction, Acadian can apply exclusionary screens which may encompass one or more of the criteria listed above. Acadian also screens on socially responsible characteristics for various funds we manage such as: investing only in companies exhibiting prudent management behavior with respect to external transparency, internal controls, and compliance with international norms on environment, human rights, labor rights, corruption and inhumane weapons.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Acadian's policy is to implement ESG considerations that add value to portfolios and are applied as requested by clients.

| Description |
|-------------|
|-------------|

Acadian incorporates ESG investing in all parts of our investment process, through ESG factors in our bottom-up and top-down forecasting frameworks, client-mandated portfolio exclusions, and/or proxy voting direction, if desired by a client. In addition we also use the UN Global Compact for some client directed accounts.

| LEI 04.2 | Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria. |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

Screening criteria are established in collaboration with the client and various third-parties if necessary. All screens are communicated, reviewed and agreed upon with Acadian prior to being established.

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEI 05 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

(B) Implementation: Thematic**LEI 07****Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 07.1**

Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

LEI 07.2

Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]

Acadian manages strategies that account for environmental risk.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEI 08 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

LEI 08.1 Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

| ESG issues | Proportion impacted by analysis |
|----------------------|--|
| Environmental | <div style="background-color: #0072bc; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Environmental </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p> |
| Social | <div style="background-color: #0072bc; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Social </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p> |
| Corporate Governance | <div style="background-color: #0072bc; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Corporate Governance </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p> |

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional]

As a quantitative manager, we inherently take a systematic approach to factor analysis. As examples, we include a Corruption-Adjustment in our top-down forecasting model, and we utilize governance signals in our bottom-up valuation framework.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEI 09 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

LEI 09.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.6

Additional information. [Optional]

As a quantitative manager, we rely on large amounts of data that we manage using an extensive proprietary database. The database, which is maintained in-house and monitored by a data analysis team, uses a number of external feeds that are updated up to several times daily, enabling us to continually refresh our alpha (stock) forecasts. ESG information is contained in our stock research pages during our research analysis generated by the investment team. This widely available information is kept on an internal platform as record.

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<https://www.acadian-asset.com/investment-solutions/responsible-investing>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes

LEA 01.5

Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:

- Outline of service provider's role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy
 - Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
 - Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
 - Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
 - Description of service provider monitoring processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - None of the above
- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers |

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- We discuss the rationale for the engagement
- We discuss the objectives of the engagement
- We select the companies to be engaged with
- We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- We discuss the next steps for engagement activity
- We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider
- Other; specify
- We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

No

LEA 03

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.

| Type of engagement | Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements |
| Collaborative engagements | <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers |
| Service-provider engagements | <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Service-provider engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers |
|--|--|

No

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 04 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|----------|--|
| LEA 04.1 | Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities. |
|----------|--|

| | |
|---|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration |
| Service-provider engagements | <input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 05 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 05.1 | Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes. |
|----------|---|

| | |
|---|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff. |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration. |
| Service-provider engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers. |

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

| | |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |
| Service-provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |

LEA 06

Mandatory

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 2,4

LEA 06.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other; specify

Potential to reduce exposure

No

LEA 06.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Our escalation approach consists of following up with companies that are non-responsive and alerting them that we invest capital based on their disclosures of the requested data; non-disclosure will limit their contribution to our return forecast, hence contributing to a potential reduction in exposure.

LEA 07

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|--|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Service-provider engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

LEA 07.2 | Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 | Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|--|---|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Service-provider engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

LEA 07.4 | Additional information. [Optional]

Given that our ESG strategy is led from within the investment team, our engagement strategy directly augments our investment process and results are systematically embedded. Further communications occur through the Responsible Investing Committee, which meets approximately every six weeks.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| LEA 08 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|

LEA 08.1 | Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|--|--|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |
| Service-provider engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| LEA 12 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|

LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Our agent's proxy voting policies have been developed based on their independent, objective analysis of leading corporate governance practices and their support of long-term shareholder value. Acadian may change those policies from time to time if we so choose. Acadian also reserves the right to override vote recommendations under certain circumstances but will only do so if we believe that voting contrary to the proxy voting agent's recommendation is in the best interest of clients. Any overrides will be approved by an officer of Acadian and will be documented with the reasons for voting against the proxy voting agent's recommendation. Acadian will also accept specific written proxy voting instructions from a client and communicate those to our agent to implement when voting proxies involving that client's portfolio. Our agent also offers Social Advisory proxy voting guidelines, and we offer these to our clients as appropriate. Voting reports are made available to us for each client on a monthly basis.

LEA 12.3

Additional information.[Optional]

For the clients that ask us to vote for their portfolios, we retain a proxy voting specialist firm to cast votes on our behalf in accordance with policies agreed to with us. Our agent's proxy voting policies have been developed based on their independent, objective analysis of leading corporate governance practices and their support of long-term shareholder value. Acadian may change those policies from time to time if we so choose. Acadian also reserves the right to override vote recommendations under certain circumstances but will only do so if we believe that voting contrary to the proxy voting agent's recommendation is in the best interest of clients. Any overrides will be approved by an officer of Acadian and will be documented with the reasons for voting against the proxy voting agent's recommendation. Acadian will also accept specific written proxy voting instructions from a client and communicate those to our agent to implement when voting proxies involving that client's portfolio. Our agent also offers its own set of Social Advisory guidelines if a client desires.

LEA 13**Mandatory****Public****Additional Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 13.1**

Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate the percentage that was reviewed by your organisation, giving the reasons.

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- 100-75%,
- 74-50%,
- 49-25%,
- 24-1%
- None

| |
|--------------------|
| Reasons for review |
|--------------------|

- Specific environmental and/or social issues
- Votes concerning significant holdings
- Votes against management and/or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate action, such as M&As, disposals, etc.
- Votes concerning companies with which we have an active engagement
- Client requests
- Ad-hoc oversight of service provider
- Shareholder resolutions
- Share blocked securities
- Other (explain)

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| LEA 15 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 15.1 | Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting. |
|-----------------|--|

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 16 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 16.1 | Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes. |
|-----------------|---|

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 16.3 | In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public. |
|-----------------|--|

- Yes
- No

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 17 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

LEA 17.1 For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

97

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other (explain)




Proxy voting not offered

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-------|
| LEA 18 | Voluntary | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-------|

LEA 18.1 Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

| Voting instructions | Breakdown as percentage of votes cast |
|---|--|
| For (supporting) management recommendations |  88 |
| Against (opposing) management recommendations |  11 |
| Abstentions |  1 |

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3 In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

50

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 19 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

LEA 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

Yes

No

Acadian Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| CM1 01 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 02 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 03 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme

CM1 03.2 Which scheme?

- National SRI label based on the EUROSIF Transparency guidelines
- B-corporation
- UK Stewardship code
- GRESB
- Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- Social label
- Climate label
- RIAA

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
- 25-50 %
- 50-70 %
- >75 %
- Other

Specify

Febelfin

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
- 25-50 %
- 50-70 %
- >75 %
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

Sign-off

Review of responses

The Board

Investment Committee

Compliance Function

RI/ESG Team

Investment Teams

Legal Department

Other (specify)