

<p>By providing a framework for the identification, assessment and management of environmental risks and opportunities, the PRI helps investors to understand the environmental risks and opportunities of their investments and to integrate this understanding into their investment decision-making processes.</p>	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Discretionary</p>	<p>Gateway</p>
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p>To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional information</p> <p>To engage internal ESG decision-makers</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p>To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional information</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p>To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional information</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

UEthical

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

UEthical

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

22

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		1	245	471	228
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD			843	681	724

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06.1	Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.
----------------	---

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	0
Fixed income	10-50%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	<10%	0

Other (1) specified

Commercial mortgages

Other (2) specified

Community impact loans

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09 **Mandatory** **Public** **Peering** **General**

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

100

Emerging Markets

0

Frontier Markets

0



Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

Fixed income SSA – engagement

- We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Money market instruments

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Other (1)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Other (2)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

`Other (1)` [as defined in OO 05]

Commercial mortgages

`Other (2)` [as defined in OO 05]

Community impact loans

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed income - SSA
- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)

Closing module

- Closing module

Peering questions


OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	---	--------	---------	---------

OO LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Passive

0



OO FI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
-----------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO FI 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies
-------------------	---

SSA	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Passive </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 0 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Active - quantitative (quant) </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 0 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Active - fundamental and active - other </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 100 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Total </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 100% </td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Passive	0			Active - quantitative (quant)	0			Active - fundamental and active - other	100			Total	100%	
	Passive																
0																	
	Active - quantitative (quant)																
0																	
	Active - fundamental and active - other																
100																	
	Total																
100%																	
Corporate (financial)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Passive </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 0 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Active - quantitative (quant) </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 0 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Active - fundamental and active - other </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 100 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Total </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 100% </td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Passive	0			Active - quantitative (quant)	0			Active - fundamental and active - other	100			Total	100%	
	Passive																
0																	
	Active - quantitative (quant)																
0																	
	Active - fundamental and active - other																
100																	
	Total																
100%																	
Corporate (non-financial)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Passive </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 0 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Active - quantitative (quant) </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 0 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Active - fundamental and active - other </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 100 </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Total </td> </tr> <tr> <td> 100% </td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Passive	0			Active - quantitative (quant)	0			Active - fundamental and active - other	100			Total	100%	
	Passive																
0																	
	Active - quantitative (quant)																
0																	
	Active - fundamental and active - other																
100																	
	Total																
100%																	

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

SSA	Developed markets	100
	Emerging markets	0
	Total	100%

OO FI 03.2 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.

Type	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	100%
Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

UEthical

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Ethical Investment Process - U Ethical's investment process ensures that all investment decisions are in keeping with our vision and that they promote careful financial stewardship. This is achieved through the application of positive and negative screens, and engagement with companies - together with a focus on competitive economic returns and sustainability.

Positive Screening

Our positive screen seeks out companies that promote human welfare and dignity or environmental sustainability. We screen positively for sectors such as: clean energy, sustainable agriculture, recycling and reuse, employment, community and education services and health care. We assess our positive thematic companies on their net contribution to the achievement of the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

U Ethical avoids investing in companies that:

- cause unacceptable damage to the natural environment
- infringe on human rights
- support oppressive regimes
- cause or perpetuate injustice and suffering
- have unacceptable occupational health and safety practices

Our negative screening approach means we systematically exclude the following industries due to their inherent negative impacts:

- Fossil fuels (power generation, exploration and extraction)
- Uranium
- Armaments
- Predatory lending
- Gambling
- Pornography and adult entertainment
- Animal cruelty
- Alcohol production
- Tobacco manufacturing

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

In practice, the majority of investments are in companies that are considered to be neutral in terms of the positive and negative screens. Such investments are made on the basis of fundamental investment criteria, assisting U Ethical to exercise good stewardship, and provide a reliable source of income and capital growth.

We apply a materiality threshold of 5% exposure across our exclusion criteria, as we recognise it can be challenging to screen all negative activity with 100% conviction. In addition, where companies have an

insignificant exposure to an excluded activity, this may be outweighed by other positive aspects of their business.

We also work with peers and industry bodies to promote the ethical approach with participation in working groups, media releases and sponsorships. We are currently involved in numerous collaboration efforts with peers and industry groups to collectively lobby companies.

