



LEA 02	Gateway	Monetary
<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff 	<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate transition or identify the need for additional or different investments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage internal staff

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Matarin Capital Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Matarin Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges	
Fund management	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%	
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%	
Other	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%	<div style="background-color: #0072bc; color: white; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">Please specify</div> <p>Matarin Capital Management (Matarin) offers separately managed accounts for all available investment strategies.</p>
Total 100%		

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Matarin Capital Management (Matarin) offers U.S. and international investment strategies to institutional investors. Active U.S. based equity strategies and vehicles include North America Small Cap (separately managed account and collective investment trust; Large Cap Core (separately managed account); MicroCap (separately managed account); and Low Volatility (separately managed account).

The Matarin Patience Premium Global and Patience Premium International (ex-North America) large cap equity strategies are available as a separately managed account, comingled vehicle or as an index subscription through S&P Dow Jones Custom Indices.

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

8

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Matarin is a boutique investment management firm which offers U.S., Global and International long only core equity strategies to institutional investors. The firm's U.S. strategies are small cap, large cap, micro cap and low volatility. Matarin's Global and International Patience Premium active equity strategies utilize a process similar to the multi-factor approach used in our purely U.S. strategies,

Matarin was founded in June 2010 by Stuart Kaye, Nili Gilbert and Valerie Malter. Valerie is the firm's Managing Principal and seeded the firm's first strategy with an investment from her IRA. Stuart and Nili are Principals/Portfolio Managers (PM). Principal/PM Ralph Coutant worked with Stuart and Nili at Invesco for a number of years prior to joining Matarin in 2011 so Matarin's investment team has worked together for over 20 years. Marta Cotton, Principal and Director of Client Development also joined Matarin in 2011.

Matarin hired Cheryl Fustinoni as Head of Operations in 2016; Eli Rietti joined Matarin as Trader and junior member of the investment team in 2018; and Melanie Mendoza joined the firm as Chief Compliance Officer in 2018.

The firm has been independent, and 100% employee owned since its founding and is a WBENC certified women-owned firm.

Having grown from a small seed investment to about \$1.1 Billion (December 2019) in AUM, we believe Matarin is one of the largest women-owned asset management firms in North America.

OO 03

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Matarin Capital Management has always been independent and does not have any subsidiaries or affiliations with any other firm.

OO 04.1	Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.
----------------	---

31/12/2019

OO 04.2	Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.
----------------	--

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		1	076	697	427
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		1	076	697	427

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4	Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year
----------------	---

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06.1	Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.
---------	---

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	100	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 06.6 Provide contextual information on your AUM asset class split. [Optional]

As of December 31, 2019 Matarin's asset class mix is as follows:

Active Equity Strategies - U.S.

- Matarin North America Small Cap - 76%
- Matarin Large Cap - 19%
- Matarin MicroCap - 5%
- Matarin Low Volatility - <1%

Active Equity Patience Premium Strategies

- Matarin Patience Premium Global Equity - 0%
- Matarin Patience Premium International (ex-North America) Equity - 0%

Matarin Long-Term Indices

- Matarin Long-Term Global Index - 0%
- Matarin Long-Term International (ex-North America) Index - 0%

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

100

Emerging Markets

0

Frontier Markets

0



OO 09.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Strategies managed by Matarin include U.S. and global (developed markets) equities. It is important to note that even though the Matarin Global and International strategies are not yet funded, both strategies were launched and priced in March 2019. The strategies are calculated and priced daily by S&P Dow Jones Custom Indices under the following symbols WAITGSUP (Global) and WAITIUSP (International).

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1	Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.
----------------	---

	Listed equity – engagement
--	----------------------------

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

	Listed equity – voting
--	------------------------

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1	Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).
----------------	---

	Listed equity
--	---------------

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1	Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.
----------------	---

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Closing module

- Closing module

OO 12.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Matarin's investment team was involved with/managed global equity strategies for 8 years at its prior firm, Invesco. In total, the Invesco Quantitative Strategies Group managed over \$25B in assets. Matarin's three portfolio managers all hail from this group, and Stuart Kaye was in the Chief Investment Officer role on that prior team. Because of this legacy, Matarin's investment philosophy is an extension of the team's prior group, and our investment process, although it has evolved over time with new research insights and changing markets, also grows out of that prior discipline and bears similarities to it.

Matarin's investment team worked to find thoughtful and insightful ways to utilize information related to ESG to help investors improve their risk/return profile in our investment strategies. Today, we focus on 5 Pillars of Responsible Investing at Matarin: 1) ESG factor integration in our return forecasts, 2) ESG factor integration in our risk modelling, 3) ESG-focused proxy voting and engagement, 4) Customized negative screens, 5) Matarin's own community engagement and social responsibility.

Matarin Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) Advocacy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) Thematic Investing	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

It is our fiduciary duty to provide our clients with the highest risk-adjusted returns possible within the confines of the process into which they have chosen to invest. Therefore, Matarin must be a "financial first" investor. We focus our effort on identifying investment ideas, including the sustainable investment ideas we have researched, to insure they will either improve returns or reduce risk.

We believe all our clients are long-term investors, serving decades of beneficiaries. For these kinds of long-term investors, understanding ESG risk and return opportunities should be a core part of good investment plan.

We have several ways of implementing this thinking. In our long only US equity strategies, we incorporate a governance factor in our return forecasting model, as well as hurricane risk, opioid risk and power producer risk factors in our risk model. In our global and international strategies we won't own high ESG controversies, and we do own companies which have demonstrated progress toward sustainability. All real world applications of ESG.

Finally, with our work as a thought leader, we are attempting to help to build a bridge between sustainable investment thinking and quantitative mainstream investment thinking.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Matarin's Five Pillars of Responsible Investing**ESG as a Predictor of Stock Returns**

- Proprietary 'Governance' factor in the 'People' concept (A*)
- Proprietary 'Progress Towards Sustainability' factors (I*)
- Proprietary 'Worst in Class' ESG stocks ranked using Sustainalytics Incidents Scores (I*)

ESG as a Mitigator of Risk

- Inclusion of proprietary 'Hurricane Risk' and 'Opioid Risk' factors in our risk model (A*)
- Custom constraints on certain power sources (A*)

ESG-Focused Proxy Voting and Engagement

- All proxies voted based upon ESG proxy standards developed by Glass Lewis with Sustainalytics (A*, I*)
- Engagement with companies on ESG data disclosures as well as the quality of that data

Customized Negative Social and Environmental Screens

- Matarin has implemented customized negative social and environmental screens as requested by clients based on restricting holdings: coal, guns, Iran, MacBride, payday lending, prison operators, Sudan, tobacco, Israel boycotts, expatriated companies (A*, I*)

Matarin's Own Social Responsibility and Impact

- Prominent female leadership, as one of the larger women-owned asset managers in the U.S.

- Philanthropic commitments, e.g. Toigo Foundation contributions
- Promoting best practices for stewardship, integrity and fairness
- Employees' community engagement in the fields of responsible investment, youth and education, women's entrepreneurship, and diversity in asset management, e.g. support of organizations such as 100 Women in Finance, Ford Foundation Inclusive Economies Program, David Rockefeller Fund, Start Small Think Big, Harlem Educational Activities Fund

Memberships and Collaborations

- Investor Members of CDP
- Ongoing ESG-focused thought leadership relationships and public speaking with organizations such as the World Economic Forum, Oxford University, CFA Institute, and the Copenhagen Financial Hub
- Attendance and speaking at meetings and conferences of the Net Zero Asset Owners Alliance, Responsible Investor, Clinton Foundation Climate Action Network, World Economic Forum Davos, and more

Legend for above list:

A* utilized in Matarin's US active strategies; I* utilized in Matarin's Patience Premium global and international (ex-North America) equity strategies.

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

- Policy setting out your overall approach
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties
- Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- Sector specific RI guidelines
- Screening / exclusions policy
- Engagement policy
- (Proxy) voting policy
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2	Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	---

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[SG 02.2 Matarin Capital Management.pdf \[131KB\]](#)

- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

URL

Attachment

File Attachment

[SG 02.2 MatSG 02.2 Matarin Capital Management - Investment Objectives With ESG Factors and Real Economy Influence.pdf \[101KB\]](#)

- Time horizon of your investment

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://matarin.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/UNGSII-SDG-Lab-Matarin-Capital-Patience-Premium.pdf>

Attachment

File Attachment

[SG 02.2 Matarin Capital Management - Time Horizon of Investment.pdf \[818KB\]](#)

- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://matarin.com>

Attachment

- ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[SG 02.2 Matarin Capital Management - Five Pillars of Responsible Investing at Matarin.pdf \[370KB\]](#)

- Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://matarin.com/how-we-invest/responsible-investment>

- Attachment

File Attachment

[SG 02.2 Matarin Capital Management - Matarin Active Engagement Policy.pdf \[231KB\]](#)

- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://matarin.com/how-we-invest/responsible-investment>

- Attachment

- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

As a fiduciary, Matarin owes its clients a duty of loyalty, and may not engage in any activity that conflicts with a client's interest without the client's consent. Matarin always places the interests of our clients before our own. Every employee attests to our Firm's Code of Ethics and Compliance Manual at the time of hire and at least annually. Collectively the manuals include policies that mitigate the risk of any conflict of interest, such as:

- honest and ethical conduct
- personal securities transactions
- restrictions on outside business activities and board membership
- always use care and prudent judgment when managing client assets
- prohibit the use of expert networks
- prohibit the practice of using soft dollars
- prohibit practices designed to distort prices or artificially inflate trading volume with the intent to mislead market participants.
- always have a reasonable and adequate basis for investment decisions.
- vote proxies in compliance with disclosed policy
- disclosure of trade allocation policies
- fair disclosure on fees

No

SG 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

At Matarin, we are very focused on our own social responsibility and impact. Key areas of focus for our team are:

- A focus on team diversity as a driver of success - as Matarin is a woman- and minority-owned business
- Promoting best practices for our industry in terms of integrity and fairness - for example at Matarin we have policies focused on fair fees, restricted political contributions, no soft dollars, and nurturing a culture of stewardship
- Community engagement through volunteerism in the fields of responsible investment, youth and education, women's entrepreneurship, and diversity in asset management.
- In addition to the focus on climate risks and opportunities within our firm and our portfolios, we are actively engaged in ongoing education and public advocacy about climate finance and investment through speaking engagements, conference attendance and thought leadership.
- Memberships in industry groups which are advancing responsible investor issues, such as CDP Investor Membership and our current efforts to become a PRI signatory.

Objectives and strategies**SG 05****Mandatory****Public****Gateway/Core Assessed****General**

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Matarin's Investment Research Team reviews its investment objectives and goals annually, at the beginning of each year. Responsible Investment objectives and goals are a standing agenda item in this process.

Since 2011 we have tested dozens of ESG variables for use as either return enhancing or risk reducing factors in our active equities process. We will continue to do that work over time.

Governance and human resources

SG 07**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

	Roles
<input type="checkbox"/>	Board members or trustees
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Internal Roles (triggers other options)

- Board members or trustees
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Because Matarin integrates ESG factors in our risk and return models directly alongside traditional financial factors, and in the same way, these factors are monitored in a similar way on an on-going basis by the firm's investment team.

The contribution to returns of our return factors are monitored monthly. Risk factors are considered on their impact on stock selection and portfolio construction daily, as portfolios are optimized. All of the ESG factors used in our work are implemented, monitored, and challenged regularly alongside all of the other fundamental investment variables used in our models.

Matarin has implemented an internal, senior level, team approach to the oversight and/or implementation of on-going research on new ESG variables which could, potentially, be used in our work. It is the goal of our investment team to identify and test ideas which could improve the efficacy of our models overall. Finding ESG variables is certainly a part of the research process.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0

SG 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Matarin is a boutique manager, with 8 employees, so we are not large enough to have a "dedicated" ESG staff, but the four person investment team shares responsibility for idea generation and successful execution for all ESG concepts, while the entire management team is active in review and oversight of that work.

In addition, team members have, and will continue to, volunteer on committees and participate in investment industry related events which focus on ESG and diversity and inclusion. Team members have spoken on panels, and regularly attended conferences and other meetings on these and related topics. Matarin has also been cited in numerous articles in the popular press related to these issues.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Matarin has spent a great deal of time in focused discussions on Matarin's approach to long-term and sustainable investment philosophies and ESG based investment strategies with a host of interested parties including the CFA, United Nations, World Economic Forum, Boston College Center for Corporate Citizenship, Oxford University, Garrison Institute and Clinton Foundation, among many others. Topics have ranged from Diversity, to Sustainable Development Goals, to Climate Change to longtermism in investing. We believe we have become a thought leader in many of these topics and are now being sought out to speak on these and other topics at related conferences.

This work and our many internal discussions about the insights that were gathered offer a strong underpinning for our policies on responsible investment.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
 Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
 AVCA: Sustainability Committee
 France Invest – La Commission ESG
 BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
 CDP Climate Change
 CDP Forests
 CDP Water
 CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Matarin's involvement with the CFA Institute includes:

CFA Society of New York Podcast, What ESG and Climate Mean to Portfolios, 10/22/2019

CFA Society of South Africa Webinar, The Patience Premium, 07/31/2019

CFA Institute, Let's Measure Up Campaign, ESG & Cognitive Diversity, Q4 18- Present

CFA Financial Analysts Seminar Keynote, Patience Premium and Behavioral Investing , 07/24/2018

CFA Society of Portland Keynote, Market Outlook & The Patience Premium, 06/19/2018

CFA Society of Seattle Annual Dinner Keynote, Market Outlook & The Patience Premium, 01/25/2018

Climate Action 100+

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)

Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Eumedion

Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)

ESG Research Australia

Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable

Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)

Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Matarin has begun active engagement with the Net Zero Asset Owners Alliance, which is run by UNEP. Our discussions have been focused on quantitative tools for designing Paris-or-better Aligned public equity portfolios.

- CleanTechIQ, Integrating Social Impact Themes& UN SDG Reporting, Investors, Panelist, 2.26.20
- United Nations Headquarters, Impact 2030 Summit Opening Address, 9.7.18
- Davos, United Nations Global Sustainability Index Institute Presentation, 1.21.19
- United Nations Headquarters, Impact 2030 Summit Opening Address, 9.7.18
- United Nations Sustainable Investment Summit, SDG Financing& The Patience Premium, 2.28.18
- United Nations Presentation for 70 UN Ambassadors, SDG Financing& The Patience Premium, 2.23.18

- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Oxford University, CFA, World Economic Forum, Clinton Foundation, United Nations among many others

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Matarin representatives have been active in many forums, conferences and meetings on the topics of ESG, sustainability, climate change and diversity in the past several years.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2 Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Education or training programs:
 We have led several education sessions for the CFA Institute. Here are two examples:
 CFA Institute Financial Analysts Seminar Lecture
<https://www.cfainstitute.org/en/research/multimedia/2018/improving-invest-decision-process-behavioral-alpha>
 CFA Society of South Africa Webinar
<https://matarin.com/cfa-society-of-south-africa-webinar-the-patience-premium-for-long-term-investing/>

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

Description

As Investor Members of CDP, Matarin is providing financial support for this non-profit's work on greenhouse gas emissions.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

Matarin has presented its research on responsible investment at Oxford University, and co-founder Nili Gilbert sits on an Oxford advisory council focused on active ownership.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Matarin has advocated publicly for better transparency and disclosure in many forums. For example, co-founder Nili Gilbert testified as an expert witness to the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Financial Services to encourage the SEC to mandate broader ESG disclosures. <https://financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/hrg-116-ba16-wstate-gilbertn-20190515.pdf>

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

Matarin has been invited to present in a number of different public forums including the World Economic Forum Young Global Leaders, CNBC, Bloomberg Television, CFA Society podcasts. Our long and complete list of advocacy and speaking engagements include:

- Wall Street Journal, Women in Finance, Leading Investment and Impacting Roles, Panelist 3.19.20
- The Garrison Institute, Regenerative Economics and Impact Investing, Lead Speaker, 3.5.20
- CleanTechIQ, Integrating Social Impact Themes & UN SDG Reporting, Investors, Panelist, 2.26.20
- Institutional Portfolio Princeton Summit, Corporate Governance & ESG, Panelist, 2.4.20
- Managed Funds Association (MFA), Optimizing Partnerships in ESG and SRI, Panelist, 1.29.20
- Davos, Feminine Quotient, Modelling Responsibility In The Supply Chain, Panelist, 1.21.20
- Responsible Investor Americas, The Cleaning up of Dirty Fossil Fuels in North America, Panelist, 2.5.19
- NAIC Annual Conference, Diversity in Asset Management, Panel Moderator, 10.24.19
- CFA Society of New York Podcast, What ESG and Climate Mean to Portfolios, 10.22.19
- Labaton Sucharow, Women-Led Investment Firms, Panelist, 9.27.19
- Bloomberg Global Business Forum, ESG Integration Interview, 9.25.19
- World Economic Forum Sustainable Development Summit, ESG Materiality Panel, 9.24.19
- United Nations Closing the SDG Investment Gap, Patience Premium Presentation, 9.22.19
- Financial Times Future of Asset Management Summit, Moral Money: Investment and Activism, 9.18.19
- CFA Society of South Africa Webinar, The Patience Premium, 7.31.19
- World Economic Forum, Young Global Leader Advisory Board, Patience Premium Presentation, 6.30.19
- Robert Wood Johnson/CECP Investors Forum, The Patience Premium, 6.19.19
- U.S. Congressional Testimony to House Financial Services Subcommittee, ESG Disclosures, 5.15.19
- S&P Video Interview, "Does Patience Pay?", 4.23.19
- CNBC Halftime Report, Earth Day, 4.22.19
- CNBC Op-Ed, "Patient Investors Can Capitalize on Mispricing", 4.22.19
 - Oxford University Ownership Project, The Patience Premium, 2.23.19
- Davos, World Economic Forum Annual Meeting, Supply Chain Traceability Moderator, 1.24.19
- Davos, UBS 'Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, 1.23.19
- Davos, United Nations Global Sustainability Index Institute Presentation, 1.21.19
- CFA Institute, Let's Measure Up Campaign, ESG & Cognitive Diversity, Q4'18-Present
- Fortune's Most Powerful Women International, "The Rise of the Responsible Corporation", 11.6.18
- World Economic Forum, Young Global Leaders "Big Ideas", The Patience Premium, 10.11.18
- United Nations Headquarters, Impact 2030 Summit Opening Address, 9.7.18
- CFA Financial Analysts Seminar Keynote, Patience Premium and Behavioral Investing, 7.24.18
- Karma Networks - Timeless Capital Interview, Behavioral Alpha & The Patience Premium, 7.13.18
- Matarin Quarterly Newsletter, "No Woman Do Cry", Q3'18
- Yale / Commonfund Endowment Institute Lecture, ESG & The Patience Premium, 6.29.18
- CFA Society of Portland Keynote, Market Outlook & The Patience Premium, 6.19.2018
- Bloomberg Financial Journalism Program, Diversity and Responsibility in Financial Services, 5.17.18
- Garrison Institute Summit on Regenerative Capitalism, The Patience Premium, 4.18.18
- Columbia 15Yr Reunion, Diversity, Corporate Responsibility & The Patience Premium, April 2018
- BC Center for Corporate Citizenship Conference, ESG & Patience Premium, Los Angeles, April 2018
- NAIC Perspective Interview, Diversity in Financial Services, 4.4.18
- Commonfund Forum, Global Investing & The Patience Premium, March 2018
- United Nations Sustainable Investment Summit, SDG Financing & The Patience Premium, 2.28.18
- United Nations Presentation for 70 UN Ambassadors, SDG Financing & The Patience Premium, 2.23.18
- CFA Society of Seattle Annual Dinner Keynote, Market Outlook & The Patience Premium, 1.25.18
- New York Stock Exchange, CFA Institute Panel on ESG Investing, 11.10.17
- Toigo Foundation / Commonfund Panel on Diversity in Asset Management, 9.27.17
- Congressional Black Caucus, Hosted by Senator Cory Booker, Diversity in Asset Management, 9.20.17

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

Matarin's investment team produces in-house papers on responsible investing. Additionally, we are becoming a sought out thought leader on many topics related to sustainability and carbon footprints. We hope to continue this type of advocacy indefinitely and are excited to be part of this important work.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

Matarin has spoken with other boutique-sized, quantitative, and diverse asset managers about the path to becoming a PRI signatory. We have also shared feedback with leaders of the PRI organization about some of the limitations to broader adoption of PRI among these groups.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)

Description

The NGOs that we have consulted and volunteered with about RI related issues include World Economic Forum, Ford Foundation, United Nations and others.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

Description

Matarin is actively promoting responsible investing through numerous engagements, including those with media. We have published articles in Reuters, Bloomberg and in Family Capital magazine, as well as had interviews with major financial news outlets such as CNBC Television, and Chinese CGTN Television. The frequency of these engagements has been multiple times per quarter, but it does not run on a formal or regular schedule.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify

On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

Description

Current RI-related Boards and advisory committees include:
Glenmede Racial Equity Investing
Oxford University Ownership Project
David Rockefeller Fund Climate Initiatives

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Other, specify

No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

SG 12.7

Additional information [Optional].

While Matarin contributes information to investment consultant databases for each strategy we manage, we do not engage consultants to assist or promote our business.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

Matarin's investment team specializes in designing strategies which are underpinned by multi-factor return forecasting, risk forecasting and transactions cost models. The return and risk models include variables related to ESG as described previously. We attempt to forecast future stock returns using a variety of ESG and other variables.

- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 13.3

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

In Matarin's Patience Premium strategies, ESG issues contribute to the allocation of assets across countries.

Matarin is offering fossil free strategies that focus on the energy sector, as well as "fossil guzzler" industries such as airlines.

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

Frequency

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management
Frequency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested

Matarin Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities


Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1	Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.
----------	---

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 100
---	---

- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities
--

100%

LEI 01.2	Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.
----------	---

Matarin integrates ESG in our investment process by focusing on 5 key pillars: 1) Opportunities to Increase Returns; 2) Opportunities to Minimize Risk; 3) Customized Negative Screens; 4) ESG-Focused Proxy Voting; and 5) Matarin's Own Social Responsibility & Impact.

1. ESG as Predictor of Stock Returns

When forecasting stock returns at Matarin, our process is to first think fundamentally about the 'why' and 'how' of each individual investment insight, and then complete a quantitative analysis of the unique ideas that merit more consideration. So with ESG indicators, like any other investment ideas, we first ask ourselves "Why would this idea matter to stock prices, and do we believe the stock is being mispriced?"

Matarin has engaged with a number of ESG research and data providers over the course of the past several years including, for example: Governance Metrics International (GMI), Ethical Investment Research and Information Services (EIRIS), Sustainalytics, Sustainable Accounting Standards Board (SASB), Bloomberg, MSCI ESG, ISS Ethix, TruCost, TrueValue Labs, and CDP (formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project).

The Patience Premium strategies integrate ESG data from Sustainalytics into the Patience Premium scoring system, which forecasts returns, in its "Catalyst" concept, as follows:

Our "Progress Toward Sustainability" factor, focused on Long-Term ESG Momentum, is calculated by comparing the current level of the Sustainalytics Environmental, Social and Governance ratings to a multi-year average. The same calculation is completed for each of the ESG scores, individually.

Companies making progress towards sustainability is an important catalyst for their ability to be able to earn long-term returns. If we are investing for the long-term, we want to know whether a company is preparing for the environmental and social realities of the future.

