



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2017

City of Espoo





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ð	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index

Organisational Overview					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
00 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance					Principle				General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	8	n/a						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓								

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 02	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
SAM 04	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 05	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public		~					
SAM 07.1	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 07.2-5	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 09	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 10	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 11	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 12	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓	
SAM 13	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public						✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

City of Espoo

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basic Information 00 01 **Mandatory Public** Gateway/Peering General 00 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide. O Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan O Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan O Insurance company Foundation O Endowment O Development finance institution O Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund O Family office Other, specify Reserve - Municipality OO 02 **Public** General **Mandatory Peering** Select the location of your organisation's headquarters. 00 02.1 Finland 00 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters). 1 O 2-5 O 6-10 ○ >10 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE). 00 02.3 FTE 2

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

O Yes

No



OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			693	505	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD			742	191	485

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1

How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

O as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	10-50%
Fixed income	<10%	10-50%
Private equity	0	<10%
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	<10%



Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2

Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Gateway asset class implementation indicators 00 10 **Mandatory Public** Gateway General Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed 00 10.1 equities in the reporting year. ☑ We address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes ☐ We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes. Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in 00 10.2 the reporting year. ☐ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers ☑ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG issues on our behalf ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the 00 10.3 reporting year ☐ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We require our external managers to vote on our behalf ☑ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf Indicate why you do not cast your votes 00 10.4 Indicate why you do not cast your votes The city's investments are mostly externally managed and well diversified in order to avoid risks, so the

OO 11 Mandatory Public Gateway General

promote (proxy) voting and active ownership.

city is not a major shareholder in any publicly listed company, but we encourage our asset managers to

O We do not cast our votes but provide substantive research and voting recommendations to our clients.



00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

☐ Fixed income – SSA

☐ Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)

☑ None of the above

00 11.3a

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your internally managed assets, explain why not.

Our investment activity was very low in internally managed fixed income asset class in 2016.

00 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)

☑ Fixed income – corporate (financial)

☑ Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)

☐ Private equity

☑ Property

☐ Hedge funds

☐ Inclusive finance

 \square None of the above

City of Espoo

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



	Investn	nent	policy						
SG 0	1	Mano	latory	Public	Core Assessed	General			
	New selec	tion	options have been added to this i	ndicator. Plea	se review your prefilled responses	carefully.			
	SG 01.1 Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.								
	○ Yes	S							
	No								
SG 03	2	Mana	latory	Public	Core Assessed	General			
30 0.	3	IVIAIIC	lator y	Public	Core Assessed	General			
	SG 03.1		Indicate if your organisation has a investment process.	policy on mana	aging potential conflicts of interest in t	he			
	○ Yes								
	No								
	Objecti	ves	and strategies						
SG 05	5	Mano	latory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General			
	SG 05.1		Indicate if and how frequently your investment activities.	organisation s	ets and reviews objectives for its resp	onsible			
	○ Quart	erly c	r more frequently						
	○ Biann	ually							
	Annually								
	O Less frequently than annually								
	O Ad-ho								
	O It is no	ot rev	iewed						
	SG 05.2		Additional information. [Optional]						

Objectives for ESG investments are included in the annual investment plan approved by the Mayor of city of Espoo.

Governance and human resources

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General



SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation	
☑ Board members or trustees	
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☐ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee	
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☐ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify	
☑ Portfolio managers	
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☐ Investment analysts	
☐ Dedicated responsible investment staff	
☑ External managers or service providers	
☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implementation of responsible investment	
$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☐ Investor relations	
☐ Other role, specify (1)	
☐ Other role, specify (2)	
Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.	
N	
Number	
Promoting responsible investment	

Public Core Assessed

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1

SG 09

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Mandatory

PRI 4,5

Tour organisations forcin the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)							
☑ Basic							
☐ Moderate							
☐ Advanced							
☐ AFIC – La Commission ESG							
☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association							
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors							
□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board							
□ CDP Climate Change							
□ CDP Forests							
□ CDP Water							
☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity							
□ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)							
☐ Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century							
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)							
☐ ESG Research Australia							
□ Eumedion							
□ EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable							
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)							
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)							
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)							
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)							
☐ Green Bond Principles							
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)							
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)							
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)							
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)							
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)							
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES							
□ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum							
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance							
☑ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify							
Finland's Sustainable Investment Forum (FINSIF)							



Your orga	nisation's role in the	initiative during t	he reporting period (see definition	ons)
☑ Basic				
☐ Moderate				
☐ Advanced				
☐ Responsible Finance Prin	ciples in Inclusive Fir	nance		
☐ Shareholder Association f	or Research and Edu	ucation (Share)		
☐ United Nations Environme	ental Program Financ	e Initiative (UNE	P FI)	
☐ United Nations Global Co	mpact			
☐ Other collaborative organi	sation/initiative, spec	cify		
☐ Other collaborative organi	sation/initiative, spec	cify		
☐ Other collaborative organi	sation/initiative, spec	cify		
☐ Other collaborative organi	sation/initiative, spec	cify		
SG 10 Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
SG 10.1 Indicate if you initiatives.	r organisation promo	ites responsible i	nvestment, independently of co	llaborative
Yes				
9 163				
Indicate	which of the following	actions vour ord	anisation has taken to promote	responsible
	nt, independently of o			
			s for clients, investment managither investment organisations	ers,
☐ Provided financial	support for academ	nic or industry res	earch on responsible investmer	nt
	r transparency and di	sclosure of response	onsible investment practices act	oss the
☐ Spoke publicly at €	events and conference	ces to promote re	sponsible investment	
☐ Wrote and publish	ed in-house research	n papers on resp	onsible investment	
	doption of the PRI			
□ Wrote articles on r	esponsible investme	nt in the media.		
☐ Other, specify	•			
○ No				
Implementation not in o	ther modules			
SG 12 Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



SG 12.1	Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.					
☐ We execu	te scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future al trends					
☐ We execu trends	te scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social					
☐ We execu trends	te scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance					
☐ We execu	☐ We execute other scenario analysis, specify					
☑ We do not	t execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling					
SG 12.2	Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.					
• We do the	following					
☑ Alloc	ation between asset classes					
☐ Determining fixed income duration						
☑ Alloc	ation of assets between geographic markets					

SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

☐ Sector weightings☐ Other, specify

 \bigcirc We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Property	In selecting managers for our indirect property portfolio, we review the historic investments of managers to understand the historic exposures. We require transparency and the ability to monitor the holdings of property funds to ensure compliance high ethical standards.



City of Espoo

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Ove	rview					
SAM 01	Mand	datory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
New s	election	options	have been added to this	indicator. Please	e review your prefilled respo	onses carefully.
SAM	01.1	Indicate	e whether your organisation	n uses investmen	t consultants and/or fiduciary	managers.
□ Y	es, we us	se invest	ment consultants			
	es, we us agers.	se a fiduo	ciary manager that delegate	es management c	of some or all of our assets to	third-party
	SAM 01.		dicate if your organisation ouclary managers.	onsiders respons	sible investment in the monitor	ing processes for
	Respective Re	onsible i	nvestment is considered wh	nen monitoring ou	ır fiduciary manager	
	SAI	W 01.5	Indicate whether your orgetactors in the monitoring		ers any of the following respongers	nsible investment
		ncluding	responsible investment as	a standard agen	da item at performance reviev	v meetings
			ng whether the manager ha investment and ESG facto		lance with your overall investn	nent beliefs on
			ng whether the manager ha ble investment and ESG fa		ance with your organisation's	overall strategy
			ng whether the manager ha investment and ESG facto		ance with your organisation's	overall policy on
	□ F	Reviewin	g the manager's PRI Trans	parency or Asses	ssment reports	
		Reviewin	g the manager's responsib	le investment rep	orting (excluding PRI generate	ed reports)
	V F	Reviewin	g ESG characteristics of th	e portfolio		
		Reviewin	g the impact of ESG factor	s on financial per	formance	
			ging your managers to cons n collaborative projects with		onsible investment initiatives/c	organisations or
		ncluding lluation	responsible investment cri	teria as a formal	component of overall manage	r performance
		Other ge	neral aspects of your monit	oring; specify		
	○ We do	o not cor	nsider responsible investme	ent in the monitori	ng processes for fiduciary ma	nagers
□N	o, we do	not use	investment consultants or f	iduciary manager	S.	
SAM 02	Man	datory		Public	Gateway	PRI 1
SAM	02.1	investn	nent consultants, consider r	esponsible inves	ed asset classes your organistment factors in investment ment agreements/contracts), and	anager: (a)

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	\checkmark	V	\checkmark
Fixed income - SSA	V	V	\checkmark
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	V	V	V
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	V	V	\checkmark

SAM 02.2

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

City of Espoo assesses the capabilities of external investment managers to incorporate ESG issues.

Manager Selection:

When considering a new external investment manager ESG issues are factored into investment manager due diligence. We assess the investment manager capabilities to incorporate ESG within its investment processes and decision making. For example, we evaluate the quality and coverage of ESG research used by managers and how that information gained is incorporated into investment decision-making process.

Appointment:

When appointing a new external investment manager ESG requirements are incorporated into an investment management agreement. All of our investment managers have to be the UN PRI signatories.

Monitoring:

City of Espoo's regular manager performance review meetings include responsible investment agenda item, where relevant. This provides the opportunity to discuss any of the ESG issues that occurred since the last review meeting. For example, any issues identified by the manager during their investment analysis or issues that have generated media or public interest. An open discussion with managers on a face to face basis allows us to monitor managers ESG incorporation.

	Liste	d Equ	ity and Fixed Income Strategies	;		
SAM	04 Mandatory		Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2	
	SAM 04.1 Indicate which of the following to implement on your behalf:		Indicate which of the following ESG to implement on your behalf:	incorporation strat	tegies you require your external m	nanager(s)
			Active investment strategies			



Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?
Screening	\checkmark	\checkmark	V	
Thematic				
Integration	V		V	✓
None of the above				

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA	FI -Corporate (financial)?	FI -Corporate (non- financial)?
Screening				
Thematic				
Integration				
None of the above	V	V	7	I

SAM 04.2

SAM 05.1

Explain how you integrate ESG factors in the selection, appointment and monitoring of your passive funds

We require nothing but we strongly encourage external managers to use various screened passive products instead of existing conventional passive selection.

Selection							
SAM 05	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1		
CAM OF 4		Indicate what RI-related information	n your organisation	typically covers in the majority of	selection		

documentation for your external managers



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?		
Investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it						
ESG incorporation requirements						
ESG reporting requirements	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	V		
Other						
No RI information covered in the RFPs						

SAM 05.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's investment strategy	V	V			
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG					
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process (asset class specific)	V	V		☑	
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	V	V	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Other					
None of the above					

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?		
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	V	V				
Review the ownership of the ESG implementation						
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured						
Other						
None of the above						

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



			1		_	
	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?		
Review the process ensuring the quality of the ESG data used						
Review and agree the investment decision making process (and ESG data use in it)						
Review and agree the ESG incorporation strategy impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	\checkmark	V				
Review and agree how the manager is targeting returns and ESG objectives						
Review and agree how the manager identifies, measures and manages ESG risk	V	\checkmark	Ø			
Review and agree return and risk in combination at a portfolio level (portfolio construction) and ESG objectives						
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager in the monitored period						
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents						
Other, specify						
None of the above						
SAM 05.3 Describe the selection proce	SS					
☐ Review responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.	vestm	ent Due	Diligence Questionn	aire (PE DDO)		

SAM 05.3	Describe the selection process							
☐ Review responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.								
$\hfill \square$ Review Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (PE DDQ)								
☑ Review publicly available information								
☐ Review as	ssurance process							
☑ Review P	RI Transparency Reports							
☐ Request a	and discuss PRI Assessment Reports							
☑ Meetings	with the potential shortlisted managers							
☑ Site visits	to potential managers offices							
☐ Other, spe	ecify							



SAM 05.4 When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?
ESG score				
ESG weight	V	V	7	
Real world economy targets				
Other RI considerations	V	V	I	
None of the above				

If you select any 'Other' option(s), specify

Éxternal managers have to be UN PRI signatories and we evaluate their commitment to the ESG in strategy and investment level.

SAM	06	Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	SAM 0	6.1	Indicate how your organisation typic majority of the manager.	cally evaluates the	manager's active ownership prac	tices in the
			Engagement			

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?
Review the manager's engagement policy				
Review the manager's engagement process (with examples and outcomes)	V	V	☑	
Ensure that engagement outcomes feed back into the investment decision-making process				
Other engagement issues in your selection process specify				
None of the above				



	SAM 0	6.2	Describe how you assess	s if the ma	nager's enga	gement approach is eff	ective.	
'	☐ Impa	act on	investment decisions					
	□ Impa	act on	company / asset level					
	□ Impa	act on	ESG profile or the portfolio)				
	☑ Evid	lence	of changes in corporate pra	actices				
	□ Othe	er, spe	ecify					
	□ Non	e of th	e above					
	Appoi	ntmo	nt					
	Appoi	Hume	iii.					
SAM	07.1	Man	datory		Public	Core Assesse	ed	PRI 1
	SAM 0	7.1	Indicate if in the majority does any of the following				ct allows, your c	organisation
				as part or	The manage	гарропштеп		
			dard or ESG benchmarks					
			SG objectives					
			tives and controls linked to	•	tives			
	-		reporting on these objective	es				
			e above					
	□ INON	e or th	e above, we invest only in	pooled fur	nas ana nave	a thorough selection p	rocess	
SAM	07.2-5	Man	datory		Public	Core Assesse	ed	PRI 1
	SAM 0	7 2	Provide an example per					
	Or an O		reporting requirements th	at would t	sypically be in	cluded in your manage	rs' appointment	
			Asset class					
	☑ Li	sted e	equity (LE)					
			Benchmark					
		☑ We	e do not set benchmarks					
			Objectives					
		☑ We	e do not define ESG object	ives				
			Incentives and con	trols				
		☑ We	e do not set incentives and	controls				



		Reporting requirements
	Ad-hoc/v	when requested
	Annually	
	O Bi-annua	ally
	O Quarterly	y
	O Monthly	
V	Fixed income	- SSA (SSA)
		Benchmark
	☑ We do n	ot set benchmarks
		Objectives
	☑ We do n	ot define ESG objectives
		Incentives and controls
	☑ We do n	ot set incentives and controls
		Reporting requirements
	Ad-hoc/v	vhen requested
	Annually	
	O Bi-annua	ally
	O Quarterly	y
	O Monthly	
V	Fixed income	- Corporate (financial)
		Benchmark
	☑ We do n	ot set benchmarks
		Objectives
	☑ We do n	ot define ESG objectives
		Incontinuo and controlo

☑ We do not set incentives and controls



Reporting requirements	
Ad-hoc/when requested	
○ Annually	
○ Bi-annually	
○ Quarterly	
○ Monthly	
☑ Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	
Benchmark	
☑ We do not set benchmarks	
Objectives	
☑ We do not define ESG objectives	
Incentives and controls	
☑ We do not set incentives and controls	
Reporting requirements	
Ad-hoc/when requested	
○ Annually	
○ Bi-annually	
○ Quarterly	
O Monthly	
Explain how your organisation evaluates the reporting capacity of the manager to meet your	

reporting requirements during the selection process



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non- financial)?								
Agree upon ESG reporting requirements												
Review ESG reporting processes and capacity in place	V	V	V	✓								
Agree processes for raising ad-hoc ESG issues	V	\checkmark	\checkmark									
Other, specify												
None of the above												
SAM 07.4 Explain which of the Discuss requirements not met				ke if any of the requirements a	are not m							
☑ Review performance in more of the second sec	detail											
☑ Place investment manager on	a "wa	tch list"			☑ Place investment manager on a "watch list"							
☐ Investigate reason for non-compliance												
-	-											
☑ Require action plan from the n	-											
☑ Require action plan from the n☐ Negotiate fees	nanag	er										
☑ Require action plan from the n☐ Negotiate fees☐ Failing all actions, terminate company	nanag	er	manager									
☑ Require action plan from the n☐ Negotiate fees☐ Failing all actions, terminate co☐ Other, specify	nanag ontrac	er t with the										
☑ Require action plan from the n☐ Negotiate fees☐ Failing all actions, terminate company	nanag ontrac	er t with the										
☑ Require action plan from the n☐ Negotiate fees☐ Failing all actions, terminate co☐ Other, specify	nanag ontrac	er t with the										

When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls



SAM 08.1

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)?	FI - Corporate (non-financial)?		
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy						
Investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions						
ESG incorporation objectives (with examples)	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V				
ESG portfolio characteristics						
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period						
Information on any ESG incidents	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments						
PRI Transparency Reports						
PRI Assessment Reports						
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify						
None of the above						

SAM 08.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Cor (financi		te		FI - Corporat	e (non-	
ESG score						[
ESG weight						[
Real world economy targets						[
Other RI considerations						[
None of the above	V	\checkmark	\checkmark			[√		
AM 09 Mandatory					Public		Additional	Assessed	PRI ²
								ownership inform anager in meeti	
orga		typically re		d eva	luates fr	om the	investment m	anager in meeti	ngs/calls
orga	nisation	typically re				om the			ngs/calls
En Report on engagements	gageme	typically re	views an	d eva	luates fr	om the	investment m	anager in meeti	ngs/calls
Report on engagements examples)	gagemo	ent ken (outcon	views an	LE	FI - SSA	FI - C	investment m	FI - Corpora (non-financ	ngs/calls
Report on engagements examples) Account on engagement Other RI considerations	gagemounderta ESG impled the state of the st	ken (outcon	nes and	LE	FI - SSA	FI - C (final	investment m	FI - Corpora (non-financ	ngs/calls
Report on engagements examples) Account on engagement Other RI considerations investment management	gagemounderta ESG impled the state of the st	ken (outcon	nes and	LE	FI - SSA	FI - C (final	investment m	FI - Corpora (non-financ	ngs/calls
orga	gageme underta ESG im elating agreen	ken (outconnpacts to engagements; specif	nes and	LE	FI - SSA	FI - C (final	investment m	FI - Corpora (non-finance	ngs/calls

☑ Add Example 1



Topic or issue	Monitoring
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff
Asset class	☐ All asset classes
	☐ Listed Equity
	☐ Fixed income – SSA
	☑ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
	☐ Fixed income – corporate (financial)
Scope and process	Have a discussion with external manager about ESG on investment level
Outcomes	Changing some of our fixed income investments into sustainable bonds.
☐ Add Example 2	
☐ Add Example 3	
☐ Add Example 4	
☐ Add Example 5	

Communication

SAM 13 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

SAM 13.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

○ Yes, we disclose information publicly

 \square We are not able to provide examples

Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only

SAM 13.3

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

- $\ensuremath{\square}$ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes
- ☐ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
- \square E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership
- ☐ Other, specify
- O We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

