



LEA 02	Discipline: Mandatory	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific ESG issues 	
<p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific ESG issues 	
<p>Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate practice or identify the need for additional or other specific ESG issues 	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2017

Accident Compensation Corporation

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	☑	n/a							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	☑	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	☑	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	☑	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	☑	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	☑	Public							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	🔒	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Accident Compensation Corporation

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation
- Endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Family office
- Other, specify

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

New Zealand

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

3346

OO 03

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		35	024	458	903
Currency	NZD				
Assets in USD		24	811	298	693

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	10-50%
Fixed income	>50%	<10%
Private equity	<10%	0
Property	<10%	0
Infrastructure	<10%	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0

Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

	'Other (1)' specified
--	-----------------------

Hedging activities - FX, futures, swaps, options, etc.

OO 06.2	Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].
----------------	---

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

Accident Compensation Corporation

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach	
<input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	
<input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors	
<input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
<input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

No

SG 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Ethical Investment.docx](#)

Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Ethical Investment.docx](#)

Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Ethical Investment.docx](#)

(Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment (will be made public)

[File 1:Ethical Investment.docx](#) We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

 Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

A register of Board member interests is maintained (and updated prior to every Board meeting) and members are excluded from discussions involving investments where they do have an interest.

For all Staff involved with investment-related activities, an agreement to abide by our Code of Conduct is a condition of employment. This Code is designed to ensure that all personal investment activities undertaken by Staff are conducted through a full disclosure and approval process that excludes the possibility of conflicts. All Staff connected with Investments communicate their personal holdings every quarter.

 No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 07.1 Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
CFO, CIO, Head Business Office
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

2

SG 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Within ACC there are two employees with dedicated RI responsibilities. However, input into the monitoring, screening, engagement and exclusion of prospective investments is contributed by all parties from the Board downwards. And all levels of management contribute to Board reporting.

In addition, other members of the CFI collaboration contribute staff (three FTE) on our behalf with RI-related practices - particularly with exclusions, engagements and collaborative initiatives.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Ongoing dialogue on the accuracy and validity of this reporting process

- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Our ongoing work in the New Zealand Corporate Governance Forum involved correspondence with the ICGN

- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify

RIAA

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Participation in the annual conference.

- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Helps guide our Ethical Investment policy

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

ACC has a resource sharing collaborative agreement with two other New Zealand Crown Financial Institutions to coordinate its ESG and Ethical/Responsible Investing activities.

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Founding member and active participant

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
NZ Institutional Investors Corporate Governance Forum.

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Founding member and Steering committee participant. This organisation comprises the 10 largest investment managers in New Zealand and was founded to drive best Governance practices in the public markets.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Implementation not in other modules

Accident Compensation Corporation

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.

Yes

LEA 01.2 Indicate what your engagement policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Engagements following on from decisions
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.4 Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement

Our portfolio monitoring service identifies companies that have breached - or might breach - recognised ESG standards. We then assess this information, along with data from other sources, to determine whether we should include the company in our engagement programme - through which we seek improvements from companies and play a role in influencing change.

One of the key factors we consider when prioritising our engagement efforts is whether the breach is of international standards or represents a high / significant ESG risk, i.e. is it: long-term or short-term; historic or ongoing; isolated or endemic; a potential reputation risk internationally or nationally.

Other factors we take into account include:

- our ability to participate in collaboration through the UNPRI clearinghouse led by other peers or as a co-lead;
- our ability to engage directly with the company;
- the potential effectiveness of the engagement; and
- the resources required.

No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1 | Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2 | Additional information. [Optional]

We carry out our engagement programme in three ways: (1) we engage with companies directly ourselves; or (2) we engage through collaborative efforts; or (3) we employ BMO Global Asset Management as an engagement specialist

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

☉ Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Geography/market of the companies targeted
 - Materiality of ESG factors
 - Systemic risks to global portfolios
 - Exposure (holdings)
 - In reaction to ESG impacts which has already taken place
 - As a response to divestment pressure
 - As a follow-up from a voting decision
 - Client request
 - Other, describe
- No

LEA 04**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes

LEA 04.4

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Define timelines for milestones and goals
- Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
- Establish a process for when the goals are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary revise goals on continuous basis
- Other, please specify

No

LEA 04.5

Additional information. [Optional]

At the beginning of every (direct) engagement, we put together an engagement plan that provides context and reasoning for the engagement as well as setting performance targets and milestones. Progress of the engagement is recorded and reported on quarterly. Monitoring responses (or lack of) are also looked at quarterly.

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

Yes

LEA 05.2

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements

- Potential to learn from other investors
- Ability to add value to the collaboration
- Geography / market of the companies targeted
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In response to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- In response to divestment pressure
- Client requests
- Other, describe

No

LEA 06**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1 Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2 Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to engagement activities carried out via collaborations.

- Yes

LEA 06.4 Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

- Define timelines for milestones and goals
 - Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
 - Establish a process for when the goals are not met
 - Revisit and, if necessary revise the goals on a continuous basis
 - Other, please specify
- No

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 07.1 Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Yes

LEA 07.2

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- Discuss the rationale for engagement
- Discuss the objectives of the engagement
- Select the companies to be engaged with
- Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Discuss progress towards the engagement objectives
- Monitor and review outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Discuss next steps for engagement activity
- Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider
- Ad hoc monitoring and review of engagement processes.
- Other, specify

No

LEA 07.3

Additional information. [Optional]

We receive quarterly reports from our engagement specialist, BMO Global Asset Management. In addition, NZ Super on our behalf holds quarterly calls with BMO to discuss progress, priority companies and upcoming activities.

LEA 08

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 08.1

Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEA 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

We receive quarterly reports from our engagement specialist, BMO Global Asset Management. In addition, NZ Super on our behalf holds quarterly calls with BMO to discuss progress, priority companies and upcoming activities.

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 2

LEA 10.1

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements