



LEA 02	Discipline: Monetary	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	
<p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements 	
<p>Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements 	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Formuepleje

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	-	n/a							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public		✓					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	-	n/a	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	🔒	n/a		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	🔒	n/a							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Formuepleje

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Denmark

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

96

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Offices located in Denmark, London and Luxembourg.

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
 No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		69	882	555	701
Currency	DKK				
Assets in USD		10	310	454	788

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06.1	Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.
---------	---

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	10-50%
Fixed income	>50%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0

Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

95

Emerging Markets

5

Frontier Markets

0

Other Markets

0

Total 100%

100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf.
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 10.3 Indicate why you do not cast your votes

- Indicate why you do not cast your votes
 - Formuepleje only votes on rare occasions and have not cast any votes in 2019. Formuepleje is in the process of formalizing the proxy voting setup.
- We do not cast our votes but provide substantive research and voting recommendations to our clients.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Formuepleje do not have a formal setup for direct engagement for Fixed income corporate bonds. The fund managers do, however, meet with the management on a regulariy basis and do raise ESG relevant questions to the management if needed. If a company is not moving in the right direction we would sell the bonds. Corporate Bonds is a small part of the overall AUM at Formuepleje and our direct influence is small.

Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

The majority of Formuepleje's Fixed Income AUM lies within this category and contains only Danish mortgage bonds. Formuepleje finds no value in engaging with the Fixed Income Securitised issuer, given the nature of the Danish mortgage bonds market - an interaction between the Danish mortgage institute and the Danish home owner. Formuepleje do not at this point engage in these bonds as no ESG-related issues have been indicated historically.

OO 11

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation

OO 11.4 Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Responsible investment is an intergral part of our investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes. Responsible investment is on the agenda for all regular meetings with the external managers and it's an important part of the yearly evaluation. If new issues arise with a company that Formuepleje invest in, we expect our external managers to set up a meeting as soon as possible.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income - Securitised

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities

Closing module

- Closing module

Peering questions

OO FI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	---	--------	---------	---------

OO FI 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies

Corporate (non-financial)	Passive	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other	100
	Total	100%
Securitised	Passive	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other	100
	Total	100%

OO FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.2 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.

Type	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Securitised	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	100%

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Formuepleje

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Formuepleje's goal is to generate an attractive long-term risk-adjusted return for our investors, and we believe that the consideration of environmental, social and governance-related (ESG) issues play an important role in achieving this goal. Formuepleje believes that investing in companies, who both understand and handle ESG-related issues, will result in a higher risk-adjusted return. Furthermore, a long term responsible return of investment is supported by a dialog-based discussion with companies in which Formuepleje invests. Hence, Formuepleje integrates ESG-issues as a part of the investment process and believes collaborative engagement is the most efficient way to participate to a healthy financial system. The majority of the funds Formuepleje manages are either UCITS or AIFs for retail clients. Hence, Formuepleje generates a wide range of public information, which among other things includes a description of the investment strategy for the respective fund.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

https://absalon-capital.com/media/544148/2019-09-06_policy-for-responsible-investments-policy-for-active-ownership_eng_hjemmeside.pdf

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Engagement policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

https://absalon-capital.com/media/544148/2019-09-06_policy-for-responsible-investments-policy-for-active-ownership_eng_hjemmeside.pdf

- Attachment
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

https://absalon-capital.com/media/544148/2019-09-06_policy-for-responsible-investments-policy-for-active-ownership_eng_hjemmeside.pdf

- Attachment
- ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

https://absalon-capital.com/media/544148/2019-09-06_policy-for-responsible-investments-policy-for-active-ownership_eng_hjemmeside.pdf

- Attachment
- Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

https://absalon-capital.com/media/544148/2019-09-06_policy-for-responsible-investments-policy-for-active-ownership_eng_hjemmeside.pdf

Attachment

Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

https://absalon-capital.com/media/544148/2019-09-06_policy-for-responsible-investments-policy-for-active-ownership_eng_hjemmeside.pdf

Attachment

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.			
---------	---	--	--	--

Yes

SG 03.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.			
---------	---	--	--	--

Formuepleje's Conflict of Interest Policy applies to conflicts that may arise across all departments in any investment process within Formuepleje.

The policy is not specifically linked to ESG/RI but represents all aspects of the organisation.

Formuepleje also has clear guidelines when it comes to employees' private investments. All trades must be approved by the compliance department and any employee closely related to the investment process must report his/her transactions with a 30-day holding period requirement. Formuepleje thereby eliminates any conflict of interest occurring between the overall investment strategy and the individual employee.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Head of Middle Office

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
----------------	---

Formuepleje's Investment Committee discusses all investment decisions (at a high level) at a Committee meeting every two weeks. Moreover, the Committee evaluates the effect of Formuepleje's Policy of Responsible Investments twice a year - approved annually by the Board - and whether our collaborators comply with this policy. The Investment Committee is headed by the CIO of the Group and consists of, among others, the Head of Investment Fund Administration, the CEO and the Head of Strategy and Macro-analysis. Responsible investment considerations, that may arise e.g. during the investment analysis, are addressed by the Investment Committee. Formuepleje's ESG Committee has the daily responsibility for ESG issues. The Committee meets on a quarterly basis at a minimum to discuss the results from the norms-based screening. Every case is discussed and evaluated, and any concerns are forwarded with recommendations to the Investment Committee. The ESG Committee is led by our Head of Middle Office, closely working together with a Quantitative Analyst, and receives feedback and inputs from our Head of Equities and one of our Credit Portfolio Manager. The Committee also discusses future possible process and development of incorporating ESG within Formuepleje. Formuepleje's ESG Committee is responsible for the annual reporting to PRI and reports to the Investment Committee with RI matters. Furthermore, they are supervising the implementation of Formuepleje's RI Policy and collaborations with service providers. External managers are assigned the responsibility of engagement and incorporating ESG in their investment process. External managers are thereby required to engage and report back any RI or ESG-related findings. Our Head of Equity meets quarterly with the external managers, where the recent quarter's return and risk elements are evaluated and discussed. ESG/RI is a natural part of the external manager's report both in terms of generating a healthy return but more importantly in generating an adequate risk profile.

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

Number	0
--------	---

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify

Dansif

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

- Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

	Description
--	-------------

One of Formuepleje's core values is transparency. Our values are often promoted both to our investors as well as external managers. We acknowledge the importance of responsible investments and believe that collaborative engagement and responsible practices provide for a healthier financial system.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

At Formuepleje we frequently promote our ESG funds and speak at several events about ESG and our approach to responsible investment.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

We expect our external managers to become PRI signatories, and any new managers are required to be a PRI signatory in order for Formuepleje to select the manager in question.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	<p>Formuepleje is working together with an external ESG provider who oversees the portfolios. The sources used are regularly evaluated. The monitoring is systematic and based on specific international conventions and guidelines. When an alert is published, the ESG provider initiates in-depth research and analysis of each incident through contact with the company and relevant sources to verify available information and to access more information and receive regular updates. The company's measures to address the issue is also assessed. The ESG analysis is conducted by the portfolio Managers in tandem with financial analysis.</p> <p>The ESG Committee of Formuepleje meet on an ongoing basis to discuss companies violating the principles. An important aspect in the discussions is the level and progress of the confirmed incidents. We closely monitor the willingness by the management to incorporate initiatives that mitigate and solve the controversies. If the company is found to be responsible for severe violations and show little or no willingness to address the ESG incidents we exclude the company from our investable universe. The company will only be removed from our exclusion list if the company is able to document initiatives that solve the incidents.</p>

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Formuepleje

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Listed Equity and Fixed Income Strategies

SAM 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

SAM 01.1 Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf for all your listed equity and/or fixed income assets:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	Listed Equity				
Screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Integration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>				

SAM 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

We acknowledge and encourage responsible investments to be incorporated in our actively managed Listed Equity portfolios by requiring our external managers to incorporate ESG issues in the investment process. Formuepleje believes that the combination of screening and integration is the best way of providing a responsible risk-adjusted return to our investors. The majority of Formuepleje's external managers are PRI signatories. Some of the external managers are doing their own screening, but Formuepleje acknowledges that the overall responsibility for RI/ESG lies internally why all of Formuepleje's AUM are subject to external screening for ESG compliance.

Selection

SAM 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SAM 02.1 Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

	LE								
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
ESG incorporation requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
ESG reporting requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
No RI information covered in the selection documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>								

You selected an `Other` option in table SAM 02.1 above, please specify

PRI signatory requirements.

SAM 02.2 Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE								
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>								
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>								

ESG people/oversight

	LE								
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>								
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>								

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation

	LE								
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review and agree ESG risk limits at the portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>								
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>								

SAM 02.3

Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components

- Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.
- Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)
- Review publicly available information on ESG/RI
- Review assurance process on ESG/RI data and processes
- Review PRI Transparency Reports
- Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports
- Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes
- Site visits to potential managers offices
- Other, specify

SAM 02.4

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE								
ESG performance development targets	<input type="checkbox"/>								
ESG score	<input type="checkbox"/>								
ESG weight	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>								
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								

SAM 03

Mandatory

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 2

SAM 03.1

Indicate how your organisation typically evaluates the manager's active ownership practices in the majority of the manager selection process.

Engagement

	LE					
Review the manager's engagement policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Review the manager's engagement process (with examples and outcomes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Ensure whether engagement outcomes feed back into the investment decision-making process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Other engagement issues in your selection process specify	<input type="checkbox"/>					

SAM 03.2 Describe how you assess if the manager's engagement approach is effective.

- Impact on investment decisions
- Financial impact on target company or asset class
- Impact on ESG profile of company or the portfolio
- Evidence of changes in corporate practices(i.e. ESG policies and implementation activities)
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Appointment

SAM 04

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

SAM 04.1 Indicate if in the majority of cases and where the structure of the product allows, your organisation does any of the following as part of the manager appointment and/or commitment process

- Sets standard benchmarks or ESG benchmarks
- Defines ESG objectives and/ or ESG related exclusions/restrictions
- Sets incentives and controls linked to the ESG objectives
- Requires reporting on ESG objectives
- Requires the investment manager to adhere to ESG guidelines, regulations, principles or standards
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- None of the above

SAM 04.2

Provide an example per asset class of your benchmarks, objectives, incentives/controls and reporting requirements that would typically be included in your managers' appointment.

Asset class

- Listed equity (LE)

Benchmark

- We do not set benchmarks

ESG Objectives

- ESG related strategy, specify
- ESG related investment restrictions, specify
- ESG integration, specify

We require our external managers to integrate ESG in their investment process.

- Engagement, specify

We require our external managers to engage on our behalf.

- Voting, specify
- Promoting responsible investment
- ESG specific improvements
- Other, specify
- ESG guidelines/regulation, principles/standards, specify

We require our external managers to be PRI signatories or fulfill similar demands and encourage to put in place a RI policy.

Incentives and controls

- We do not set incentives and controls

Reporting requirements

- Monthly
- Quarterly
- Bi-annually
- Annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

SAM 04.3

Indicate which of these actions your organisation might take if any of the requirements are not met

- Discuss requirements not met and set project plan to rectify
- Place investment manager on a “watch list”
- Track and investigate reason for non-compliance
- Re-negotiate fees
- Failing all actions, terminate contract with the manager
- Other, specify
- No actions are taken if any of the ESG requirements are not met

Monitoring

SAM 05.1	When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates
-----------------	---

	LE								
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
ESG portfolio characteristics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Information on any ESG incidents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments	<input type="checkbox"/>								
PRI Transparency Reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
PRI Assessment Reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>								
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>								

SAM 05.2	When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress
-----------------	---

	LE								
ESG score	<input type="checkbox"/>								
ESG weight	<input type="checkbox"/>								
ESG performance minimum threshold	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>								
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								

SAM 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

SAM 06.1 When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following active ownership information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates from the investment manager in meetings/calls

Engagement

	LE				
Report on engagements undertaken (summary with metrics, themes, issues, sectors or similar)	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Report on engagement ESG impacts (outcomes, progress made against objectives and examples)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Information on any escalation strategy taken after initial unsuccessful dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Alignment with any eventual engagement programme done internally	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Information on the engagement activities' impact on investment decisions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Other RI considerations relating to engagement in investment management agreements; specify	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Outputs and outcomes

SAM 09	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,6
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

Add Example 1

Topic or issue	Money Laundering
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal staff
Asset class	<input type="checkbox"/> All asset classes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity
Scope and process	Money Laundering and compliance in especially nordic banks have been a massive topic in 2019. Formuepleje have followed the process closely, discussing the topic in our ESG Committee with research from our ESG provider. We have been in dialouge with all of our external investment advisors regarding money laundering in banks. We have asked for a review of the investments in banks and discussed the different issues and risk of money laundering on our regular meetings. One of the investment managers have also been engaging with a larger Danish bank regarding risk of money laundering.
Outcomes	We have seen improvement in the compliance in general and in the AML in particular.

Add Example 2

Topic or issue	A larger Russian company has been linked to severe air, water and land contamination
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal staff
Asset class	<input type="checkbox"/> All asset classes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity
Scope and process	Screening from our external ESG provider placed the company on the watch list. We took contact to the investment manager who gave a review of their due diligence and we investigated the issue our self with the help of in depth research from our ESG provider on several of our russian companies.
Outcomes	Due to the company's proactive response to the controversy and its commitment to significantly reduce emissions by 2023, we decided to keep the investment. Not only the ESG issue within the particular company, has been discussed with our manager, but also ESG in Russia in general, the challenges they face at the moment and the long term risk trends as well.

Add Example 3

Topic or issue	General Manager Monitoring
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal staff
Asset class	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All asset classes <input type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity
Scope and process	During 2019 we have improved and formalized our monitoring of the external investment managers. The ESG Committee have held separat meeting with each manager regarding their ESG process and presented the new setup at Formuepleje. Monthly and quarterly meetings are held with our managers where ESG is a highly prioritized topic. Screening results are discussed as well as engagements and general ESG issues faced in the portfolio, and how the manager handles these issues.
Outcomes	All managers have a better understanding of the ESG policy at Formuepleje.

- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- We are not able to provide examples

Formuepleje

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.
---------	---

Securitized	Screening alone	100
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	0
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
		100%

FI 01.2	Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.
----------------	---

Formuepleje invests primarily in Danish mortgage bonds.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
----------------	---

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

FI 04.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

				Securitized
Negative/exclusionary screening				<input type="checkbox"/>
Positive/best-in-class screening				<input type="checkbox"/>
Norms-based screening				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FI 04.2	Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income
----------------	--

Our external ESG provider screens the portfolios on a regular basis. Based on the screening results the investment committee can decide to exclude an issuer. The screening is a norms-based screening.

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

FI 06.1	Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.
----------------	---

Type of screening	Checks
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

Formuepleje

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring
- Direct - Fixed Income
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring
- Direct - Fixed Income
- Investment Teams

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring
- Direct - Fixed Income
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)