



LEA 02	Discipline: Mandatory	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company related ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2017

Daiwa SB Investments

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
LEI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	✓	Private							✓
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 11	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 12	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive,active strategies	✓	Private							✓
FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 04	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Negative screening - overview and rationale	✓	Public	✓						
FI 09	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 10	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 12	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 13	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Integration overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 15	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 16	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 17	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 18	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 19	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 20	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 21	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 22	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	-	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 23	Communications	✓	Public		✓				✓	
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Daiwa SB Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Japan

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

440

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

30/11/2016

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM	5	599	917	000	000
Currency	JPY				
Assets in USD		49	208	338	792

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1

How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	<10%
Fixed income	10-50%	<10%
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	<10%	0

Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG in our investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

OO 10.2 Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year.

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG issues on our behalf
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

OO 10.3 Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Hedge funds
- Cash
- None of the above

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- None of the above

Daiwa SB Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.4

Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)

other (1) description

UNPRI

Other, specify (2)

other (2) description

Japanese Stewardship Code

Other, specify (3)

None of the above

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1 Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/english/unpri/index.html>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/guideline/guideline.html>

Attachment (will be made public)

Screening / exclusions policy

Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/stewardship/index.html>

Attachment (will be made public)

(Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/guideline/guideline.html>

Attachment (will be made public)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 03

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

We invited two independent outside directors in March 2016 and have established the Responsible Investment Committee (RIC) consisting of these two directors and a responsible investment officer independent from the business execution line.

The RIC is positioned as a subcommittee of the Board of Directors, and is checking potential conflicts of interest in the investment process, and making recommendations to the Board of Directors for further improvement.

When exercising voting rights at companies which through capital or other means could influence the management of DSBI, in order to avoid a conflict of interest, we consult an external organization, adopt said organization's standards of judgment, and then execute the voting rights based on said judgment.

When anyone closely related to DSBI, including DSBI employees as well as active officers or other ranking employees at DSBI's major shareholders, is a candidate for a seat on the board of directors or board of auditors, we abstain from voting to the extent that it would pose a risk of conflict of interest.

2016年3月に独立社外取締役2名を招へいし、この2名の独立社外取締役と業務執行ラインから独立した責任投資オフィサーから構成される責任投資委員会を設置しました。

責任投資委員会取締役会の分科会と位置付けられており、投資プロセスにおける潜在的な利益相反をチェックするとともに、取締役会に対して更なる改善に向けた提言を行っています。

また、議決権行使においては、

・資本関係等を通じ当社の経営に影響を及ぼす可能性がある企業の議決権行使においては、利益相反の状況を回避するため、外部機関に委任し、当該機関の判断基準を適用し、その判断に基づいて議決権行使指図を行うものとします。

・当社出身者並びに当社の大株主企業の現役員もしくは重要な地位を占めていた出身者など、当社と何らかの密接な関係を有している者が候補者となっている取締役選任議案、監査役選任議案については、利益相反の疑いの程度に応じて棄権するものとします。

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 07.1 Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Head of Stewardship

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
----------------	---

By properly fulfill its fiduciary duty to maintain and improve the medium- and long-term performance, we believe that supervision and implementation is carried out even for responsible investment, including the ESG.

中長期のパフォーマンスの維持・向上という受託責任を適切に果たすことにより、ESGをはじめとする責任投資に対しても監督・実施が行われると考えます。

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

Number

2

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
----------------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
----------------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
- Other, specify

No

SG 11	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-----------

SG 11.1	Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or regulators in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.
----------------	---

Yes

- Yes, individually
- Yes, in collaboration with others

SG 11.2	Select the methods you have used.
----------------	-----------------------------------

- Endorsed written submissions to governments, regulators or public policy-makers developed by others
- Drafted your own written submissions to governments, regulators or public-policy markers
- Participated in face-to-face meetings with government members or officials to discuss policy
- Other, specify

SG 11.3	Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.
----------------	--

Yes, publicly available

provide URL

http://www.meti.go.jp/committee/kenkyukai/sansei/kabunushisoukai_process/pdf/report01_02_00.pdf

No

No

SG 11.4

Provide a brief description of the main topics your organisation has engaged with public policy-makers or regulators on.

Promotion of computerization of shareholders meeting process.

Requesting the stewardship code signature for asset owners.

株主総会プロセスの電子化促進。

アセットオーナーに対するスチュワードシップコード署名の働きかけ。

Implementation not in other modules

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends

We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends

We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends

We execute other scenario analysis, specify

We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 12.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 15

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

SG 15.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	Incorporating negative screening process. ネガティブスクリーニングを実施しています。
Hedge funds	Incorporating integration and negative screening process for listed equity. 組入れている上場株式について、インテグレーション、及びネガティブスクリーニングを実施しています。

SG 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

SG 16.1 Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Listed equities - ESG incorporation	With respect to the external managers, we encourage to incorporate ESG issues. 外部マネージャーに対してESG問題を組入れるよう促しています。
Listed equities - (proxy) voting	With respect to the external managers, we encourage to exercise voting rights. 外部マネージャーに対して議決権行使を行うよう促しています。
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	With respect to the external managers, we encourage to incorporate ESG issues. 外部マネージャーに対してESG問題を組入れるよう促しています。
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	With respect to the external managers, we encourage to incorporate ESG issues. 外部マネージャーに対してESG問題を組入れるよう促しています。

Daiwa SB Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 03.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)
----------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and Integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100px; height: 20px; background-color: #0070C0; margin-right: 5px;"></div> % </div> <p>100</p>
---	--

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 03.2 Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

We mainly adopted the integration strategies because we thought that integration of non-financial information including ESG into corporate analysis from the mid- to long-term perspective and make investment judgment based on it, is consistent with our stock management philosophy.

インテグレーション戦略をメインに採用したのは、中長期の観点からESGを含む非財務情報を企業分析にインテグレートし投資判断を行うことが、当社の株式運用哲学と合致すると考えたためです。

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 06.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
----------	--

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Standards are set for each E, S, and G. For products, we exclude companies whose products are associated with humanistic problems such as cluster bombs, etc.

E,S,Gでそれぞれ基準を設定しています。商品では、人道性の観点から問題視されるクラスター爆弾を対象としています。

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

LEI 06.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------	---

The criteria are established in our guidelines, and clients are informed of revisions to criteria when they are made.

ガイドラインにて設定しており、基準の見直しやその事の顧客への通知が随時行っています。

LEI 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 07.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 10**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****LEI 10.1**

Indicate if E, S and G issues are reviewed while researching companies and/or sectors in active strategies.

ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues
Environmental	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Environmental </div> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly</p> <p><input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly</p> <p><input type="radio"/> We do not review environmental issues</p>
Social	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Social </div> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly</p> <p><input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly</p> <p><input type="radio"/> We do not review social issues</p>
Corporate Governance	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Corporate Governance </div> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly</p> <p><input type="radio"/> We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly</p> <p><input type="radio"/> We do not review corporate governance issues</p>

Communication

LEI 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

LEI 17.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.
-----------------	---

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEI 17.5	Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.
-----------------	--

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Daiwa SB Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

Yes

LEA 01.2	Indicate what your engagement policy covers:
----------	--

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Engagements following on from decisions
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.3	Attach or provide a URL to your engagement policy. [Optional]
----------	---

URL

<http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/stewardship/index.html>

LEA 01.4	Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement
----------	--

Analysts and fund managers make contact with the management team of the investee company, grasp the company's efforts, and make appropriate declaration of intention from the shareholder's point of view based on the situation the company faces.

Through such intention display, we will encourage voluntary efforts toward sustainable improvement of corporate value and shareholder value by improving corporate management strategy, governance structure, capital efficiency, ESG problem, etc. .

アナリストやファンド・マネージャーが、投資先企業の経営陣とコンタクトを行い、企業の取り組みを把握するとともに、企業が直面する状況を踏まえ、株主の観点から適切な意思表示を行います。

このような意思表示を通じて、企業の経営戦略、ガバナンス構造、資本効率性、ESG問題等の改善による企業価値・株主価値の持続的向上に貢献し、自主的な取り組みを促してまいります。

○ No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

There are regulation problems in Japan, and it is at a stage where how selective engagement is currently being debated, and we are participating in this discussion.

日本においてはレギュレーションの問題もあり、現在セレクトティブエンゲージメントをいかに行うかが議論されている段階であり、当社もかかる議論に参加しています。

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Geography/market of the companies targeted
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In reaction to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- As a response to divestment pressure
- As a follow-up from a voting decision
- Client request
- Other, describe

No

LEA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

From the viewpoint of enhancing corporate value, the stewardship committee discusses materiality according to attributes of the target company and engages based on this.

企業面直上の観点からスチュワードシップ委員会にて対象企業の属性等に応じたマテリアリティの議論を行い、これに基づいてエンゲージメントを実施しています。

LEA 04

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2 Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3 Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
- No

LEA 04.5 Additional information. [Optional]

Members of our ESG Committee and our Stewardship Committee periodically monitor the performance, financial situation, and governance structure of companies subject to engagement. We hold one on one meetings with companies not showing improvement, and fund managers, research analysts, or ESG analysts press said companies to improve.

If the problem is still not resolved, declaration of intention through exercising of voting rights and the sale of shares will be carried out.

ESG委員会、及びスチュワードシップ委員会のスタッフメンバーが定期的ニエンゲージメント対象企業のパフォーマンス、財務状態、ガバナンス体制などをモニタリングしています。改善が見られない企業に対しては、追加で1 on 1のミーティングを設定し、ファンドマネージャー、リサーチアナリスト、ESG担当アナリストが改善を働きかけることとしています。それでも問題の解決が見られない場合は、議決権行使による意思表示や株式売却を行うこととしています。

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

Communication

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

LEA 16.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/images/11/stewardship_20160831.pdf

LEA 16.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes
- No

LEA 16.3

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 16.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to the public.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

LEA 16.5

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 16.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc/when requested
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

LEA 17

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 17.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

- Yes

LEA 17.2

Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Transparency
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Share blocking
- Regional voting practices
- Record keeping
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Securities lending process
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 17.3	Attach or provide a URL to your voting policy. [Optional]
----------	---

URL

<http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/guideline/guideline.html>

LEA 17.4	Provide a brief overview of your organization’s approach to (proxy) voting.
----------	---

In consideration of the importance of corporate governance, DSBI prevents the waste of shareholder value at the companies in which it invests from the perspective of fulfilment of its fiduciary duty, and exercises its right to proxy voting in order to increase said shareholder value.

Also, regardless of formal standards, we will try to communicate with companies from the viewpoint of what is effective in increasing shareholder value.

コーポレート・ガバナンスの重要性を鑑み、委託者に対する受託者責任の観点から、投資企業の株主価値の毀損を防止し、またその増大を図るべく、議決権行使を行います。

また、必ずしも形式的な基準によらず、株主価値を増大させる上で何か効果的かという観点から企業とのコミュニケーションを図りつつ行います。

LEA 17.5	Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).
----------	---

We have a responsible investment committee consisting of a majority of independent outside directors and the committee monitors the activities of exercising voting rights ex post facto.

過半数の独立社外取締役からなる責任投資委員会を設け、同委員会が事後的に議決権行使活動を監視しています。

No

Process

LEA 18	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 18.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
----------	--

Approach

☉ We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based on

- our own voting policy
 - our clients' requests or policy
 - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 22

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 22.1

Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
 - Votes for selected markets
 - Votes relating to certain ESG issues
 - Votes for significant shareholdings
 - Votes for companies we are engaging with
 - On request by clients
 - Other
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 22.2

Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
 - Votes in selected markets
 - Votes on certain issues
 - Votes for significant shareholdings
 - Votes for companies we are engaging with
 - On request by clients
 - Other
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 23	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 23.1 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 23.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- We do not vote on environmental resolutions
- We do not vote on social resolutions
- On request by clients
- Other




We do not track or collect this information

LEA 24	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 24.1 Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 24.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 66
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 34
Abstentions	 0

100%

LEA 24.3 Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

According to the degree of importance and the needs of the investee companies, continue to engage in dialogue with management.

重要度に応じて、又、企業の求めに応じて、引き続き経営陣との対話を通じてエンゲージメントを行います。

No, we do not track this information

Communication

LEA 27

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 27.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

http://www.daiwasbi.co.jp/company/images/11/stewardship_20160831.pdf

LEA 27.2 Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

No

LEA 27.3 Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 27.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information to the public.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc/as requested

LEA 27.5

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 27.6

Indicate how frequently you report voting information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
 - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
 - Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

Daiwa SB Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 04.1 Indicate 1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and 2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

Corporate (financial)	Screening alone	100
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	0
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
	100%	

FI 04.2	Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.
----------------	---

Based on our current structure, we decided to adopt a screening strategy from a perspective of effectiveness.
 現状の体制を踏まえ、有効性の観点からスクリーニング戦略を採用しています。

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 06.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
----------------	---

- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way
- ESG analysis is benchmarked for quality against other providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 06.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
----------------	---

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, 'tear sheets', 'dashboards' or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 07	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

FI 07.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

	Corporate (financial)		
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/>		

FI 07.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

The criteria are established in our guidelines, and clients are informed of revisions to criteria when they are made.
 ガイドラインに設定しており、基準の見直しやその事の顧客への通知は進めています。

FI 08 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **PRI 1**

Corporate (financial)

Corporate (fin)

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

FI 08.2 Describe your approach to ESG-based negative screening of issuers from your investable universe.

We exclude companies whose products are associated with humanistic problems such as cluster bombs, etc.
 商品では、人道性の観点から問題視されるクラスター爆弾等を対象としています。

FI 10 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1**

FI 10.1 Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

Communication

FI 23

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

FI 23.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to RI across all of your fixed income investments.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries