



# RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2017

Church of England Pensions Board





# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
8	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ð	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



# **Principles Index**

Organisational Overview					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
00 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance					Principle General				General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	~						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							<b>✓</b>
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring					Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 02	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 03	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
SAM 04	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓	✓					
SAM 05	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public		~					
SAM 07.1	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 07.2-5	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 09	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>						
SAM 10	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 11	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	~						
SAM 12	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	<b>✓</b>					✓	
SAM 13	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public						✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - L	Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					Principle			General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	<b>✓</b>	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	8	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	8	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	<b>✓</b>	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		<b>✓</b>				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Church of England Pensions Board

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Organisational Overview

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## **Basic Information** Gateway/Peering 00 01 **Mandatory Public** General 00 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide. O Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan Insurance company Foundation O Endowment O Development finance institution O Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund O Family office Other, specify OO 02 **Mandatory Public Peering** General 00 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters. United Kingdom 00 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters). ① 1 0 2-5 O 6-10 ○ >10 00 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE). FTE 19 OO 02.4

3 directly employed investment staff, 1 pension fund accountant, 15 pension managers and administrators.

In addition, we call upon the services of one and a half staff in the Church's EIAG (Ethical Investment Advisory Group) for the development of ethical investment policy, and two and a half staff working jointly for the Church Commissioners and the Board on engagement with investee companies and voting at company meetings.

The EIAG is part sponsored by the Church of England Pensions Board, along with the Church Commissioners and the Trustees of the CBF Investment Funds.



OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in

O Yes

their own right.

No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		2	169	809	651
Currency	GBP				
Assets in USD		2	762	613	570

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	59
Fixed income	0	23
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	9
Infrastructure	0	4
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	4



Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	1
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

O as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

## **Gateway asset class implementation indicators** 00 10 **Mandatory Public Gateway** General Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed 00 10.1 equities in the reporting year. ☑ We address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes ☐ We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes. Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in 00 10.2 the reporting year. ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers ☑ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG issues on our behalf ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the 00 10.3 reporting year ☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers ☐ We require our external managers to vote on our behalf ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf 00 11 **Mandatory Public** Gateway General



00 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)

☐ Fixed income - corporate (financial)
☐ Fixed income - corporate (non-financial
✓ Infrastructure
☐ Hedge funds
□ Cash
$\square$ None of the above



# Church of England Pensions Board

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Strategy and Governance

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## **Investment policy**

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

## Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following						
	anisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments						
☐ Your inve	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account						
☐ Processe	s / approaches to incorporating ESG						
☐ Time hori	zon of your investment						
☐ Governar	nce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities						
☐ ESG inco	rporation approaches						
✓ Active ow	rnership approaches						
☐ Reporting							
Other RI	considerations, specify (1)						
A specific principles	ally Christian and Anglican approach that embaces Anglican theology, and ESG and SRI						
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)							
SG 01.4	Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.						
□ UN Glo	responsible investment approach.						
□ UN Glo	responsible investment approach.  bbal Compact Principles						
□ UN Glo □ UN Gu □ Univers	responsible investment approach.  bbal Compact Principles iding Principles on Business and Human Rights						
□ UN Glo □ UN Gu □ Univers □ Interna	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles  iding Principles on Business and Human Rights  sal Declaration of Human Rights						
☐ UN Glo ☐ UN Gu ☐ Univers ☐ Interna	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles  iding Principles on Business and Human Rights  sal Declaration of Human Rights  tional Bill of Human Rights						
□ UN Glo □ UN Gu □ Univers □ Interna □ Interna	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles iding Principles on Business and Human Rights sal Declaration of Human Rights tional Bill of Human Rights tional Labour Organization Conventions						
□ UN Glo □ UN Gu □ Univers □ Interna □ Interna □ United □ OECD	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles iding Principles on Business and Human Rights sal Declaration of Human Rights tional Bill of Human Rights tional Labour Organization Conventions Nations Convention Against Corruption						
☐ UN Glo ☐ UN Gu ☐ Univers ☐ Interna ☐ Interna ☐ United ☐ OECD ☐ Other,	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles  iding Principles on Business and Human Rights  sal Declaration of Human Rights  tional Bill of Human Rights  tional Labour Organization Conventions  Nations Convention Against Corruption  Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises						
□ UN Glo □ UN Gu □ Univers □ Interna □ Interna □ United □ OECD □ Other, □ Other,	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles  iding Principles on Business and Human Rights  sal Declaration of Human Rights  tional Bill of Human Rights  tional Labour Organization Conventions  Nations Convention Against Corruption  Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises  specify (1)						
□ UN Glo □ UN Gu □ Univers □ Interna □ Interna □ United □ OECD □ Other, □ Other, □ Other,	responsible investment approach.  abal Compact Principles  iding Principles on Business and Human Rights  sal Declaration of Human Rights  tional Bill of Human Rights  tional Labour Organization Conventions  Nations Convention Against Corruption  Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises  specify (1)  specify (2)						

SG 01.5

Describe your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

The Board's investment beliefs are attached to the Statements of Investment Principles of each of its four pensions schemes. See here for one example:

https://www.churchofengland.org/media/3897124/cefps-sip.pdf

The first and leading belief is: "Ethical and responsible investment considerations are central to the Board's work".

In managing the assets of four pension schemes, the Board has to balance its fiduciary duty to sponsors and scheme members with the ethical principles of the Church. Investment return is given the same priority as ethical considerations. However, the Board believes that companies that pay proper attention to ESG and SRI principles, and are responsive to shareholders' concerns, will generate superior returns.

The Board expects companies in which its schemes invest to demonstrate responsible employment and corporate governance practices; to be conscientious with regard to environmental performance and human rights; and to deal fairly with customers and act with sensitivity to the communities in which they operate. When appointing its investment managers, the Board takes into consideration how they incorporate analysis of companies' performance on environmental, social and governance ("ESG") issues into their stock selection.



 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 01.6

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Extract from Statement of Investment Principles:

#### 8. Ethical and responsible investment

The Trustee recognises that the beneficiaries and the sponsors of the Scheme are part of the Church of England and that the Scheme's investments should reflect that as far as possible without compromising its objectives. The Trustee wishes to exercise its responsibilities as an asset owner fully.

