



LEA 02	Discretionary Mandate	Gateway
<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff 	<p>Type of engagement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Individual/ internal staff engagements <input type="checkbox"/> Collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider engagements 	<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or improved ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2017

1919 Investment Counsel

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
LEI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 11	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 12	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 13	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 15	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive,active strategies	✓	Private							✓
FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 04	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Negative screening - overview and rationale	✓	Public	✓						
FI 09	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 10	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 12	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 13	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 15	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 16	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 17	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 18	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 19	Engagement method	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 20	Engagement policy disclosure	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 21	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 22	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	-	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 23	Communications	✓	Public		✓				✓	
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

1919 Investment Counsel

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

110

OO 03

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		10	400	075	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		10	400	075	000

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1

How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	56.86	5.92
Fixed income	27.68	1.39
Private equity	0	1.31
Property	0	0.15
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0.27
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	6.42	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 10.1

Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG in our investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

OO 10.2

Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year.

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG issues on our behalf
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

OO 10.3

Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income – securitised
- Cash
- None of the above

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income – securitised
- Private equity
- Property
- Commodities
- None of the above

OO 11.3b

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your externally managed assets, explain why not.

Our organization's externally managed asset classes are separate from our SRI department.

1919 Investment Counsel

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1	Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.
---------	---

- Yes
- No

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

- Yes

SG 03.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

1919 Investment Counsel Code of Ethics
1919 Investment Counsel Compliance Policies and Procedures

- No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
---------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Heads of Responsive Investments Department**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

CEO: Receives communication/notices pertaining to the RI strategies and ideas.

CIO: Receives communication/notices pertaining to the RI strategies and ideas.

Head of RI Department: Oversees, creates, and approves the strategies and ideas related to RI.

Portfolio Managers: Receives communication/notices pertaining to the RI strategies and ideas; makes recommendations; approves changes and implementations of strategies.

Investment Analysts: Shares research pertaining to equities and debt; makes recommendations (buy, hold, sell); overlaps research with concern for ESG-pertinent information.

RI Staff: Makes RI recommendations for strategies, buying/selling by using positive and negative screens as well as incorporating other ESG factors.

External Managers: Carries out investment decisions according to RI decisions made for certain clients, buying/selling.

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

4

SG 08	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

SG 08.1 Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes have a responsible investment element.

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Other C-level staff or head of department

Heads of Responsive Investments Department

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Portfolio managers

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Investment analysts

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Dedicated responsible investment staff

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
US SIF

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2 Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
- Other, specify

No

SG 10.3 Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

RI staff regularly produces research notes for external audiences on topics of interest to RI investors.

Implementation not in other modules

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 12.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

- We do the following
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

1919 Investment Counsel

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 1


New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 03.1


Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)


- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 10
---	---

- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and Integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 10
---	--

- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which no strategy is applied	 80
--	--

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2

Describe your organisation’s approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

Client specific preferences influence the particular ESG incorporation strategy.

LEI 05	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEI 05.1

Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.

- Engagement
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.
- (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Corporate governance screens applied broadly - board structure, management actions (e.g., executive compensation), business practices, shareholder rights, corporate culture, transparency.

Other specifics influenced by client preferences.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Corporate governance screens applied broadly - board structure, management actions (e.g., executive compensation), business practices, shareholder rights, corporate culture, transparency.
Other specifics influenced by client preferences.

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

Description

Corporate support of and adherence to various norms influences overall assessment when it is consistent with client specific preferences.

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
-----------------	---

Screening criteria are established based on discussions with each client. Criteria are reviewed at periodic client meetings which vary in frequency.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 07.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 08

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure fund criteria are not breached

- Systematic checks are performed to ensure that stocks meet the funds' screening criteria.
- Automated IT systems prevent investment managers from investing in excluded stocks or those that do not meet positive screening criteria.
- Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit function
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 09

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 09.1

Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 10.1

Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;"> Environmental </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues </p>
Social	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;"> Social </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues </p>
Corporate Governance	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;"> Corporate Governance </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input checked="" type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly <input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues </p>

LEI 11	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 11.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on a robust analysis.
-----------------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Company information and/or ratings on ESG are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 11.2

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 12

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 12.1

Indicate which aspects of investment analysis you integrate ESG information into.

- Economic analysis
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Industry analysis
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Quality of management
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Analysis of company strategy
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally
- Portfolio construction
 - Systematically
 - Occasionally

LEI 12.2a

Indicate which methods are part of your process to integrate ESG information into fair value/fundamental analysis and/or portfolio construction.

- Adjustments to forecasted company financials (sales, operating costs, earnings, cash flows)
- Adjustments to valuation tools (discount rates, terminal value)
- Other adjustments to fair value projections, specify
None.

LEI 12.3	Describe how you integrate ESG information into portfolio construction
-----------------	--

Client-specific ESG guidelines determine which equities are held in their portfolios; RI staff determines an equity to be appropriate or inappropriate based on screening.

LEI 12.4a	Describe the methods you have used to adjust the income forecast / valuation tool
------------------	---

None.

Fair value/fundamental analysis

Other, specify

Idea generation.

Systematically

Occasionally

Outputs and outcomes				
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--

LEI 14	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 14.1	Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.
-----------------	---

Screening

	Describe any reduction in your starting investment universe or other effects.
--	---

None.

	Specify the percentage reduction (+/- 5%)
--	---

	%
--	---

0

Thematic

	Describe any alteration to your investment universe or other effects.
--	---

Ideally, investment analysts proffer equity names the SRI department would choose and recommend, which increases the pool. Fixed Income analysts look to include sustainability and/or green bonds in the universe for SRI portfolios.

Integration of ESG issues

Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration:

- Reduce or prioritise the investment universe
- Overweight/underweight at sector level
- Overweight/underweight at stock level
- Buy/sell decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Communication

LEI 17

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 17.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

<http://1919ic.com/>

LEI 17.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes
- No

LEI 17.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to the public.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

LEI 17.5

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad-hoc/when requested
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

1919 Investment Counsel

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

- Yes
 No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research). <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research). <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify To support investment decision-making (e.g. company research). <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
- No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
- No

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

- Yes
- No

LEA 06

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to engagement activities carried out via collaborations.

- Yes

LEA 06.4

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

- Define timelines for milestones and goals
 - Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
 - Establish a process for when the goals are not met
 - Revisit and, if necessary revise the goals on a continuous basis
 - Other, please specify
- No

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 07.1	Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	---

Yes

LEA 07.2	Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.
-----------------	--

- Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- Discuss the rationale for engagement
- Discuss the objectives of the engagement
- Select the companies to be engaged with
- Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Discuss progress towards the engagement objectives
- Monitor and review outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Discuss next steps for engagement activity
- Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider
- Ad hoc monitoring and review of engagement processes.
- Other, specify

No

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

LEA 08.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider
-----------------	--

Please select all that apply

- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- The objectives of the engagement
- The rationale for engagement
- The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- Progress towards achieving engagement goals
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Next steps for engagement activity
- Other, specify
- None of the above

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 09	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 09.1 Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 12	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 12.1	Indicate which of the following your engagement involved.
-----------------	---

- Letters to outline the engagement and the objectives
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with the appropriate team
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Visits to operations
- Roadshows
- ESG research
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Other, specify

Communication

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.
-----------------	--

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 16.5	Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.
-----------------	--

	Engagement information disclosed
--	----------------------------------

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 16.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**Overview****LEA 17****Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 1,2,3**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 17.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

- Yes

LEA 17.2

Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Transparency
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Share blocking
- Regional voting practices
- Record keeping
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Securities lending process
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 17.4	Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.
-----------------	---

1919ic's goal in voting proxies is to act prudently and solely in the best economic interest of its clients. 1919ic contracts with an independent proxy service firm to provide 1919ic with proxy voting guidelines.

For client accounts receiving socially responsive investment advisory services, 1919ic generally votes proxies in accordance with the Service Firm's Socially Responsible guidelines. These guidelines reflect the dual objectives of economic gain and having companies conduct their businesses in a socially and environmentally responsible manner. On matters of social and environmental import, the guidelines seek to reflect a broad consensus of the socially responsible investing community, referring to policies that have been developed by leading socially responsible investors. Additionally, the Socially Responsible guidelines incorporate the active ownership and investment philosophies of leading globally recognized initiatives such as the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative, the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment, the United Nations Global Compact, and environmental and social European Union Directives. On proxy votes involving corporate governance, executive compensation, and corporate structure, the guidelines are based on a commitment to create and preserve economic value and to advance principles of good corporate governance consistent with responsibilities to society as a whole.

LEA 17.5	Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).
-----------------	---

Oversight by an internal committee that establishes the voting policy and ensures its implementation, contacting the service provider as needed.

No

Process				
----------------	--	--	--	--

LEA 18	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 18.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
-----------------	--

	Approach
--	----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

	Based on
--	----------

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 19.1 | Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate the percentage reviewed by your organisation, giving reasons.

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- >20%,
- 5-20%,
- 1-5%,
- <1%

Reasons for review

- Specific ESG issues
- Votes for significant holdings
- Votes against management and/or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.
- Votes for companies with which we have an active engagement
- Client requests
- Ad-hoc oversight of Service Provider
- Shareholder resolutions
- Share blocked securities
- Other, explain

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 22.1 | Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 22.2 | Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 23	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 23.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
----------	--

We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 23.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings
----------	--

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- We do not vote on environmental resolutions
- We do not vote on social resolutions
- On request by clients
- Other

n/a--in this reporting period we voted 100% of the possible items.




We do not track or collect this information

LEA 24	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 24.1	Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.
----------	---

Yes, we track this information

LEA 24.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 92.8
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 07.2
Abstentions	 0

100%

LEA 24.3 Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

No follow-up actions taken.

- No, we do not track this information

Communication

LEA 27	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

LEA 27.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

1919 Investment Counsel

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 04.1	Indicate 1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and 2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.			

Corporate (financial)	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	35
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	65
		100%
Corporate (non-financial)	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	35

	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	65
		100%

FI 04.2 Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

Client-specific preferences influence the particular ESG incorporation strategy chosen.

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 06.1 Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:

- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way
- ESG analysis is benchmarked for quality against other providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 06.2 Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, 'tear sheets', 'dashboards' or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 07	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 07.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Norms-based screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

FI 07.2	Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income
----------------	--

Negative and Positive screenings: Product, Activity, Sector, Country/geographic region, Environmental and Social practices and performance, Corporate Governance.

Norms: UN Global Compact Principles, International Labour Organization Conventions, Client's investment guidelines.

FI 07.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Corporate Governance screens applied broadly-board structure, management actions (e.g. executive compensation), business practices, shareholder rights, corporate culture, transparency. Other specifics influenced by client preferences.

Corporate support of and adherence to various norms influences overall assessment when it is consistent with client-specific preferences.

Screening criteria are established based on discussions with each client. Criteria are reviewed at periodic client meetings, which vary in frequency.

FI 08	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

Corporate (financial)

	Corporate (fin)
--	-----------------

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

Corporate (non-financial)

Corporate (non-fin)

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

FI 08.2 Describe your approach to ESG-based negative screening of issuers from your investable universe.

Screened by: Product, Activity, Sector, Country/geographic region, Environment and Social practices and performance, Corporate Governance.

FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

FI 10.1 Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-top: 5px;">other description</div> <p>Internal compliance reports composed by the RI staff crosscheck holdings with guidelines to verify client guidelines are properly implemented.</p> <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-top: 5px;">other description</div> <p>Internal compliance reports composed by the RI staff crosscheck holdings with guidelines to verify client guidelines are properly implemented.</p> <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Norms-based screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-top: 5px;">other description</div> <p>Internal compliance reports composed by the RI staff crosscheck holdings with guidelines to verify client guidelines are properly implemented.</p> <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 14	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

FI 14.1	Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.
----------------	--

Utilizing fundamental analysis and an assessment of relative value within the context of current market conditions, future movements in interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, and changes in sector spreads are forecasted to derive portfolio strategy. Our results derive from an emphasis on security selection, sector allocation, and yield curve management in addition to interest rate forecasts. Our dedicated team of social research analysts conducts proprietary social research on domestic and international companies and issues. Each security considered for purchase is evaluated using a comprehensive social analysis method. The social research team applies customized screens to help 1919 Investment Counsel, LLC's portfolio managers eliminate companies that do not meet the client's specific social requirements and select investments that do.

	Corporate (financial)
--	-----------------------

Utilizing fundamental analysis and an assessment of relative value within the context of current market conditions, future movements in interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, and changes in sector spreads are forecasted to derive portfolio strategy. Our results derive from an emphasis on security selection, sector allocation, and yield curve management in addition to interest rate forecasts. Our dedicated team of social research analysts conducts proprietary social research on domestic and international companies and issues. Each security considered for purchase is evaluated using a comprehensive social analysis method. The social research team applies customized screens to help 1919 Investment Counsel, LLC's portfolio managers eliminate companies that do not meet the client's specific social requirements and select investments that do.

	Corporate (non-financial)
--	---------------------------

Utilizing fundamental analysis and an assessment of relative value within the context of current market conditions, future movements in interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, and changes in sector spreads are forecasted to derive portfolio strategy. Our results derive from an emphasis on security selection, sector allocation, and yield curve management in addition to interest rate forecasts. Our dedicated team of social research analysts conducts proprietary social research on domestic and international companies and issues. Each security considered for purchase is evaluated using a comprehensive social analysis method. The social research team applies customized screens to help 1919 Investment Counsel, LLC's portfolio managers eliminate companies that do not meet the client's specific social requirements and select investments that do.

FI 15	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

FI 15.1	Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.
----------------	--

Select all that apply

	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is integrated into security weighting decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio construction decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is a standard part of internal credit ratings or assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis for issuers is a standard agenda item at investment committee meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is regularly featured in internal research notes or similar	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is a standard feature of ongoing portfolio monitoring	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis features in all internal issuer summaries or similar documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

FI 16	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 16.1 Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.

	Environment	Social	Governance
Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all
Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all

Corporate (financial)

E, S, and G factors are included in the integration process based on Positive and Negative screenings, all while following client-specific guidelines. Thus, the level of E, S, or G factors considered for each issuer or security varies based on the client portfolio in question.

Corporate (non-financial)

E, S, and G factors are included in the integration process based on Positive and Negative screenings, all while following client-specific guidelines. Thus, the level of E, S, or G factors considered for each issuer or security varies based on the client portfolio in question.

Communication

FI 23

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

FI 23.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to RI across all of your fixed income investments.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

FI 23.5

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to RI incorporation.

- Broad approach to RI incorporation
- Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used

FI 23.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad-hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries