



LEA 02	Disclosures	Monetary	Principles
<p>By providing investors the ability to make informed decisions on investments for which they are responsible, investors can contribute to the achievement of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Paris Agreement. This is done through the use of their capital and influence as owners of companies and other entities. Investors can also contribute to the achievement of the SDGs and the Paris Agreement through their engagement with the companies and other entities they invest in.</p>	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisions on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practices or identify the need for additional action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage with internal staff 	<p>Principles: PRI 1, 2, 3</p>
Type of engagement	Individual/ internal staff engagements	Collaborative engagements	Service provider engagements

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Sector Asset Management AS

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	-	n/a							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		-	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	-	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Hedge Funds				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
HF 01	Rationale for adopting a RI policy	✓	Public							✓
HF 02	Normative codes/initiatives in the Hedge Fund industry	-	n/a				✓	✓		
HF 03	Organisation of RI responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
HF 04	RI training programme	✓	Public							✓
HF 05	Performance/remuneration metrics linked to RI incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
HF 06	ESG data, research and other resources used	✓	Public							✓
HF 07	ESG incorporation into quantitative and fundamental analysis	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 08	Changes to the RI incorporation process over the past 12 months	✓	Public	✓						✓
HF 09	Integration of Active Ownership	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 10	Examples of ESG risks/opportunities in investment decisions	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 11	Derivatives products and ESG impact	✓	Public							✓
HF 12	Long/short exposure and reporting	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 13	Metrics/KPI for RI progress	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 14	Exposure to climate risk	-	n/a							✓
HF 15	Reports to investors	✓	Public		✓					✓
HF End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Sector Asset Management AS

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Sector Asset Management AS ("the SAM group") is a multi-boutique investment firm made up of independent investment partners. Each investment partnership/ boutique is jointly owned by its investment team and Sector Asset Management AS, with the investment team retaining a significant equity ownership in its own partnership/ boutique. The investment partners are specialists in high value-added, non-mainstream strategies, focussed according to a specific geography, industry, investment process or type of risk.

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Norway

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

	FTE
--	-----

34

OO 03

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes

OO 03.2

List your subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories and indicate if you would like to report their RI activities in your organisation's consolidated report.

Name of PRI signatory subsidiary (Up to six subsidiaries may be reported)	RI implementation reported here on a consolidated basis
Sector Gamma AS	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Incentive AS	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

- No

OO 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Sector Gamma AS and Incentive AS are investment partners within the SAM group, and PRI signatories in their own right. Sector Asset Management AS holds app. 10% stake in each affiliate.

This report is prepared on a consolidated basis and covers funds managed by the investment partners: Incentive AS, Sector Gamma AS and Sector Theta AS.

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		2	704	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		2	704	000	000

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	49	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	51	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0

Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09 **Mandatory** **Public** **Peering** **General**

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets	100
Emerging Markets	0
Frontier Markets	0
Other Markets	0
Total 100%	100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Hedge funds

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Hedge Funds and/or Fund of Hedge Funds

Closing module

- Closing module

Sector Asset Management AS

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

The Sector Asset Management Group considers ESG integration to be an important instrument to improve the risk-return profile of the investments made by its group companies through their funds under management. Since each investment partner and each investment fund have their own, separate investment principles and strategies, the incorporation of ESG will naturally be specific to each partner and each fund. It is up to the investment managers, analysts, and others involved in the investment research and decision-making process within each investment partnership, to determine what weight the ESG factors should be given in each case.

Two of the SAM group affiliates are PRI signatories in their own right. Please refer to separate PRI reports made by Incentive AS and Sector Gamma AS.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.sector.no/en/subpages/esg-policy>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.sector.no/en/subpages/esg-policy>

- Attachment

- Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.sector.no/en/subpages/esg-policy>

- Attachment

- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3

Additional information [Optional].

Some of the SAM group affiliates have separate policies:

Incentive AS: <https://www.incentive.com/sustainability-policy/>

Sector Gamma AS: <http://sectorgamma.no/about/>

SG 03**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 03.1**

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Our internal rules and regulations has a policy regulating conflicts of interest.

The purpose of this policy is to ensure that conflicts of interest are appropriately managed and to prevent, to the extent possible, potential conflicts of interest from arising. The policy covers factors that may give rise to conflicts of interest, procedure on how to manage conflicts of interest, documentation etc. In addition, there is a specific section covering how to manage conflicts of interest in connection with portfolio management.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1 Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)

Other description (1)

By each investment partner separately

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0

SG 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Each investment partner may have dedicated responsible investment staff, however their roles are limited to the management of their funds/mandates under management, and not for those of the entire SAM group.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 09.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

	Description
--	-------------

Speeches given by Knut N. Kjær, Chairman of Sector Asset Management AS, during 2019:

- 1) The importance of ESG and Best Practices, Norwegian Venture Capital & Private Equity Association, Oslo, 28 February 2019
- 2) Vision 2020: Shifting the Gear on Climate Change, Climate Bonds Initiative Annual Conference, London 6 March 2019
- 3) Norges Bank Investment Management (NBIM) –“NBIM and Sustainability” Ministry of Foreign Affairs and NORAD Network meeting on blended finance for sustainable development and climate Oslo, 21 May 2019
- 4) Why and how climate risks affects investment portfolios Norwegian Association of Financial Analysts, Seminar on Sustainable finance, Oslo, 23 May and 2 December
- 5) Accelerating climate change – The ultimate risk for business and investors, Responsible Asset Owners Global Symposium, 8 October 2019, London

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

For a majority of the AUM managed by the investment partners in the SAM group, ESG analysis, including scenario analysis, is an integral part of the due diligence conducted prior to making an investment.

- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

	Describe
--	----------

Where relevant, climate related scenario analysis is also an integral part of the due diligence conducted prior to making an investment.

No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2	Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.
----------------	---

	We do the following
--	---------------------

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 13.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]
----------------	------------------------------------

The investment partners in the SAM group considers ESG issues in asset allocation differently. Both allocation between geographic markets and between sectors are considered for the consolidated assets of the SAM group.

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 16.1	Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.
----------------	--

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
--------------------	--

SG 16.2	Additional information [Optional].
----------------	------------------------------------

N/A

Communication

SG 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2, 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Frequency</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Hedge Funds

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation for all strategies</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation for each strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

SG 19.2 Additional information [Optional]

Our Investment Partners have different approaches related to disclosures to clients/beneficiaries.

Sector Asset Management AS

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1


Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 91
---	--

- Screening and integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 9
---	---

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

The investment partners in the SAM group have individual and differentiated approaches to ESG incorporation. Please refer to Incentive AS' and Sector Gamma AS' PRI reporting for further descriptions.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1 Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

The relevant portfolios are screened against NBIM's exclusion list.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2 Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

Any material changes to the screening criteria would be communicated to beneficiaries by way of writing, e.g. through the monthly investor communication letters.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08

Mandatory




Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 Environmental <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%
Social	 Social <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%
Corporate Governance	 Corporate Governance <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 09.1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.6

Additional information. [Optional]

The investment partners in the SAM group have individual and differentiated approaches to ESG integration. Please refer to Incentive AS' and Sector Gamma AS' PRI reporting for further descriptions.

Sector Asset Management AS

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<https://www.sector.no/en/subpages/esg-policy>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
- Methods of voting
- Transparency of voting activities
- Regional voting practice approaches
- Filing or co-filing resolutions
- Company dialogue pre/post-vote
- Decision-making processes
- Securities lending processes
- Other; (specify)

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner have their own voting policies

Other

Specify

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner have their own active ownership policies.

None of the above

No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

Yes

No

LEA 01.6 Additional information [optional]

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner have different active ownership policies. Certain Investment Partner(s) outsource active ownership activities to service providers.

Engagement

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	------------------

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner have their own methods of engagement and interaction.

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.
----------	---

- Yes
- No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1	Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.
----------	--

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 04.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner may have different objectives for their engagement activities.

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.
----------	---

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2 Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

LEA 05.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner may have different strategies for monitoring and/or reviewing engagement activities.

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 06.1 Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- Collaborating with other investors
 - Issuing a public statement
 - Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
 - Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
 - Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
 - Submitting nominations for election to the board
 - Seeking legal remedy / litigation
 - Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
 - Divestment
 - Other; specify
- No

LEA 06.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner may have different escalation strategies.

LEA 08**Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 2****LEA 08.1**

Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

LEA 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner may have different engagement strategies, including how these are tracked.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**LEA 12****Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 2**

LEA 12.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based on

- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Sector Asset Management AS and each Investment Partner have different voting policies.

For the Investment Partner Incentive AS, the following applies:

The Investment Partner own few investments at a time and vote according to thier own conviction to live up to the duty they have as fiduciaries, as appropriate.

For the Investment Partner Sector Gamma AS, the following applies:

The Investment Partner hire service providers who make voting decisions on thier behalf.

LEA 15**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 2****LEA 15.1**

Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.

- Vote(s) concerned selected markets
- Vote(s) concerned selected sectors
- Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 16.1 Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.2 Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- Vote(s) concern selected markets
- Vote(s) concern selected sectors
- Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

LEA 16.3 In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

- Yes
- No

LEA 16.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Each Investment Partner have their own policies regarding publication of engagement/voting.

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 17.1 For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other (explain)

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

- Yes
- No

Sector Asset Management AS

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Hedge Funds

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Policy

HF 01	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

HF 01.1 What is your rationale for adopting a policy to incorporate RI into the investment decision-making process? Please select all options that apply to your organisation.

- To provide a framework and ESG applicability to security selection (the strategy) and decision-making in Hedge Funds (e.g. breaking the strategy into different components and focus on risk/return).
- To provide a framework of the fund governance structure.
- Because ESG incorporation is perceived as a competitive advantage in the industry.
- Growing momentum of sustainable investing in Hedge Funds in the financial community.
- Other
- None of the above (we don't have a policy addressing RI incorporation into Hedge Funds).

Governance

HF 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

HF 03.1 Indicate whether and how your organisation has organised RI implementation and/or oversight responsibilities.

- We have dedicated internal staff with RI oversight responsibility for Hedge Funds (CEO, CIO, PM, etc.)
- We have dedicated internal staff with RI implementation responsibility for Hedge Funds (CEO, CIO, PM, etc.).
- We use external consultants that have oversight and/or RI implementation responsibilities.
- Other
- We do not have staff dedicated to RI oversight and implementation.

HF 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

HF 04.1 Please indicate whether you implemented any RI training program regarding hedge funds investments for your staff during the reporting year.

- Yes, we have a formal RI training/educational program covering hedge funds.
- Yes, we have a RI training program to educate staff regarding our hedge funds policies.
- Yes, we regularly train our staff on code of ethics/compliance manuals covering hedge funds investments.
- Other
- No, we don't have a RI training program.

Investment process

HF 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

HF 06.1

Please describe the ESG resources and tools used in your investment decision-making process.

Category of ESG	Reason for use
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESG data (proprietary, 3rd party, etc.)	To complete sustainability analysis
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESG research (broker, etc.)	To complete sustainability analysis
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultants	To complete sustainability analysis
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other resources/tools/practices <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-top: 5px;">Specify</div> WorldCheck Refinitiv	To complete sustainability analysis

HF 06.2

Select and explain how these resources are incorporated into the investment and risk management process?

Category of ESG	Investment/risk management process	Additional text (optional)
ESG data (proprietary, 3rd party, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Investment origination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portfolio construction <input type="checkbox"/> Trade management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk management	
ESG research (broker, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Investment origination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment analysis <input type="checkbox"/> Portfolio construction <input type="checkbox"/> Trade management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk management	
Consultants	<input type="checkbox"/> Investment origination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment analysis <input type="checkbox"/> Portfolio construction <input type="checkbox"/> Trade management <input type="checkbox"/> Risk management	
Other resources/tools/practices	<input type="checkbox"/> Investment origination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment analysis <input type="checkbox"/> Portfolio construction <input type="checkbox"/> Trade management <input type="checkbox"/> Risk management	

HF 07	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,2
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-----

HF 07.1 Does your organisation uses quantitative analysis?

- Yes
- We don't use quantitative analysis.

HF 07.2 Does your organisation uses fundamental analysis?

- Yes

Please indicate at which level ESG is incorporated into the analysis.

Fundamental approach	ESG incorporation	Outcomes and assessment/review
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Top-down <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bottom-up	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> At a micro level - ESG factors are integrated into financial models (DCF, multiples, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Financial ratios with embedded ESG factors. <input type="checkbox"/> At a macro level - ESG factors are embedded with economic indicators (GDP, inflation, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">Please specify</div> Implemented into portfolio optimization	Different for each Investment Partner.

We don't use fundamental analysis.

HF 08	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,6
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------

HF 08.1 Could you please indicate whether there have been any changes to your RI incorporation process over the past 12 months (e.g. additional resources, information sources)?

- Yes
- No

HF 08.2 If yes, please describe them.

During the last 12 months, Sector Asset Management AS and certain Investment Partners have formalized their ESG policies.

HF 09	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,2
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------

HF 09.1 Please select and explain how active ownership practices are integrated into investment decisions.

- (Proxy) Voting
Sector Gamma AS has implemented voting for all holdings based on ISS' global voting principles.
- Engagement
Incentive AS frequently meet with their portfolio companies and when appropriate, provide feedback, participate on nomination committees to influence board composition and generally aim to be a resource for their portfolio companies if and when they can contribute to value creation.
- Shareholder resolution
- None of the above
- Not applicable (N/A)

HF 10	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,2
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------

HF 10.1

Please provide examples of where ESG risks and opportunities were incorporated into the investment decisions over the past 12 months.

- Add Example 1
- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10
- We are not able to provide examples

HF 10.2

Based on your example(s) provided above, please specify whether the incorporation of ESG factors affected the risk-adjusted returns of your hedge funds.

- The incorporation of ESG risks positively affected the risk adjusted returns of the hedge funds
- The incorporation of ESG risks negatively affected the risk adjusted returns of the hedge funds
- The incorporation of ESG risks had an overall neutral effect on the risk adjusted returns of the hedge funds
- No impact, or we do not track this information.

HF 11

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

HF 11.1

Do you use derivatives instruments as part of your hedge funds strategies and/or Funds of Hedge Funds?

- Yes
- No

HF 11.2

Please select all the applicable categories of derivatives used.

Listed/OTC	Category of derivatives
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Listed derivatives	<input type="checkbox"/> Futures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Options (Equity, Index, ETF, FX, IR, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
<input checked="" type="radio"/> OTC derivatives	<input type="checkbox"/> Swaps <input type="checkbox"/> FRA <input type="checkbox"/> Exotic derivatives <input type="checkbox"/> CDS <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None of the above

HF 11.3 Please explain whether and how these derivatives impacted the risk-adjusted returns of your hedge funds investments?

Impact

- Positive impact
- Negative impact
- Neutral impact
- No impact or we do not track this information

Outcomes

NA

HF 11.4 Please indicate whether the use of derivatives triggered ESG risks/opportunities at the fund level?

- Yes
- No, or undetermined

Monitoring and reporting

HF 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,2
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------

HF 12.1 Could you indicate whether you report separately on your funds' long/short/net exposures?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please explain

The Investment Partners use the same approach on both long and short portfolios.

HF 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,2
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-----

HF 13.1 Please describe what metrics/initiatives (internal and/or external) your organisation uses to measure its progress in incorporating RI into the investment process.

- Add Example 1
- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10
- We are not able to provide examples

HF 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	2,6
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-----

HF 15.1 How often and in what format (e.g. meetings, written reports) does your organisation report to its investors on ESG activities risks assessments? Please provide reporting examples.

- Add Example 1
- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10
- We are not able to provide examples

Sector Asset Management AS

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)