Our advocacy is applied in recognition of our limited resources. We have traditionally lobbied and sought explanation from industry around two or three critical issues. It is our conscious effort to make a difference.

We actively vote our proxies (See Voting Policy) and incorporate strong gender diversity targets and remuneration caps. We also seek to actively engage with companies on ethical issues and look to effect positive change via direct engagement and collaboration. We have a documented set of principles stipulating when and how we engage with companies.

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
---------	--

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on social factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.ueethical.com/about-us/corporate-governance>

Attachment (will be made public)

Sector specific RI guidelines

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Engagement policy

(Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.uethical.com/about-us/corporate-governance>

Attachment (will be made public)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

Your organisation’s definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it’s relation to investments

Time horizon of your investment

Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.uethical.com/about-us/corporate-governance>

Attachment

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

U Ethical has a number of policies in place which address conflicts of interest throughout the investment process, including but not limited to:

U Ethical Best Execution and Trade Allocation Guidelines

U Ethical Segregation of Duties Policy

U Ethical Personal Securities Trading Policy

U Ethical Gifts and Benefits Policy

U Ethical also conducts a quarterly review of its holdings assessing compliance with the Ethical Investment Policy.

A quarterly review of the ASX300 is also conducted for possible breaches of the exclusion criteria within the Ethical Investment Policy. Companies who may engage in practices that do not pass our screening criteria are placed on our public exclusion list, subject to approval from the Investment Committee.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2 Additional information. [Optional]

The Ethical Investment Policy is reviewed quarterly at our Investment Committee. Our Ethical Advisory Panel also deliberates topical ethical issues each quarter, which directly influence our Ethical Policy. The Ethical Advisory Panel consists of our senior investment team, Directors and two external advisors to provide subject matter expertise on social and environmental factors.

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1 Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Chief Executive Officer**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

The Ethical Investment Policy, Voting register and Engagement activity are formally reviewed quarterly at the U Ethical Investment Committee. Any proposed changes to the approach to voting or Ethical Investment Policy are subject to approval at this level.

Board members are also represented on the Ethical Advisory Panel, held quarterly.

The Chief Investment Officer is responsible for ensuring that Responsible Investment practices are implemented by the Investment team, this includes ESG incorporation in to company analysis, voting and engagement on ESG issues, negative and positive screening.

The Ethics & Impact Manager works closely with the investment team to provide qualitative assessment over and above the quantitative screening process afforded by MSCI ESG screens.

Executive Management work directly with company management, often engaging on ethical and ESG matters

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

	Number
--	--------

1

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Recently joined with a view to participating in specific company engagements in 2020. More information in the Listed Equity Active Ownership section.

- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

U Ethical is an active member of Responsible Investment Association of Australia, of which ESG Research Australia is a sub-working Group with the aim to improve ESG Research. Each year U Ethical cast votes for and attend the ESG Research awards.

- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

IGCC Conference, Sydney 2019

- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
Responsible Investment Association of Australia

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

U Ethical are an active member of RIAA. U Ethical participates in numerous working groups facilitated by RIAA: The Corporate Engagement Working Group (CEWG), the RIAA Human Rights Working Group (HRWG), and the RIAA Impact and Measurement Community of Practice (IMMCOOP).

U Ethical are active voting members at RIAA's annual AGM. This year, our Ethics and Impact Manager was a nominated speaker on a panel discussing Impact Measurement: the differences across asset classes. U Ethical is also a regular sponsor of the RIAA conference, held every two years.

- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

The Uniting Church in Australia, Synod of Victoria and Tasmania Justice and International Mission unit is an active participant. An expert from this unit also sits on the U Ethical Ethical Advisory Panel.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Workforce Disclosure Initiative (WDI) Signatory

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Signatory to the Uluru Statement from the Heart

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

<https://www.uethical.com/blog/supporting-the--uluru--statement-from-the--heart>

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Christchurch Call

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

<https://www.uethical.com/blog/after-christchurch-its-time-to-rein-in-the-social-media-giants>

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Recent publication of the U Ethical White Paper on Responsible Investment. Numerous thought pieces discussing topical ethical issues.

In Q3 2019, U Ethical held an investor briefing at which both the Chief Investment Officer, and Ethics and Impact Manager presented on various topical ethical investing topics.

The U Ethical quarterly newsletter contains information on engagement, voting and topical ethical issues over the quarter.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 Biannually
 Annually
 Less frequently than annually
 Ad hoc
 Other
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
 Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

Recent university collaboration, with the University of New South Wales Business School, assisting with coursework for a new social responsible investing course. U Ethical provided two case studies. The aim of the course is to allow students to assess, and provide solutions for, ethical dilemmas faced by responsible investors.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 Biannually
 Annually
 Less frequently than annually
 Ad hoc
 Other
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
 Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
 Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

Recent publication of the U Ethical White Paper on Responsible Investment.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

Display of the PRI logo on external communications and publications.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)

Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

Description

<https://www.uethical.com/blog>

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify

On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

Description

U Ethical Board and Investment Committee Member Zarmeen Pavri brings to the firm over 24 years' experience in the funds management, impact investing and international development sectors. Zarmeen's multidimensional background ranges from strategy development, investment, and risk management through to institutional sales and business development. Zarmeen is a Specialist Social Impact Investment Technical Advisor for the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade and serves on various advisory boards of impact enterprises.

Zarmeen is an Australian chartered accountant and has a Bachelor of Commerce (sub-major Law) degree from Western Sydney University.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.
---------	---

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

SG 13.1	Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).
---------	--

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.3

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

U Ethical takes considerations of climate change risk and a 1.5 degree target into account as a long term secular, thematic trend likely to impact the long term valuation of a company on a positive or negative basis, but this is not yet modelled / quantified.

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Cash	NR
Money market instruments	The ethical nature of the Enhanced Cash Portfolio is largely derived from minimum ESG ratings at an issuer level, and shareholder engagement between U Ethical and relevant counterparties to ensure the promotion of best ESG and ethical practices. The portfolio follows the U Ethical Ethical Investment Policy negative and positive screening criteria, this too is assessed at an issuer level.
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	Mortgages - For U Ethical's commercial mortgages, credit will not be extended where the underlying property is to be used for activities excluded under the Ethical Investment Policy. For example to be used for gambling activities.
Other (2) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	Community Impact Loans - These loans are extended by U Ethical for education, health or community services and affordable housing.

SG 16.2

Additional information [Optional].

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation	
<input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used	
Frequency	
<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently	
<input type="checkbox"/> Biannually	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually	
<input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually	
<input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested	
URL	
https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/31-UE-Annual-Report-2019_72dpi_SML.pdf	
URL	
https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf	

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested
URL	<p>https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/31-UE-Annual-Report-2019_72dpi_SML.pdf</p>

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
<input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input checked="" type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	
Frequency	
<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested	
URL	
https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/31-UE-Annual-Report-2019_72dpi_SML.pdf	

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
	<p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>
	<p>URL</p> <p>https://www.ueethical.com/uploads/resources/Ethical-Investment-Policy.docx.pdf</p>

SG 19.2 | Additional information [Optional]

The Ethical Investment Policy is available publicly on our website and applies across all funds.

UEthical

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1

Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	
---	---

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

To ensure compliance with our ethical and environmental values, qualitative esg analysis is conducted across all new holdings prior to investment. Companies are screened for their performance against material environmental, social and governance factors prior to investment. Along with internal research, U Ethical utilises MSCI ESG research, broker reports, ISS governance platform, news sources and other relevant sources. The relevant ESG factors will vary by industry and company, we use the MSCI ESG scorecard data for each security to ensure the most material risks for each individual company are considered. Qualitative ESG analysis also helps us to ascertain whether the company has any practices or products which could breach our negative screening policy.

We choose this strategy to ensure that only companies with strong ESG credentials come through in our investable universe - that is companies with ESG ratings BBB in the International context, B in the domestic Australian context. This is the most appropriate strategy for us - due to limited resource we lean on available, quantifiable ESG ratings where possible.

LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

We incorporate ESG analysis and also ESG controversy screening. U Ethical monitors ethical controversies to ensure that companies continue to comply with the Ethical Investment Policy. The team uses a range of sources to monitor controversies including MSCI ESG Manager alerts, ISS governance research, broker reports, news publications, Factset, industry bodies (RIAA, PRI, ACCR) and relationships with non-for-profit organisations such as the Uniting Church of Australia Justice for International Mission Unit.

All companies with a 'Very Severe' MSCI controversy rating either ongoing, or within the last 3 years, are likely to be screened out. If a current holding receives a Very Severe controversy rating this is discussed in our Portfolio Management Meeting. Any proposed addition of a company to the U Ethical exclusion list is subject to Investment Committee approval, although companies may be divested following discussion at the quarterly Portfolio Management Meeting.

We also run daily alerts on controversies - both holdings and the wider investable universe. This is monitored by both the Investment Team and Ethics & Impact Manager.

We publish an excluded stock list on to the website alongside the reason for exclusion, which includes companies excluded due to either their industry, practices or controversies.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Our negative screen evaluates a company's products, services and practices to ensure they are not detrimental to society or the environment. U Ethical avoids investing in companies that:

- cause unacceptable damage to the natural environment
- infringe on human rights
- support oppressive regimes
- cause or perpetuate injustice and suffering
- have unacceptable occupational health and safety practices including disregard for minimum wage laws.

U Ethical also systematically excludes companies with operations in the following areas due to their inherent negative impacts:

- Oil, coal, gas (power generation, exploration, extraction)

- Uranium for non-medical uses
- Armaments
- Predatory lending
- Gambling
- Pornography and adult entertainment
- Animal cruelty
- Alcohol production
- Tobacco manufacturing

We recognise that there are occasions when companies inadvertently violate these principles and make genuine efforts to rectify this. Furthermore, we may choose not to exclude a company where a contravention of the principles constitutes less than 5% of the company's revenue or earnings. In such instances, the contravention may be outweighed by significantly positive factors.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description
<p>U Ethical screen out companies with below average ESG ratings across all portfolios. U Ethical recognises that ESG ratings are relative to sector peers and can be driven by policies and reporting capabilities rather than specific actions. Therefore, external ESG ratings are complemented by internal assessments to ascertain whether the company meets our ethical standards.</p> <p>U Ethical also excludes a number of products and practices from its investable universe, which are deemed to be harmful to either society or the environment.</p> <p>Positive companies are assessed through a UN Sustainable Development Goals framework. All portfolios strive for at least 10% exposure (although often it's much higher) to companies significantly contributing to the achievement of the goals.</p>

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description

Our portfolios are screened via MSCI ESG Manager for compliance with UN Global Compact Principles.

LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
-----------------	--

We do not currently advise clients directly when there is an update to our investment policy/screening. We indirectly notify through a number of mediums:

- Updating website pages with the most current investment policy
- Sharing updates on our website in the form of blog posts
- News shared across our LinkedIn page
- As part of quarterly newsletters with feature articles on this
- Calls with clients

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.
-----------------	---

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.4 Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5 Additional information. [Optional]

The investment team receive daily alerts from third-party providers on the following

- Controversies related to current holdings
- Changes to ESG ratings
- Screening criteria is reviewed on a quarterly basis at the U Ethical Investment Committee. Any updates are subject to Investment Committee approval

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08

Mandatory




Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 <p>Environmental</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Social	 <p>Social</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Corporate Governance	 <p>Corporate Governance</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Companies are screened for their performance against relevant environmental, social and governance factors prior to and throughout investment. Along with internal research, U Ethical utilises third-party provider research, broker reports, governance platforms, news sources and many other relevant sources. The relevant ESG factors will vary by industry and company, we use a materiality scorecard for each security to ensure the most material risks for each individual company are considered.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 09.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

UEthical

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<https://www.uethical.com/uploads/resources/Voting-Policy-U-Ethical.pdf>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes

LEA 01.5

Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:

- Outline of service provider's role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy
- Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
- Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
- Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
- Description of service provider monitoring processes
- Other; (specify)

Votes are cast through the ISS voting platform, and ISS analysis is used in assessing each proxy. All proxies are assessed manually.

- None of the above

- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.
----------	---

Yes

LEA 03.2	Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers

No

LEA 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We prioritise engagements and collaboration efforts on many of the above. We consider the materiality of the issue to our own ethical values, and our ability to create impact in the area. Where we feel we are unlikely to effect impact through direct engagement, we seek to join collaboration efforts with other investors. We find this a particularly useful tool in the international context.

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 05.1 Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2 Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 06.1 Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

- Yes
- No

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 07.1 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.2 Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Engagement efforts and campaigns are collaborative throughout the investment team. Engagement responsibilities are shared across the CIO, Ethics and Impact Manager and Head of Equities, dependant on the market / sector and where the necessary expertise lies. Engagement efforts, both individual and collaborative, are a standing item in the quarterly U Ethical Investment Committee meeting, the Committee monitors and discusses the progress of ongoing engagements. This collaborative approach allows the progress and outcomes engagements to be incorporated in to the investment decisions and analysis.

