



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for Interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's business</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage in strategic dialogue or other activities</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's business</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage in strategic dialogue or other activities</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>	
Collaborative engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's business</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage in strategic dialogue or other activities</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>		
Service provider engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's business</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage in strategic dialogue or other activities</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2017

Maple-Brown Abbott Limited

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	☑	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	☑	n/a							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	☑	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	☑	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	☑	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	-	n/a							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	☑	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	☑	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	☑	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	🔒	n/a	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Maple-Brown Abbott Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

50

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		12	531	824	433
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD		9	339	969	445

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	<10%
Fixed income	<10%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0

Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2

Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Maple-Brown Abbott Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

No

SG 01.6	Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]
----------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Maple-Brown Abbott considers that our investment approach and strategy provides strong foundations for the effective implementation of our ESG integration and engagement strategy. Maple-Brown Abbott are long term investors, and our long term investment horizon enables us to take a genuine long term view on ESG risks, further, we conduct bottom-up, stock research and valuation in-house, as such our investment analysts, supplemented by the specialist ESG analyst, have the necessary expertise to identify and value ESG risks and incorporate them into the investment decision making process. Similarly, our engagement initiatives are enhanced by the fact that we are often material, long term shareholders which engenders good access to, and an influential relationship with, the management of portfolio companies.

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Policy setting out your overall approach

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.maple-brownabbott.com.au/Documents/Policies/MBA-Responsible-Investment-Policy.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

	Attachment
--	------------

[File 1:2016 Responsible Investment Policy revision FINAL.pdf](#)

Engagement policy

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.maple-brownabbott.com.au/Documents/Policies/MBA-Engagement-Policy.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:2016 Engagement Policy revision FINAL.pdf](#)

(Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.maple-brownabbott.com.au/Documents/Policies/MBA-Proxy-Voting-Policy.pdf>

Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:2016 Proxy Voting Policy revision FINAL.pdf](#)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

The key elements of Maple-Brown Abbott's conflicts management process as it relates to the investment process are as follows:

Representatives are remunerated by salary and performance related bonuses and do not receive a commission for providing any financial service.

The Code of Ethics (CofE) contains a section on Conflicts of Interest. All dealings by employees must be in accordance with the CofE, and all staff annually attest compliance with this policy:

- obtain prior approval for all personal securities transactions from an executive director;
 - not deal in any stock where there is an uncompleted order in the market for any portfolio;
 - maintain a record of personal securities transactions (Register); and
 - make an annual declaration as to their personal securities transactions.
- Compliance keeps copies of each employee's Register. The Register is reviewed annually by the Managing Director.

Investment analysts are not permitted to trade a security on which they are preparing a new research report.

Any breaches of the CofE must be:

- reported to a manager, the Head of Regulatory Compliance and Risk or to the COO; and
- recorded in the Company's Breach Register, which is tabled before the Audit and Compliance Committee (A&CC) every quarter and before the Board every year.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Head Australian / Asian / GLI Equities

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)

Marketing Executives

Other description (1)

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (2)

Other description (2)

Operations staff

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2 For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Responsible investment is a companywide undertaking. Maple-Brown Abbott's Board has approved the company's Responsible Investment Policy and endorses our responsible investment initiatives. It is the company's Managing Director and Heads of Departments who drives the company's responsible investment agenda. The underlying responsibility of integrating ESG into the investment process however, lies with each analyst, who conduct ESG research and make recommendations, and each portfolio manager, who incorporates these recommendations into their investment decisions. The firm's marketing and operations teams are also involved in the compliance with, and implementation of our Responsible Investment Policy and the fulfilment of our obligations under the UNPRI.

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

1

SG 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Recognising the strategic importance of ESG, and seeking to continually improve our ESG capabilities, Maple-Brown Abbott appointed one of its senior investment analysts to the role of specialist ESG analyst in early 2015. Maple-Brown Abbott's specialist ESG analyst is responsible for overseeing the integration of ESG issues into the investment process and for co-ordinating the implementation of the firm's Responsible Investing, Engagement and Proxy Voting Policies and initiatives. Maple-Brown Abbott's specialist ESG analyst has worked at Maple-Brown Abbott for over ten years, as both a portfolio manager and investment analyst, covering the resources sector, and since 2011, ESG. This investment experience gives our specialist ESG analyst unique insight into ESG and our investment process.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Maple-Brown Abbott attended two UNPRI conferences and participated in several other UNPRI meetings and initiatives during the reporting year, including networking presentations and webcasts.

- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Maple-Brown Abbott participated in several conference calls and local presentations / meetings during the reporting year.

- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Maple-Brown Abbott Investment Analysts participated in the ACSI sponsored Board Confidence Survey, which aggregated the investment communities' view on the competence and performance of the Board of Directors of each company included in the ASX 100.

Two delegates from Maple-Brown Abbott also participated in the Annual ACSI Conference.

Maple-Brown Abbott has also participated in a working group, initiated by ACSI, to establish an industry framework to improve the integration of ESG into investment manager selection and monitoring.

- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Maple-Brown Abbott are a CDP Investor Signatory and benefit from the organisations insights including research and online tools.

- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Maple-Brown Abbott have participated in ESG Research Australia (ESGRA) since inception. The ESGRA is an Australian association of superannuation funds, fund managers and asset consultants which was established to improve the amount and quality of stock broker ESG research, which in turn, enhances the integration of ESG issues into the investment process. To facilitate this objective ESGRA presents three awards each year for "Best piece of new ESG research by an analyst or team", "Best piece of ongoing ESG research by an analyst or team" and "Best ESG Broker Service". Maple-Brown Abbott has sat on the research evaluation committee since its inception, and chaired the committee during the reporting year. Maple-Brown Abbott have, and continue to be, one of the major sponsors of the Awards presentation lunch. Maple-Brown Abbott have also acted as an advocate for the organisation and have solicited new membership in this capacity. ESGRA has been successful in achieving its objectives, with the quantity and quality of ESG related research improving significantly since the association's inception.

- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Maple-Brown Abbott are not an official member of this organisation, but have participated in several of IGCC's conferences, presentations and other initiatives during the reporting year.

- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Implementation not in other modules

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 12.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

- We do the following
 - Allocation between asset classes
 - Determining fixed income duration
 - Allocation of assets between geographic markets
 - Sector weightings
 - Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 12.3

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Maple-Brown Abbott consider ESG issues in the strategic allocation of assets between geographic markets for both our Asian Equity and Global Listed Infrastructure (GLI) products.

Relating to Maple-Brown Abbott's Asian Equity products: Maple-Brown Abbott consider the geopolitical risk and governance related regulatory environment in the strategic allocation of assets between geographic markets. On these grounds, Maple-Brown Abbott do not currently invest in Pakistan.

Relating to Maple-Brown Abbott's GLI: In determining the Focus List of investable stocks, Maple-Brown Abbott considers attributes including inflation protection and volatility. Geopolitical stability is considered in the assessment of volatility, and stocks listed in countries with unstable geopolitical regimes, with a history of poor governance structures and a higher risk of bribery and corruption, such as Russia, are currently considered uninvestable and are not included on the Focus List.

Maple-Brown Abbott Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.

Yes

LEA 01.2

Indicate what your engagement policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Engagements following on from decisions
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.4

Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement

For Australian investments, in addition to biannual meetings with the management of portfolio companies following financial results and meetings with company Chairmen before the company AGM, Maple-Brown Abbott have a policy to conduct a minimum of an additional ten ESG engagement meetings with portfolio companies. These meetings provide a further forum to engage with companies on their ESG performance and advocate for improvement in ESG performance and disclosure. These dedicated ESG engagement meetings are prioritised each year with consideration to the materiality of ESG factors identified in the investment analysis and decision-making process.

For our self-managed International investments, the Company generally meets with the management of portfolio companies during company road shows and analyst company visits and at conferences. The ESG related engagement at these meetings tends to focus on Corporate Governance.

The Company also engages with companies, and collaborates with our peers, outside these scheduled meetings, when it is in our clients' long term interest to do so. The Company does not use an external engagement service provider.

No

LEA 01.5	Additional information [optional]
----------	-----------------------------------

Maple-Brown Abbott's Code of Ethics (CofE) contains a section on Conflicts of Interest, as such, Conflicts of Interest are not duplicated in the Engagement Policy.

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Yes

LEA 03.2	Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- Geography/market of the companies targeted
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In reaction to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- As a response to divestment pressure
- As a follow-up from a voting decision
- Client request
- Other, describe

other description

1) Duration since last engagement meeting; and 2) Size of active weight in portfolio.

No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

 Yes**LEA 05.2**

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements

- Potential to learn from other investors
- Ability to add value to the collaboration
- Geography / market of the companies targeted
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In response to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- In response to divestment pressure
- Client requests
- Other, describe

 No**General processes for all three groups of engagers****LEA 10****Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 2****LEA 10.1**

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

LEA 10.2

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Maple-Brown Abbott have a systematic process for recording individual engagements in our proprietary investment management system.