The Trustee receives advice on the ethical implication of investments from the Ethical Investment Advisory Group ("EIAG") of the Church of England, including ethical investment policies that are developed for all Church of England investors.

The Trustee also values engagement with companies over responsible and ethical investment issues, and it considers that as a more effective means of exercising its stewardship responsibilities than disinvestment in many situations. Company engagement is carried out by the in-house Engagement Team that works jointly for the Church of England Pensions Board and the Church Commissioners.

The Engagement Team produces a list of restricted investments that reflects the ethical policies approved by the Trustee. Investment managers appointed by the Trustee are instructed to exclude these investments from their portfolios.

The Trustee expects companies in which the Scheme invests to demonstrate responsible employment and corporate governance practices; to be conscientious with regard to environmental performance and human rights; and to deal fairly with customers and act with sensitivity to the communities in which they operate. When appointing its investment managers, the Trustee takes into consideration how they incorporate analysis of companies' performance on environmental, social and governance ("ESG") issues into their stock selection.

The Ethical Investment Statement of the EIAG, which has been adopted by the Trustee, is adapted from time to time and can be found on the EIAG's website.

Before an investment is made in a pooled vehicle, where the Trustee cannot directly influence the selection of individual investments, the Trustee will satisfy itself that the proportion of restricted investments (as shown on the EIAG's restricted list) in the pooled fund is not material.

The Trustee intends that the Scheme should vote at all company meetings held by its investee companies. This is carried out by the in-house Engagement Team.

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  Policy setting out your overall approach

**URL/Attachment** 

**☑** URL



URL

https://www.churchofengland.org/media/3953548/statement-of-ethical-investment-policy-march-2017.pdf
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/about-us/structure/eiag/ethical-investment-policies.aspx
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/about-us/structure/eiag/ethical-investment-policies.aspx
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/about-us/structure/eiag/ethical-investment-policies.aspx
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
☑ Screening / exclusions policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL



URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/about-us/structure/eiag/ethical-investment-policies.aspx
☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ Engagement policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/about-us/structure/eiag/ethical-investment-policies.aspx
<ul> <li>□ Attachment (will be made public)</li> <li>□ (Proxy) voting policy</li> <li>□ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents</li> </ul>
SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
✓ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/about-us/structure/eiag/ethical-investment-policies.aspx
☐ Attachment ☐ Active ownership approaches ☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1) ☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components
SG 02.3 Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available
Yes
URL
https://www.churchofengland.org/clergy-office-holders/pensions-and-housing/church-of-england-investment-fund-for-pensions.aspx



 $\bigcirc$  No

SG 02.4

Additional information [Optional].

We have a proxy voting template that is derived from our ethical investment policies, but we do not disclose the template. We aim to vote at all company meetings for companies we own, globally.

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Extract from the Board's Code of Conduct for trustees:

#### Handling Conflicts of Interest

- 7. The purpose of the provisions set out at paras 9 16 below is to avoid any danger of members being influenced, or appearing to be influenced, by their private interests (or the interests of those persons or bodies they are closely connected with) in the exercise of their duties as a member.
- 8. Prospective members should consider possible conflicts of interest before they are appointed or stand for election. All members should advise of actual or potential conflicts of interest as soon as they become aware of them.

### Registration of Interests

- 9. All members should register in the Central Register of Members' Interests any personal interest which might influence their judgement or which could be perceived (by a reasonable member of the public) to do so.
- 10. In particular, members should register -
- relevant personal direct or indirect pecuniary interests;

"pecuniary" interests including shareholdings or other financial interests (including remunerated directorships) in commercial organisations with which the Pensions Board has, or may reasonably be expected to have, a financial relationship.

 $\bigcirc$  No

Objectives and strategies				
SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General



SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional

This is done both at the level of the Ethical Investment Advisory Group and at investment sub-committee level within the Board

## **Governance and human resources**

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 07.1

	Roles present in your organisation
☑ Board me	embers or trustees
	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
☐ Impl	ementation of responsible investment
□ No o	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☑ Chief Exe	ecutive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
✓ Impl	ementation of responsible investment
□ No o	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Other Ch	ief-level staff or head of department, specify
□ Portfolio	managers
✓ Investme	nt analysts
□ Ove	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Impl	ementation of responsible investment
□ No o	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
✓ Dedicate	d responsible investment staff
	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Impl	ementation of responsible investment
□ No o	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	managers or service providers
	rsight/accountability for responsible investment
☑ Impl	ementation of responsible investment
□ No o	oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
☐ Investor i	relations
☐ Other role	e, specify (1)
☐ Other role	e, specify (2)
9 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
out work or	rised on responsible investment by the Ethical Investment Advisory Group whose Secretariat staff in the Board's behalf. A separate engagement team carries out engagement with investee rotes at company meetings for the Board and the Church Commissioners.
G 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.



Number

SG 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

These are resources shared between the Pensions Board and the Church Commissioners - 2 on policy development in the EIAG and 2 in the engagement team.

Promoting responsible investment							
09	Mandat	tory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5		
New sel	New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.						
SG 09	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.						
	t all that a	apply Responsible Investment					
		Your organisation's role in the	e initiative during th	ne reporting period (see definiti	ons)		
	☐ Basic						
	✓ Mode	rate					
	☐ Advar	nced					
		Provide a brief commentary o [Optional]	on the level of your	organisation's involvement in t	the initiative.		
Par	ticipate in	the Hedge Funds work stream a	and the Director No	ominations Steering Committee	<b>;</b> .		
□ AFI	IC – La Co	ommission ESG					
☐ Asi	an Corpor	ate Governance Association					
☐ Aus	stralian Co	ouncil of Superannuation Investor	rs				
□BV	CA – Resp	oonsible Investment Advisory Bo	ard				
	P Climate	-					
		·					
		Your organisation's role in the	e initiative during tl	ne reporting period (see definiti	ons)		
	☐ Basic						
	✓ Mode	rate					
	☐ Advar	nced					



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Through the "Aiming for A" investor collaboration, we have encouraged companies to improve their performance and disclosure ratings under the CDP mechanism.

☑ CDP Forests

		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)			
	☑ Basic				
	□ Moder	ate			
	☐ Advan	ced			
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]			
	Member in col	laborative initiative.			
	CDP Water				
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)			
	☑ Basic				
	☐ Moder	ate			
	☐ Advan	ced			
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]			
	Member in col	laborative initiative.			
	CFA Institute	Centre for Financial Market Integrity			
	Code for Resp	ponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)			
	☐ Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century				
	☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)				
	ESG Research	h Australia			
	Eumedion				
	☐ EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable				
	Extractive Inde	ustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)			
	Global Investo	ors Governance Network (GIGN)			
	Global Impact	Investing Network (GIIN)			
	Global Real E	state Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)			
	Green Bond P	Principles			
$\sqrt{}$	Institutional In	vestors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)			



	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)						
	□ Basic						
		ate					
	☐ Advan	ced					
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]					
		es from the EIAG serve on the Public Policy and Climate Risk committees. Board has co- esearch role at IIGCC					
<b>V</b>	Interfaith Cent	er on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)					
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)					
	☑ Basic						
	☐ Moder	ate					
	☐ Advan	ced					
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]					
	memorandum	bership of the Church Investors Group, serve as an observer member of the ICCR (a of understanding was developed to define co-operation between ICCR and CIG members). In attended the 2013 AGM and conference in St Louis.					
<b>V</b>	International C	Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)					
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)					
	☑ Basic						
	☐ Moder	ate					
	☐ Advan	ced					
	Investor Group	on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)					
	International I	ntegrated Reporting Council (IIRC)					
	Investor Netwo	ork on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES					
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)					
	☑ Basic						
	☐ Moder	ate					
	☐ Advan	ced					



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional] Participate in the Carbon Asset Risk initiative (an investor collaboration). ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum ☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance ☑ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify **UKSIF** Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions) ☑ Basic □ Moderate ☐ Advanced Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional] Serve on the Leadership Committee. ☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share) ☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) ☐ United Nations Global Compact ☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify Church Investors Group Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions) ☑ Basic □ Moderate □ Advanced Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional] Serve on the Steering Group. ☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify Aiming for A Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions) ☐ Basic ☐ Moderate



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Collaborate in investor initiative seeking to advance corporate disclosure and performance on carbon emissions using the CDP ratings system.

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI)

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
☐ Mode	rate
	nced
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Joint founder and sponsor with the Environment Agency of this multi-trillion dollar climate change engagement initiative described in detail in later sections.

☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

 $\bigcirc$  No

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
☑ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
$\hfill\Box$ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
☑ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
☑ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
☑ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
☐ Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
□ Other, specify



SG 10.3

Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

Have contributed to the establishment of a second Mercer study into the implications of climate change for investors.

Implem	Implementation not in other modules					
12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1		
New selec	ction options have been added to this ir	ndicator. Please r	eview your prefilled responses	carefully.		
SG 12.1	Indicate if your organisation execute future ESG trends at portfolio level		sis and/or modelling in which the r	isk profile of		
	xecute scenario analysis which includes fa mental trends	actors representin	g the investment impacts of future			
☐ We extrends	xecute scenario analysis which includes fa	actors representin	g the investment impacts of future	social		
☐ We extrends	☐ We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends					
□ We ex	☐ We execute other scenario analysis, specify					
☑ We do	☑ We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling					
SG 12.2	Indicate if your organisation conside assets between sectors or geograp		strategic asset allocation and/or a	allocation of		
We do the following						
$ \mathbf{\nabla} f$	☑ Allocation between asset classes					
	☐ Determining fixed income duration					
	☑ Allocation of assets between geographic markets					
	□ Sector weightings					
	☐ Other, specify					
○ We de	O We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation					
SG 12.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]	]				
We will not	t invest in the sovereign debt of repressive	regimes, nor in a	ssets classes (distressed debt for	example)		

SG 16 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 16.1

where we have concerns.

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.



Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Property	The principles that have guided the EIAG's ethical policies have been encapsulated into guidance that is passed to our property managers. They also see the Board's list of restricted stocks, to give them a sense of tenants that would not be tolerable for the Board. Investment though is through pooled property vehicles, so the Board has very limited control over tenancies. We look to our manager to vet funds and their managers closely before they invest on our behalf. The manager provides a consolidated list of all the underlying properties in the funds in which we are invested.
Infrastructure	The process for infrastructure investment is similar to that for property, except that we are much closer to the underlying investment, even though it is made through pooled vehicles. The two managers selected by the Board were very closely vetted by the Investment Committee before they were appointed, recognising the very long term nature of the relationship that would ensue.

SG 16.2

Additional information

We have a portfolio of private loans in the US, our ethical policies are hard-wired into the IMA for this and the manager expected to observe the policies' spirit and to flag when a loan might breach a policy before it is made, if that is not clear.

We use EIRIS's list of repressive regimes to govern our investment in emerging market sovereign debt. The manager (and the portfolio's benchmark) exclude investment in the debt of repressive regimes.



# Church of England Pensions Board

**Reported Information** 

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

# PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## **Overview Public** PRI 4 **SAM 01 Mandatory Core Assessed** New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. **SAM 01.1** Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers. Indicate how your organisation uses investment consultants in the selection, appointment **SAM 01.2** and/or monitoring of external managers. We use investment consultants in our selection and appointment of external managers Asset class ☑ Listed Equity (LE) ☑ Fixed income - SSA ☐ We use investment consultants in our monitoring of external managers Indicate if your organisation considers responsible investment in the selection, appointment **SAM 01.3** and/or review processes for investment consultants. ☑ Responsible investment is incorporated in the selection process for investment consultants ☐ Consultants' responsibilities in terms of responsible investment in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes are included in our contractual agreements with them. ☑ Responsible investment is considered when reviewing investment consultants' advice on manager selection and performance monitoring. ☐ We do not consider responsible investment in the selection, appointment and/or review processes for investment consultants. ☐ Yes, we use a fiduciary manager that delegates management of some or all of our assets to third-party managers.

SAM 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

 $\square$  No, we do not use investment consultants or fiduciary managers.

**SAM 02.1** 

Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply



Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Listed equity	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$
Fixed income - SSA	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>

**SAM 02.2** 

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

The bulk of our effort goes into the selection and appointment processes. We use our investment consultant's ESG and investment ratings of prospective managers as a starting point, but we research and meet with managers to come to our own conclusions. We particularly want to see our managers, and potential managers, acknowledging all risks in their investment processes, so not just the conventional short term business risks inherent generally in any investment, but also the longer term risks relating to climate change, societal and demographic changes, regulatory threats relating to ESG issues as well as what we might call moral issues.

We always like managers that have an instinctive affinity with our own ethical world view, good examples being our private debt manager and our emerging market sovereign debt and equity managers. In practice, we give as great a weight to such qualitiative measures as to more quantitative ones.

We acknowledge we could do more in terms of ongoing ESG monitoring of existing managers, but our consultant (Mercer) puts substantial resource into monitoring managers in its research universe for attention to ESG and SRI, and that is a constant reference for us.

Mercer's capability in researching ESG and the importance the firm gives to ESG and SRI in its advice to clients were significant influencers in the firm's initial appointment as consultant 8 years ago and in its re-appointment 2 years ago.

	Listed	d Equit	y and Fixed Income Strategies					
SAM	04	Mand	atory	Public	Gatewa	у		PRI 1,2
	SAM 0		Indicate which of the following ESG to implement on your behalf:	incorporation stra	ategies you	require y	your external m	nanager(s)
			Active investment strategies					
			Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA			
			Screening	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>			
			Thematic					
			Integration					



None of the above

#### Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA		
Screening	<b>V</b>	$\overline{\lor}$		
Thematic				
Integration				
None of the above				

**SAM 04.2** 

Explain how you integrate ESG factors in the selection, appointment and monitoring of your passive funds

Where we passively track market indices, we use ethically modified versions of them. Our main passive equity manager uses a version of the MSCI World Index that has our ethically restricted stocks removed from it. As described elsewhere, the Board's list of restricted stocks is derived from its ethical policies and reflects ethical concerns on a range of issues, including climate change, the environment, defence, tobacco, gambling, alcohol, pornography and high interest rate lending.

**SAM 04.3** 

Additional information. [Optional]

All the effort and work on engagement, stock screening, integration and company voting is done for the Board by the Church's Ethical Investment Advisory Group (EIAG), as indicated in the answers to other sections. We do not therefore look to our external fund managers to do these things for us, but, as mentioned earlier, what is key for us is their attitudes to ESG generally and their integration into the investment process of ESG principles.

SAM 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

SAM 05.1 Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers



	LE	FI - SSA			
Investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V			
ESG incorporation requirements	$\checkmark$				
ESG reporting requirements	$\overline{}$				
Other					
No RI information covered in the RFPs					

**SAM 05.2** 

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA			
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's investment strategy	<b>V</b>				
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	V				
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process (asset class specific)	<b>V</b>				
Assess the ESG definitions to be used					
Other					
None of the above		$\checkmark$			

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA			
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	<b>V</b>				
Review the ownership of the ESG implementation					
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	<b>V</b>				
Other					
None of the above		$\checkmark$			

## Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation

	LE	FI - SSA			
Review the process ensuring the quality of the ESG data used					
Review and agree the investment decision making process (and ESG data use in it)	V				
Review and agree the ESG incorporation strategy impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions					
Review and agree how the manager is targeting returns and ESG objectives	<b>V</b>				
Review and agree how the manager identifies, measures and manages ESG risk	<b>V</b>				
Review and agree return and risk in combination at a portfolio level (portfolio construction) and ESG objectives					
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	<b>V</b>				
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents	<b>V</b>				
Other, specify					
None of the above		<b>V</b>			

	SAM	)5.3	Descr	ibe the selection process												
	☑ Rev	view re	sponses	to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.												
	□ Rev	view Li	mited Pa	artners' Responsible Investme	ent Du	ue Diligence	Qu	ies	tio	nna	aire	(P	E DDQ)			
	□Rev	view pu	ublicly av	ailable information												
	□Rev	view as	ssurance	process												
	□Rev	view PF	RI Trans	parency Reports												
	□Red	quest a	and discu	uss PRI Assessment Reports												
	☑ Me	etings	with the	potential shortlisted manager	S											
	☑ Site	e visits	to poten	tial managers offices												
	☐ Oth	er, spe	ecify													
	SAM	)5.4	When	selecting external managers	does	your organis	sati	on	se	t a	ny (	of t	the following:			
			ſ				-	-		-		7				
					LE	FI - SSA										
			ŀ	ESG score	_		+				+					
				200 30016	$\checkmark$	V										
			•	ESG weight												
				-												
				Real world economy targets												
											_	4				
				Other RI considerations	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$										
				None of the above			+		-	+	+	-				
				None of the above												
						l l										
M	06	Man	datory		Pul	blic		A	ddi	tio	nal	A	ssessed	F	PRI 2	
			Indiaa	to how your organization typic	ء ماليد	waluataa the	2 122	on	00	o ri		4:	o ownorship pr	actica	o in th	
	SAM	06.1		te how your organisation typion typio	cally 6	evaluates tri	3 111	lall	ayı	ers	s ac	uv	e ownership pr	actice	s III ui	2
			Eng	agement												



			LE	FI - SSA
Review the	manager's engagement policy		V	
Review the	manager's engagement process (v	vith examples and outcomes	S) 🗸	
Ensure that process	engagement outcomes feed back	into the investment decision	-making $\square$	
Other enga	gement issues in your selection pro	ocess specify		
None of the	above			<b>V</b>
SAM (	Describe how you assess	if the manager's engageme	nt approach is effectiv	<i>r</i> e.
☑ Imp	pact on investment decisions			
•	pact on company / asset level			
	pact on ESG profile or the portfolio			
•	dence of changes in corporate practice	ctices		
	er, specify	5.11000		
	ne of the above			
	10 of the above			
SAM	Additional information [OP	TIONAL]		
Mainly a	ssessed qualitatively through discu	ssion and regular meetings	with the managers	
	ssessed qualitatively through discu	ssion and regular meetings	with the managers	
		ssion and regular meetings	with the managers  Core Assessed	PRI 1
Арро	intment  Mandatory  Indicate if in the majority of		Core Assessed ture of the product all	
Appo AM 07.1	intment  Mandatory  Indicate if in the majority of	Public  of cases and where the struc	Core Assessed ture of the product all	
Appo AM 07.1  SAM 0	Mandatory  Indicate if in the majority of does any of the following a	Public  of cases and where the struc	Core Assessed ture of the product all	
Appo AM 07.1  SAM 0  Set  Def	Indicate if in the majority of does any of the following as standard or ESG benchmarks	Public  of cases and where the structure as part of the manager appo	Core Assessed ture of the product all	
Appo  AM 07.1  SAM 0  Set  Def	Mandatory  Indicate if in the majority of does any of the following as standard or ESG benchmarks lines ESG objectives	Public  of cases and where the structure as part of the manager appointment of the manager appointment of the objectives	Core Assessed ture of the product all	
Appo  AM 07.1  SAM 0  Set  Def  Rec	Indicate if in the majority of does any of the following as standard or ESG benchmarks fines ESG objectives s incentives and controls linked to	Public  of cases and where the structure as part of the manager appointment of the manager appointment of the objectives	Core Assessed ture of the product all	
Appo  AM 07.1  SAM 0  Set  Def  Set  Nor	Indicate if in the majority of does any of the following as standard or ESG benchmarks lines ESG objectives and controls linked to quires reporting on these objectives	Public  of cases and where the structure as part of the manager appointment of the objectives	Core Assessed ture of the product all intment	ows, your organisation



**SAM 07.2** 

Provide an example per asset class of your benchmarks, objectives, incentives/controls and reporting requirements that would typically be included in your managers' appointment.

Asset class

☑ Listed equity (LE)

Benchmark

Objectives

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

## Reporting requirements

- O Ad-hoc/when requested
- O Annually
- O Bi-annually
- Quarterly
- O Monthly
- ☑ Fixed income SSA (SSA)

Benchmark

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$  We do not set benchmarks

Objectives

☑ We do not define ESG objectives

Incentives and controls

☑ We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Ad-hoc/when requested
- Annually
- O Bi-annually
- O Quarterly
- Monthly



**SAM 07.3** 

Explain how your organisation evaluates the reporting capacity of the manager to meet your reporting requirements during the selection process

	LE	FI - SSA			
Agree upon ESG reporting requirements	$\checkmark$				
Review ESG reporting processes and capacity in place	$\checkmark$				
Agree processes for raising ad-hoc ESG issues	$\checkmark$				
Other, specify					
None of the above		$\overline{\vee}$			

SAM 07.4	Explain which of these actions your organisation might take if any of the requirements are not met							
☑ Discuss requirements not met and set project plan to rectify								
☐ Review performance in more detail								
☐ Place investment manager on a "watch list"								
☑ Investigat	e reason for non-compliance							
☑ Require a	ction plan from the manager							
□ Negotiate	□ Negotiate fees							
☐ Failing all	☐ Failing all actions, terminate contract with the manager							
☐ Other, specify								
$\square$ No actions are taken if any of the requirements are not met								

Monitoring								
SAM 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1				

**SAM 08.1** 

When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls



						ı	т		- 1	Т	1	 _
						LE	<b>.</b> │	FI - SSA				
ESG objectives linked to	investment strategy					<b>V</b>						
Investment restrictions an	d any controversial investmer	nt dec	isions			<b>V</b>						
ESG incorporation objecti	ves (with examples)											
ESG portfolio characterist	ics											
How ESG materiality has	been evaluated by the manag	jer in 1	the monitore	ed p	erio	od 🗆						
Information on any ESG in	ncidents					<b>V</b>						
Metrics on the real econo	my influence of the investmen	ts										
PRI Transparency Report	S											
PRI Assessment Reports												
Other general RI consider	rations in investment manager	ment a	agreements	; sp	ecif	fy 🗆						
None of the above								<b>V</b>				
SAM 08.2 When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress												
		LE	FI - SSA									
	ESG score	V	<b>V</b>		+	$\dagger \dagger$		-				

	LE	FI - SSA			
ESG score	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V			
ESG weight					
Real world economy targets					
Other RI considerations					
None of the above					

SAM 09	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

**SAM 09.1** 

When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following active ownership information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls



En	~~	~~	~~ ~	4
				4T 11

	LE	FI - SSA	
Report on engagements undertaken (outcomes and examples)	<b>V</b>		
Account on engagement ESG impacts			
Other RI considerations relating to engagement in investment management agreements; specify			
None of the above		<b>V</b>	

### **Outputs and outcomes**

SAM 12 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,6

**SAM 12.1** 

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1

Topic or issue	Infrastructure investment
Conducted by	<ul> <li>✓ Internal staff</li> <li>☐ Investment consultants</li> </ul>
Asset class	✓ All asset classes  □ Listed Equity  □ Fixed income – SSA
Scope and process	Agreed with a prospective manager that the Church's input on ESG would be valuable for their pooled funds and its investors.
Outcomes	Have offer of seat on the firm's investor advisory committee

☑ Add Example 2



Topic or issue	ESG in low volatility equity allocations						
Conducted by	☑ Internal staff						
Asset class	☐ All asset classes ☑ Listed Equity ☐ Fixed income – SSA						
Scope and process	We ensured that the two prospective managers applied ESG rrisk assessments in their stock selection processes.						
Outcomes	Acheived satisfaction on those issues						
☐ Add Examp	☐ Add Example 3						
☐ Add Examp	☐ Add Example 4						
☐ Add Examp	☐ Add Example 5						
☐ We are not	☐ We are not able to provide examples						

#### Communication

SAM 13 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

**SAM 13.1** 

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

 $\ensuremath{\, \bullet \hspace*{-0.7pt} }$  Yes, we disclose information publicly

provide URL

http://www.churchofengland.org/media/1817724/pensions%20board%20report%202012%20final.pdf

**SAM 13.2** 

Indicate if the level of information you disclose to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients and/or beneficiaries.