U Ethical also collaborate on an ongoing basis with the Senior Social Justice Advocate of the equipping Leadership for Mission Unit, Uniting Church of Australia. This provides us with timely, on the ground information of various targeting campaigns the Uniting Church have ongoing with listed companies. This also allows U Ethical to provide meaningful input to collaborative engagements with the wider investment industry.

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

LEA 08.1 Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

LEA 08.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Systematic process in place for recording and updating engagements within the investment team. This is reported as a standing agenda item to the Board Investment Committee.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 12.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
-----------------	--

	Approach
--	----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

	Based on
--	----------

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2	Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.
-----------------	--

Voting decisions are reviewed and discussed by the team formally at the fortnightly Portfolio Management Meeting, which is minuted. Furthermore, voting decisions are recorded internally on the Voting Register, reviewed on a quarterly basis by the Board Investment Committee, and audited on an annual basis.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 15.1 Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.

- Vote(s) concerned selected markets
- Vote(s) concerned selected sectors
- Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

LEA 15.3 Additional information. [Optional]

It is our policy to engage with companies prior to casting our votes. Due to a lack of resource and team restructure throughout 2019, many engagements were held after the event to inform companies of how we voted and why.

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 16.1 Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.2	Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Vote(s) concern selected markets
- Vote(s) concern selected sectors
- Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

LEA 16.3	In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEA 16.4	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Engagement principles:

We will strive to write to domestic companies soon after investing to inform them of our values, ethical investment policy, and voting policy and guidelines. Throughout the voting season, U Ethical will aim to engage with companies as and when we feel that we can genuinely effect positive change.

Board diversity - Whilst our board diversity expectations are not explicitly outlined in the voting policy, diversity is a material factor to U Ethical which we champion in our advocacy efforts. We will use our power as shareholders to vote and engage with companies who do not meet the ACSI recommended 30% female diversity threshold, following the process outlined in our engagement principles.

Shareholder resolutions - U Ethical votes all shareholder resolutions, considering each on a case by case basis in relation to the U Ethical values and ensuring they are in the best interests of our clients. Where votes are cast against management, U Ethical will aim to write to the company to outline our votes cast, and why.

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1	For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

96

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings




- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other (explain)

LEA 18	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEA 18.1 Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 76.44
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 23.56
Abstentions	 0

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

5

LEA 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

Yes

No

UEthical

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer


This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.			

SSA	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Strategy</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + thematic strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All three strategies combined</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No incorporation strategies applied</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total (any strategy)</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Strategy	Percentage	Screening alone	0	Thematic alone	0	Integration alone	0	Screening + integration strategies	100	Thematic + integration strategies	0	Screening + thematic strategies	0	All three strategies combined	0	No incorporation strategies applied	0	Total (any strategy)	100%
Strategy	Percentage																				
Screening alone	0																				
Thematic alone	0																				
Integration alone	0																				
Screening + integration strategies	100																				
Thematic + integration strategies	0																				
Screening + thematic strategies	0																				
All three strategies combined	0																				
No incorporation strategies applied	0																				
Total (any strategy)	100%																				
Corporate (financial)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Strategy</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Strategy	Percentage	Screening alone	0	Thematic alone	0	Integration alone	0	Screening + integration strategies	100										
Strategy	Percentage																				
Screening alone	0																				
Thematic alone	0																				
Integration alone	0																				
Screening + integration strategies	100																				



Corporate (non-financial)	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	100
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
	100%	

FI 01.2 Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

To ensure compliance with our ethical and environmental values, negative screening and esg analysis is conducted across all new holdings prior to investment, and current holdings at regular intervals. Products and practices which we believe to be detrimental to either the environment or society are screened out of our investable universe.

Companies are also screened for their performance against material environmental, social and governance factors prior to investment. Along with internal research, U Ethical utilises MSCI ESG research, broker reports, ISS governance platform, news sources and many other relevant sources. The relevant ESG factors will vary by industry and company, we use the MSCI ESG scorecard data for each security to ensure the most material risks for each individual company are considered. Qualitative esg analysis helps us to ascertain whether the company has any practices which could breach our negative screening policy.

