



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for Interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced information <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements 		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

LSV Asset Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		-	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	🔒	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

LSV Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

LSV Asset Management provides discretionary investment management services in portfolios of publicly-traded global equity securities to a variety of institutional investors and commingled investment funds.

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

44

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		120	591	328	601
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		120	591	328	601

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06.1	Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.
---------	---

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0

Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

90

Emerging Markets

10



Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

OO 10.2 Indicate why you do not engage and do not require external managers to engage.

As a quantitative manager, LSV does not engage directly with investee companies on any issues, including ESG issues.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

	Cash
--	------

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

	Core modules
--	--------------

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

	RI implementation directly or via service providers
--	---

	Direct - Listed Equity incorporation
--	--------------------------------------

- Listed Equity incorporation

	Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
--	---

- (Proxy) voting

	Closing module
--	----------------

- Closing module

Peering questions				
--------------------------	--	--	--	--

OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
-----------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.


Percentage of internally managed listed equities

	Passive
--	---------

0

	Active - quantitative (quant)
--	-------------------------------

100



LSV Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) Internal research and analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) Disclosures and reporting	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

LSV's portfolio decision making process is quantitative and driven by (1) a proprietary model that ranks securities based on fundamental measures of value, past performance and indicators of recent positive changes and (2) a risk control process that controls for residual risk relative to a benchmark. There are a number of ways in which ESG plays a role in our quantitative investment process. In particular, there are a number of signals in LSV's model that relate to governance. See below for more information.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

LSV's policy outlines the six PRI principles and addresses how LSV seeks to promote such principles in its business. The policy notes that LSV has taken the following actions that promote ESG factors and is committed to continuing such efforts into the future:

1. Investment Management

There are a variety of ways in which ESG plays a role in LSV's quantitative investment process:

- There are a number of signals in LSV's model that relate to governance.
- LSV can integrate certain ESG constraints into the portfolio construction process for clients that request it.
- LSV may choose not to purchase or increase its investment in particular issuers due to heightened ESG risk, such as news of a major environmental or governance risk related to the company. Because LSV's process is quantitative, LSV can typically find another highly ranked stock to replace a company that has such ESG risk associated with it.
- LSV offers a commingled investment fund that excludes securities based on certain socially responsible investment considerations.
- LSV can apply negative screens at the portfolio level for clients that request it. Such screens can be applied based on client provided lists or based on certain third-party vendor data subscribed to by LSV.
- Forecasts by equity analysts are factored into LSV's quantitative model. ESG factors, such as climate change, are considered by some equity analysts, and, therefore, have an effect on portfolio construction.

2. Internal Research and Analysis

LSV conducts research on an ongoing basis on a variety of topics in order to develop enhancements to the LSV quantitative model. LSV considers ESG factors using the same investment process as for its other

investment ideas. LSV has researched a variety of ESG-related topics and is committed to further review of ESG factors that may increase returns and/or reduce risk and, after appropriate verification and testing, incorporating such factors into its model. In addition, LSV works with clients to develop ways to help achieve their goals with respect to ESG investing within the framework of LSV's quantitative model.

3. Proxy Voting

LSV's standard investment management agreement expressly authorizes LSV to vote proxies on behalf of a client's account. Therefore, unless the client reserves proxy voting responsibility, it is LSV's responsibility to vote proxies relating to securities held for the client's account. LSV has retained an expert third party, currently Glass Lewis & Co. ("GLC"), to implement LSV's proxy voting process, provide assistance in developing proxy voting guidelines and provide analysis of proxy issues on a case-by-case basis. GLC is also a signatory to the PRI. For clients where LSV has proxy voting authority, certain ESG factors are built into LSV's standard proxy voting guidelines. In addition, through GLC, LSV is able to offer ESG-focused guidelines that include an additional level of analysis on behalf of clients seeking to vote consistent with widely-accepted enhanced ESG practices. These ESG-focused guidelines are designed for clients with a focus on disclosing and mitigating company risk regarding ESG issues.

4. Engagement with other Parties

LSV may, from time to time, engage with PRI staff, other PRI signatories or service providers regarding ESG issues. Personnel of LSV attend ESG-related conferences. In addition, portfolio managers make presentations to clients and/or consultants regarding ESG issues. As a quantitative manager, LSV does not generally engage directly with issuers or policy makers on any matters, including ESG matters. However, LSV advocates for greater ESG-related disclosures by issuers through its relationships with third-party data vendors.

5. Resources devoted to ESG

LSV has designated senior members of the firm to lead its ESG initiatives. In addition, LSV has invested in a variety of ESG data in order to accommodate clients seeking to apply ESG principles to their portfolios and to support the firm's ongoing research into ESG matters.

6. Disclosures and Reporting

LSV discloses and reports information concerning its ESG initiatives in the following ways:

- LSV annually reports to the PRI on its activities and progress toward implementing the PRI principles as required.
- LSV makes this Statement of Responsible Investment Initiatives available on its website.
- LSV provides a variety of different forms of ESG-reporting to its clients upon request.
- LSV's proxy voting policy is publicly available in its Form ADV Part 2A. In addition, LSV clients may obtain information from LSV about its proxy voting guidelines and how LSV voted any proxies on behalf of their account upon request.

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
---------	--

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment (will be made public)

(Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/disclosure/>

Attachment (will be made public)

Other, specify (1)

Other, specify (1) description

Internal research and analysis

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment (will be made public)

Other, specify (2)

Other, specify (2) description

Disclosures and reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment (will be made public)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment

- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment

- Time horizon of your investment

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment

- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

- Attachment

- ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment

Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment

Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment

Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/about-lsv/>

Attachment

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3	Additional information [Optional].
----------------	------------------------------------

Additional documents regarding LSV's approach to ESG investing are provided upon request.

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

Yes

SG 03.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

The same team of portfolio managers is responsible for the day-to-day management of all of LSV's accounts. LSV uses a proprietary quantitative investment model to manage all of LSV's accounts. LSV relies extensively on its quantitative investment model regarding the advisability of investing in a particular company. Any investment decisions are generally made based on whether a buy or sell signal is received from the proprietary quantitative investment model. Accounts or funds with performance-based fees and accounts or funds in which employees may be invested could create an incentive to favor those accounts or funds over other accounts or funds in the allocation of investment opportunities. In addition, it is possible that a short position may be taken on a security that is held long in another portfolio. LSV has procedures designed to ensure that all clients are treated fairly and to prevent these potential conflicts from influencing the allocation of investment opportunities among clients. These procedures include quarterly reviews of allocation of investment opportunities among clients and allocation of partially-filled block trades to monitor for these potential conflicts and to ensure that investment opportunities are fairly allocated to all clients.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

LSV is frequently looking for new ways to measure value and conducts research, including ESG research, on an ongoing basis in order to develop enhancements to the LSV quantitative model. LSV generally has at least one ongoing research project related to ESG at any given time.

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Chief Compliance Officer**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)

Other description (1)

- Portfolio Compliance Analyst**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
----------------	---

The CEO and portfolio managers are responsible for making enhancements to the model. They have an ongoing project of reviewing and monitoring new research and other ESG developments. They are responsible for implementing any changes to the model regarding ESG factors. The Chief Compliance Officer is responsible for maintaining LSV's Statement of Responsible Investment Initiatives and reporting to clients. The Portfolio Compliance Analyst is responsible for implementing ESG-related guidelines and negative screens in LSV's trade order management system.

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

Number

0

SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

LSV has a total of 44 employees. There is not a dedicated responsible investment staff. Given the small number of employees, many employees are required to serve in multiple roles.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Membership in the PRI is a formal statement of LSV's commitment to reviewing ESG factors that may have an impact on performance, and, after appropriate verification and testing, incorporating such factors into its model. In addition, as signatory we access PRI resources and more easily engage with other signatories as needed.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

	Description
--	-------------

Portfolio managers make presentations to clients and/or consultants from time to time regarding ESG issues.

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

	Description
--	-------------

Engaged with a number of academic advisors. The advisors assist LSV on a variety of projects, including governance-related research.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

ESG research is an ongoing project at LSV. Research papers and/or findings are shared among relevant LSV employees.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify

specify description

Engaging with other PRI signatories and relevant service providers.

Description

LSV employees engaged with other signatories to the PRI and relevant service providers to discuss ESG initiatives.

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- No

SG 10.3	Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]
----------------	---

LSV is frequently looking for new ways to measure value and conducts ESG research on an ongoing basis.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants				
---	--	--	--	--

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.
----------------	---

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation				
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

SG 13.1	Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).
----------------	--

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]
----------------	------------------------------------

LSV does not conduct scenario analysis for any aspects of the portfolios we manage. Portfolio risk is managed through binding constraints in our quantitative model.

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

Frequency

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested

LSV Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1


Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 72
---	--

- Screening and integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 28
---	--

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

LSV's portfolio decision making process is quantitative and driven by (1) a proprietary model that ranks securities based on fundamental measures of value, past performance and indicators of recent positive changes and (2) a risk control process that controls for residual risk relative to a benchmark. There are a variety of ways in which ESG plays a role in LSV's quantitative investment process:

- There are a number of signals in LSV's model that relate to governance.
- LSV can integrate certain ESG constraints into the portfolio construction process for clients that request it.

- LSV may choose not to purchase or increase its investment in particular issuers due to heightened ESG risk, such as news of a major environmental or governance risk related to the company. Because LSV's process is quantitative, LSV can typically find another highly ranked stock to replace a company that has such ESG risk associated with it.
- LSV offers a commingled investment fund that excludes securities based on certain socially responsible investment considerations.
- LSV can apply negative screens at the portfolio level for clients that request it. Such screens can be applied based on client provided lists or based on certain third-party vendor data subscribed to by LSV.
- Forecasts by equity analysts are factored into LSV's quantitative model. ESG factors, such as climate change, are considered by some equity analysts, and, therefore, have an effect on portfolio construction.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
----------	--

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

LSV can apply ESG factors at the portfolio level for clients that provide us with specifications. LSV subscribes to two different ESG data sources, MSCI and ISS Governance, so that we can accommodate clients who would like to apply ESG principles to their portfolio. In addition, clients may also provide LSV with a list of restricted securities.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

The types of screens applied are typically directed by the client. Compliance with restricted lists are checked by the compliance module of Charles River, which checks pre-trade and post-trade compliance daily. Restricted lists that LSV maintains are updated periodically throughout the year depending on the type of list. Clients are not typically notified of updates to these lists. Client provided lists are updated at the clients' discretion.

LEI 05

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08

Mandatory




Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 Environmental <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%
Social	 Social <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%
Corporate Governance	 Corporate Governance <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%

LEI 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

There are a number of signals in LSV's model that relate to governance. In addition, ESG factors can play a role in any particular investment decision, such as news of a major environmental, social or governance risk related to the company. Also, LSV works with clients who specify the type of ESG profile they would like to have in their portfolio. LSV then integrates ESG factors in the portfolio construction process as is appropriate. This is currently done by constraining the portfolio at formation and at each rebalance to have an ESG profile based on certain metrics that is no worse than the portfolio's benchmark.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 09.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify

The portfolio manager primarily responsible for LSV's ESG research periodically shares results with the entire investment and research team.

- None of the above

LSV Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<https://www.lsvasset.com/disclosure/>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes

LEA 01.5

Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:

- Outline of service provider`s role in implementing your organisation`s active ownership policy
 - Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
 - Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
 - Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
 - Description of service provider monitoring processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - None of the above
- No

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 12.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)

LEA 12.2	Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.
-----------------	--

LSV has developed internal processes to ensure all ballots have been received by Glass Lewis for voting. This includes daily holdings reconciliation, weekly analysis of ballot receipt for all active accounts and weekly analysis of any holdings gaps. LSV works with Glass Lewis to ensure all eligible votes are cast and new accounts are on-boarded properly. LSV reviews Glass Lewis' SOC 1, or comparable, report to gain assurance that all votes are cast and cast according to policy. In addition, on a semi-annual basis, LSV sends Glass Lewis a questionnaire asking them to confirm that they have policies and procedures in place to monitor conflicts of interest, ensure that their proxy voting recommendations were based on correct and accurate information and that their policies and procedures were reasonably designed to avoid errors.

LEA 12.3	Additional information.[Optional]
-----------------	-----------------------------------

LSV has arranged for ESG-specific guidelines to be provided by Glass Lewis. Clients may request these guidelines to be applied to their account. In addition, we have worked with some clients to develop customized policies that are then implemented by Glass Lewis.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.
-----------------	---

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.4	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Our understanding is that most companies know Glass Lewis' rationale for voting even if it is not specifically communicated to them by LSV or Glass Lewis.

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1	For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

99

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2

Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other (explain)

LEA 18

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 2




LEA 18.1

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 77
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 20
Abstentions	 3

100%

- No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

0

LEA 18.4

Additional information. [Optional]

As a quantitative manager, LSV does not engage with issuers on any matters.

LEA 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

- Yes
- No

LSV Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
 - A third party conducts a SOC 1 audit of LSV annually. The SOC 1 covers certain internal controls of LSV.
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)