Yes



**SAM 13.3** 

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

	$\ensuremath{\square}$ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes						
	$\hfill\square$ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf						
	$\hfill\Box$ E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership						
	☐ Other, specify						
$\circ$ N	0						
○ Yes, w	O Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only						
○ We do	○ We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries						

**SAM 13.4** 

We release our voting record, though not at the company level, and we release our equity portfolio carbon footprint report



# Church of England Pensions Board

**Reported Information** 

Public version

**Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership** 

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Enga	gement				
Over	view				
LEA 01	Manda	itory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
New s		options have been added to this	s indicator. Please	review your prefilled response	es
LE/	A 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation	on has a formal eng	agement policy.	
	Yes				
_					
	LEA 01.	2 Indicate what your engagem	ent policy covers:		
	☐ Confl	licts of interest			
	✓ Priori	itisation of engagements			
	☑ Trans	sparency			
	☑ Envir	onmental factors			
	Social     So	al factors			
		ernance factors			
	☑ Enga	gements following on from decision	ns		
	□ Othe	r, describe			
	□ None	e of the above			
	LEA 01.	3 Attach or provide a URL to y	our engagement po	olicy. [Optional]	
		URL			
	https://w	www.churchofengland.org/media/18	391510/statement%	520of%20ethical%20investment%	20policy%2

Attach documen

File 1:Business and Engagement Policy 2014.docx



#### **LEA 01.4**

#### Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement

The Church of England Pensions Board jointly with the Church Commissioners resource an in-house Engagement Team. It is important to prioritise our engagement interventions in line with our capacity and ability to seek genuine change in corporate practices and behaviour. Our approach distinguishes engagement interventions into six categories:

- Catalytic Engagement: A significant strategic intervention on a policy issue that seeks to influence both company behaviour as well as the wider investment community. Likely to be resource intensive and high profile.
- Church Collaborative: Via the Church Investors Group (CIG) to pool some of our engagement capacity with other UK Church Investors to work on four commonly agreed engagement priorities.
- Investor Collaborative: Led by other asset owners/managers and we work collaboratively on an
  issue relating to a number of companies or a particular issue relating to a single company's
  behaviour.
- Church Specific: Specific bespoke Church policy working as three National Investing Bodies.
   Requires capacity either through our screening provider or consultancy support to independently assess company responses against engaged criteria.
- Monitoring: We maintain a monitoring engagement brief on a particular policy.
- **Intensive:** This category is reserved for instances when there is a particular event that falls outside of our planned engagement activities.

 $\bigcirc$  No

LEA 02 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 1,2,3

**LEA 02.1** 

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
engagements	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	☐ Other, specify
	☑ We do not engage via service providers



#### **Process**

#### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03

**Mandatory** 

**Public** 

**Core Assessed** 

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 03.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

**LEA 03.2** 

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- ☑ Geography/market of the companies targeted
- ☑ Materiality of ESG factors
- ☑ Systemic risks to global portfolios
- ☑ In reaction to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- ☐ As a response to divestment pressure
- ☑ As a follow-up from a voting decision
- ☐ Client request
- ☐ Other, describe

○ No

**LEA 03.3** 

Additional information. [Optional]

The first priority of engagement stems from our own policies. These policies are developed by an advisory group - the Church of England Ethical Investment Advisory Group (EIAG). The EIAG's membership ranges from a senior Bishop, to leading theologians, to the Chief Executives of the Church of England's National Investing Bodies (including the Pensions Board and Church Commissioners), to other expertise.

A number of the policies that are developed require engagement as a key aspect of implementation. For example, the responsible alcohol policy, climate change policy, corporate tax policy etc. Trustee bodies will consider the prioritisation of engagement and the focus given to each area. We also use our voting template to address a number of the engagement objectives.

Where we can effectively collaborate, either as a Church Investor, or with other non-church funds we seek to do so in line with our priorities. We continue to play a leading role in the Church Investors Group and actively contribute to the prioritisation of issues that are engaged upon each year. This includes issues ranging from modern day slavery, water resilience, tax transparency and climate change.

Finally, we undertake intensive engagement as issues become apparent during the year such as concerns relating to the way a particular company may be acting or the response to a particular incident.

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



**LEA 04.1** 

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
  - O Yes, for all engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
  - O Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- O We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

#### **LEA 04.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
  - O Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - O Yes, in the minority of cases
- O We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

#### **LEA 04.3**

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

**LEA 04.4** 

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- ☑ Define timelines for milestones and goals
- ☑ Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
- ☑ Establish a process for when the goals are not met
- ☑ Revisit and, if necessary revise goals on continuous basis
- ☐ Other, please specify

O No

**LEA 04.5** 

Additional information. [Optional]

In each engagement we look to record and set clear objectives - although some engagements may be exploratory to begin with before we establish clear objectives. We have developed our own internal database and monitoring system to track progress. In each policy area that we are engaging on we have a specific over-arching objective that focusses our engagement 'asks' of the company. For example, in developing our engagement strategy for climate change we have engaged academic expertise from the London School of Economics Grantham Research Institute to develop a climate change transition pathway for major companies in energy intensive sectors. Together we have been developing along with other Asset Owners and with the support of FTSE Russell a clear framework to transparently assess company progress on i) management quality and ii) future projected carbon performance. The Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) has been a major undertaking and seeks to provide a transparent framework for undertaking engagement and guiding our future voting decisions on climate change.

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations



LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 05.1** 

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

Yes

LEA 05.2 Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements

☑ Potential to learn from other investors
☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration
☑ Geography / market of the companies targeted
☑ Materiality of ESG factors
☑ Systemic risks to global portfolios
☑ Exposure (holdings)
☑ In response to ESG impacts which has already taken place
☐ In response to divestment pressure
☐ Client requests
☐ Other, describe

○ No

LEA 05.3

Additional information [Optional]

As already stated we use our policies to determine our engagement priorities and thereafter assess the most effective way that we can support engagement to make genuine change in company behaviour.

LEA 06 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

Yes

- O Yes, for all engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- O We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.



**LEA 06.2** 

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

Yes

- O Yes, in all cases
- Yes, in the majority of cases
- O Yes, in the minority of cases
- O We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

**LEA 06.3** 

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to engagement activities carried out via collaborations.

Yes

**LEA 06.4** 

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

- ☑ Define timelines for milestones and goals
- ☑ Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  Establish a process for when the goals are not met
- ☑ Revisit and, if necessary revise the goals on a continuous basis
- ☐ Other, please specify

 $\bigcirc$  No

**LEA 06.5** 

Additional information. [Optional]

Where the Pensions Board are part of a systematic engagement programme then monitoring and evaluation will be by the collaborative group in the first instance. We will actively participate in this process ensuring clear focus and objective. The systematic collaborative programme in which we participate will normally be subject to evaluation against external data - for example improvements in CDP or FTSE ESG ratings. In the case of the Church Investors Group climate change programme the effectiveness of the engagement programme has been evaluated academically and independently by the University of Edinburgh.

In addition the Engagement Team will assess against their own expectations the effectiveness of collaborative engagements. This will determine the prioritisation of engagement resources and input into the respective initiative. We also are conscious that we can maximize our impact through effective targeting of our engagement and participation.

Over the past year on climate change we have developed a major undertaking - The Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) to have a transparent and academically robust tool to assess company performance against which we will target our engagement with other asset owners.

#### General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

**LEA 10.1** 

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.



Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<ul><li>Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li><li>Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li><li>We do not track</li></ul>
Collaborative engagements	<ul> <li>Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full</li> <li>Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements</li> <li>We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements</li> </ul>

LEA 10.2 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

The number of engagements is also disclosed in both the Board's Annual Report and previously in the Annual Report of the EIAG (which also describes the topics around which engagement was based).

Out	Outputs and outcomes				
LEA 13	Volunta	nry	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
L	EA 13.1	Indicate if your engagements in the estimation of the breakdown.	he reporting year c	overed E, S and/or G issues, pro	oviding an
		Individual / Internal staff engage	ements		
		% Environmental only			
	40				
		% Social only			
	20				
		% Corporate Governance	only		
	30				
		% Overlapping ESG issues	3		
	10				
		Total			
	100%				
		Collaborative engagements			

% Environmental only

% Social only

% Corporate Governance only

% Overlapping ESG issues

100%

#### Communication

LEA 16 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2,6

**LEA 16.1** Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

http://www.churchofengland.org/media/1817724/pensions%20board%20report%202012%20final.pdf

provide URL

http://www.churchofengland.org/media/1788515/eiag%20annual%20review%202013.pdf

**LEA 16.2** 

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

**LEA 16.3** 

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and/or the public.



Engagement information disclosed		
☑ Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement		
☑ Number of engagements		
☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic		
☐ Breakdown of engagements by region		
$\hfill \square$ An assessment of the current status of the engagement		
$\ensuremath{\square}$ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement		
☐ Other information		
LEA 16.4 Indicate how frequently you report engagements information.		
O Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)		
O Disclosed quarterly or more frequently		
Disclosed biannually		
O Disclosed annually		
O Disclosed less frequently than annually		
○ Ad hoc/when requested		
○ No		
We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only		
We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.		
Additional information. [Optional]		
etail about our engagements by type/topic is included in the annual report, in addition to the information sclosed during the year as part of ongoing specific engagements that may attract media attention.		

Further information about engagement is reported on a bi-annual basis to trustees.

### (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Gateway PRI 1,2,3 **LEA 17 Mandatory Public** 

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 17.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

Yes

Overview



LEA 17.2	Indicate what your voting policy covers:				
☐ Conflicts	☐ Conflicts of interest				
☑ Prioritisat	tion of voting activities				
☑ Transpare	ency				
☑ Decision	making processes				
☑ Environm	nental factors				
☑ Social face	ctors				
	nce factors				
☑ Filing/co-	☑ Filing/co-filing resolutions				
☑ Extraordinary meetings					
☐ Share blocking					
☑ Regional voting practices					
☐ Record keeping					
☐ Company dialogue pre/post vote					
☐ Securities lending process					
☐ Other, describe					
☐ None of the above					
	Attach document				

File 1:2016 Voting Policy Overview Final 3 Mar 2016.docx

**LEA 17.4** Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

Voting is conducted internally with a dedicated staffing resource with a full time Voting and Screening Manager. This role is central to overseeing all voting on all holdings against our agreed voting policies. The policy is reviewed and further developed each year. It is also submitted to Trustee Committees for formal approval. To ensure that Church investors magnify the impact of their voting we seek to align our policy with other UK Church investors.

We use the ISS platform to log our votes. We engage with our proxy provider each year on the voting policy. This is an extensive discussion to ensure that they understand our particular requirements. We have a dedicated provision within the proxy provider to provide bespoke reports against our policy. This ensures that the service provider interprets our policy correctly. The internal staff member then checks votes as a further quality control.

We also answer queries from companies about our voting policies.



**LEA 17.5** 

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).

We have a clear voting policy and when areas emerge that diverge from the instructions these are referred to the Voting and Screening Manager. The Manager then reviews them to consider if they can be addressed in accordance with our policies or if further judgement is needed by the Head of Engagement. Issues can be elevated further to the CIO and even Trustees if of particular importance and variance on a policy issue. Each year we monitor the number of referrals to identify if further clarification is required on the detailed voting template. We also review effectiveness of our voting provider on an annual basis. This year also saw the voting process audited by our internal auditor which concluded that the processes we have in place provide 'substantial assurance' to Trustees.

 $\bigcirc$  No

F	Proces	S				
LEA 18		Mandat	ory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
	LEA 1	8.1	Indicate how you typically make y	our (proxy) voting	decisions.	
			Approach			
	0 \	Ne use o	our own research or voting team a	and make voting de	cisions without the use of service	providers.
	O We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.					
	<ul> <li>We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.</li> </ul>					
	• We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.					
			Based on			
	O the service provider voting policy signed off by us					
	<ul><li>our own voting policy</li></ul>					
	O our clients' requests or policy					

LEA 18.2 Additional information.[Optional]

O other, explain

The custom voting policy of the Pensions Board and Church Commissioners draws on the expertise of our proxy advisers on corporate governance good practice, the recommendations of the Church of England's Ethical Investment Advisory Group (EIAG) on executive remuneration (a matter of significant concern to Church stakeholders), and a common approach to issues like board diversity and environmental disclosure agreed with partners in the Church Investors Group who apply the same template.

LEA 20	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



**LEA 20.1** 

Describe your involvement in any projects to improve the voting trail and/or to obtain vote

We review the internal quality assurance process of our voting provider on an annual basis to assess potential issues related to this issue.

Voluntary **LEA 21** Public **Additional Assessed** PRI 2 New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. **LEA 21.1** Indicate if your organisation has a securities lending programme. O Yes No PRI 2 **LEA 22 Public Core Assessed Mandatory** New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with

O Yes, in most cases

**LEA 22.1** 

- Sometimes, in the following cases:
  - ☑ Votes for selected markets
  - ☑ Votes relating to certain ESG issues
  - ☐ Votes for significant shareholdings
  - ☑ Votes for companies we are engaging with

companies ahead of voting

- ☐ On request by clients
- ☐ Other
- O Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting



**LEA 22.2** 

Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

○ Yes, in most cases
<ul><li>Sometimes, in the following cases.</li></ul>
☐ Votes for significant shareholdings
☑ Votes for companies we are engaging with
☐ On request by clients
□ Other
○ We do not communicate the rationale to companies
O Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

**LEA 22.3** Additional information. [Optio

There are a very small number of markets that pose particular challenge to be able to operationalise votes within defined timeframes. We are working with our service provider to ensure that we can vote in these markets in future. At present this presents a very small percentage that we are unable to vote in time. Our clearly stated intention is to seek to vote in 100% of cases and we have resourced this provision internally to deliver it.

Outputs and outcomes					
EA 23	Mand	atory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 23.1	For listed equities where you and voting instructions, indicate the p			
	We do tra	ack or collect this information			
		Votes cast (to the nearest 19	6)		
		%			
	99				
		Specify the basis on which the	nis percentage is ca	ılculated	
	O of	f the total number of ballot items on	which you could ha	ave issued instructions	
	<ul><li>of</li></ul>	f the total number of company meet	ings at which you c	ould have voted	
	O of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted				



LEA 23.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings	
☑ Shares w	vere blocked	
☐ Notice, ballots or materials not received in time		
☐ Missed deadline		
☐ Geographical restrictions (non-home market)		
□ Cost		
☐ Conflicts	of interest	
☐ Holdings deemed too small		
	rative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in ment)	
□ We do no	ot vote on environmental resolutions	
□ We do no	ot vote on social resolutions	
☐ On reque	est by clients	
☐ Other		
We do not trad	ck or collect this information	

LEA 24 Mandatory Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

**LEA 24.1** 

Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

**LEA 24.2** 

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	83.5
Against (opposing) management recommendations	16.2
Abstentions	0.3

100%



**LEA 24.3** 

Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

We review the areas that there is serious misalignment with our ethical policies and consider if we need to undertake engagement with the company. We also consider if additional interventions are required at the AGM itself when having voted in advance.

O No, we do not track this information

**LEA 24.4** 

Additional information. [Optional]

We review the areas that there is serious misalignment with our ethical policies and consider if we need to undertake engagement with the company. We also consider if additional interventions are required at the AGM itself when having voted in advance.

LEA 25 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

**LEA 25.1** 

Indicate if your organisation directly or via a service provider filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.

Yes

LEA 25.2 Indicate the number of ESG shareholder resolutions you filed or co-filed.

Total numbe

3

**LEA 25.3** Indicate what percentage of these ESG shareholder resolutions resulted in the following.

Went to vote	100
Were withdrawn due to changes at the company and/or negotiations with the company	0
Were withdrawn for other reasons	0
Were rejected/not acknowledged by the company	0



Total

100%

LEA 25.4

Of the ESG shareholder resolutions that you filed or co-filed and that were put to vote (i.e. not withdrawn) how many received:

>50%

3

LEA 25.5

Describe the ESG shareholder resolutions that you filed or co-filed and the outcomes achieved.

In line with our engagement on climate change we submitted shareholder resolutions at major diversified mining companies. The resolutions at mining companies were seeking additional disclosure, targets and clear assessments against 2 degrees scenarios. We engaged the Boards of the companies and following these discussions the Boards also recommended support to shareholders. As a result the resolutions received considerable support and were passed.

 $\bigcirc$  No

#### Communication

LEA 27 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2,6

LEA 27.1 Indicate

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

http://www.churchofengland.org/media/1817724/pensions%20board%20report%202012%20final.pdf

provide URL

http://www.churchofengland.org/media/1788515/eiag%20annual%20review%202013.pdf

**LEA 27.2** 

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

**LEA 27.3** 

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.



		indicate from mach or your foung rooms you also look	
	O All voting decisions		
	○ Some voting decisions		
	Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions		
	<ul><li>Summary of votes only</li></ul>		
		Indicate what level of explanation you provide	
	○ Explain all voting decisions		
	Explain some voting decisions		
	Only explain abstentions and votes against management		
	○ No explanations provided		
	LEA 27.4	Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.	
	O Continuously (primarily before meetings)		
	O Continuously (soon after votes are cast)		
	O Quarterly	O Quarterly or more frequently	
	⊕ Biannually		
	○ Annually		
	○ Less frequently than annually		
	○ Ad-hoc/as requested		
0	No		
$\bigcirc$ We d	disclose it to cli	ents/beneficiaries only	
O We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries			

Additional information. [Optional]

As well as publishing on our website half yearly summaries of our voting, we disclose in our annual report the proportion of UK remuneration resolutions we supported in the course of the year as a whole, as this a matter of significant stakeholder interest.