Our negative screening ensures we invest in line with our ethical values and those of our clients. We choose these strategies to ensure that only companies with strong ESG credentials come through in our investable universe. This is the most appropriate strategy for us due to limited resource. We lean on available, quantifiable ESG ratings where possible.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
----------------	---

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 04.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

FI 04.2**Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income**

Our negative screen evaluates a company's products, services and practices to ensure they are not detrimental to society or the environment. U Ethical avoids investing in companies that:

- cause unacceptable damage to the natural environment
- infringe on human rights
- support oppressive regimes
- cause or perpetuate injustice and suffering
- have unacceptable occupational health and safety practices including disregard for minimum wage laws.

U Ethical also systematically excludes companies with operations in the following areas due to their inherent negative impacts:

- Oil, coal, gas (power generation, exploration, extraction)
- Uranium for non-medical uses
- Armaments
- Predatory lending
- Gambling
- Pornography and adult entertainment
- Animal cruelty
- Alcohol production
- Tobacco manufacturing

We recognise that there are occasions when companies inadvertently violate these principles and make genuine efforts to rectify this. Furthermore, we may choose not to exclude a company where a contravention of the principles constitutes less than 5% of the company's revenue or earnings. In such instances, the contravention may be outweighed by significantly positive factors.

FI 06**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****FI 06.1**

Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

FI 10.1	Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.
---------	--

U Ethical's investment process ensures that all investment decisions maintain our vision to improve the world through the power of purposeful investing, as well as promoting careful financial stewardship. This is achieved through the application of positive and negative screens, and engagement with companies - together with a focus on competitive economic returns and sustainability.

We initially integrate ESG analysis within our process through discussions with the issuer, looking at the company through an ESG lens, comparing it to peers, discussing any continuous improvement efforts they have in place relevant to ESG metrics. We then assess how this may improve their financial performance / standing.

Country exposure may raise material ESG risk. For example, a supranational bank could be supporting infrastructure projects that benefit communities, but due to corrupt governments within the countries in which these are built, there could be corruption in the tendering process.

FI 10.2	Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.
---------	---

SSA

These would primarily be country specific risk. Second-order effects are also considered. For example, if the country in which they are lending to does not have sustainable practices then by default a lot of the loans they provide for a project will not be carried out in a sustainable manner.

Corporate (financial)

We look at how they support social and environmental endeavours (giving discounted loans for SDG aligned projects as an example). In relation to Governance metrics, we look at compensation but primarily how they have responded to changes in regulation and compliance.

Corporate (non-financial)

A wider set of ESG metrics are relevant to Corporate (non-financial). For example, with REITS we may look at what they are doing to improve the NABERS rating of their buildings and how they are enforcing green leases onto their tenants.

FI 11	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 11.1	Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.
---------	--

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Other, specify in Additional Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

FI 12	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 12.1	Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.
---------	---

	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all
Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all
Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

SSA

Country ESG risk and exposure is integrated in to the fundamental analysis process.

Corporate (financial)

Minimum MSCI ESG ratings of B
 Maximum MSCI Controversy rating of Very Severe
 Governance is systematically reviewed for all holdings as part of our fundamental analysis. We believe that governance is a key metric directly linked to the long-term sustainability of the issuer.

Corporate (non-financial)

Minimum MSCI ESG ratings of B
 Maximum MSCI Controversy rating of Very Severe
 Governance is systematically reviewed for all holdings as part of our fundamental analysis. We believe that governance is a key metric directly linked to company stability and performance.

UEthical

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
Investment Team review of all data input to PRI, both Ethics and Impact Manager and Investment decision-makers.
- None of the above

CM1 01.2 Additional information [OPTIONAL]

Legal & Compliance have reviewed the Strategy and Governance section.
CIO has reviewed the Organisational Overview and Strategy and Governance.

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme

CM1 03.2 Which scheme?

- National SRI label based on the EUROSIF Transparency guidelines
- B-corporation

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
 - 25-50 %
 - 50-70 %
 - >75 %
- UK Stewardship code
 - GRESB
 - Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
 - Social label
 - Climate label
 - RIAA

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
 - 25-50 %
 - 50-70 %
 - >75 %
- Other
 - We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
 - ESG audit of holdings
 - Other, specify
 - None of the above

CM1 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Direct - Fixed Income
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Direct - Fixed Income
- RI/ESG Team

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Direct - Fixed Income
- Investment Teams

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Direct - Fixed Income
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)