There is an open question among market actors about whether ESG investing can add to returns. In Matarin's view, one important key is to consider ESG investing through the lens of long-termism. In fact, we believe there may be something like a "J-Curve" for companies making progress towards sustainability. Because when a company makes an investment to become more sustainable, this may come with an immediate cost in the early years which may even be a drag on cash-flows or earnings (and stock prices). But in later years there's a hope that this will be a 'Catalyst' for better fundamental results in the future, and thus stock price appreciation

At Matarin, we view the inclusion of ESG Factors, like the long-term progress towards sustainability factor, as examples of true ESG integration, in which ESG ideas are included in the same way and on equal footing with other fundamental or technical return and risk factors.

2. ESG as Mitigator of Risk

In addition to evaluating traditional ESG indicators based upon their ability to predict returns, we have critiqued and evaluated ESG factors based upon their ability to predict or mitigate portfolio risk.

This strategy integrates ESG into the Patience Premium scoring system, which forecasts returns, in its "People" concept, as follows:

When investing in a company for the long-term, we want to know that it has stakeholder-friendly leadership. This means avoiding companies that have been led through serial or severe ESG controversies. When ESG crises are too frequent or too deep, it may signal a tarnished organizational culture, starting from the top.

We've developed a technique to eliminate the "Worst In Class" ESG Controversy stock(s) within each region-sector peer group (for example, most controversial among European Materials), based upon the Sustainalytics Controversy scores across 10 categories, such as supply chain, products and services, governance, etc.

Such companies tend to underperform the market over multi-year periods, importantly driving the long-term investment case for good ESG.

We also believe that the elimination of fossil fuel stocks from the portfolio will mitigate absolute risk on a forward-looking basis.

3. Customized Negative Screens

As discussed elsewhere in this document, this strategy is designed to exclude fossil fuel producers, fossil fuel guzzlers, and tobacco stocks. These exclusions can be reversed or changed for clients who choose to invest in separate accounts.

In fact, over 50% of Matarin's existing institutional client accounts have such customized negative screens in place. Because Matarin builds portfolios using quantitative tools with a focus on optimizing risk, the impact of these negative exclusions is directly mitigated.

4. ESG-Focused Proxy Voting

In the equity markets, shareholder action through proxies can be the most direct way to have impact on a firm's business practices. All proxies voted by Matarin on behalf of its clients are voted based upon ESG standards, through our proxy-voting partner, Glass Lewis which is partnered with Sustainalytics for ESG research. Our ESG proxy guidelines focus on sustainable business practices such as ESG disclosure, risk mitigation and good governance.

5. Matarin's Social Responsibility & Impact

At Matarin, we are very focused on our own social responsibility and impact as well. Key areas of focus for our team are:

- A focus on team diversity as a driver of success - as Matarin is a women- and minority-owned business
- Promoting best practices for our industry in terms of integrity and fairness - for example at Matarin we have policies focused on fair fees, restricted political contributions, no soft dollars, and nurturing a culture of stewardship
- Community engagement through volunteerism in the fields of responsible investment, youth and education, women's entrepreneurship, and diversity in asset management.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

For Matarin's Patience Premium strategies, we are screening out "Worst in Class" ESG stocks based upon controversies around their activities, products, governance, or practices and performance.

We have designed an approach to fossil free investment that focuses on fossil fuel guzzlers, as well as the energy sector.

We are also offering customized negative screens for customers, based upon their requests.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

Matarin's Patience Premium strategies are designed to hold the "Best In Class" Patience Premium stocks, based upon our proprietary scoring system, which includes ESG factors

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify
Sustainalytics

Description

We offer customized norms-based screening upon client request.

LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
-----------------	--

Matarin communicates any changes to our model to clients through monthly and/or quarterly account reviews.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2	Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.
-----------------	---

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5

Additional information. [Optional]

"Robust analysis" of all of the variables which go into our investment process is the basis of our very existence at Matarin!

Our investment processes are time tested. They have worked successfully for over 30 years, and have added significant value to client portfolios over that time. On a shorter term basis, we perform portfolio attribution analyses regularly and are cognizant of which factors are working in the portfolio and which are not in any given period of time.

In addition, we continuously perform simulations using new factors to determine if different factors in different weights might have a positive impact on returns for a given level of risk. As quantitative investors, we have many tools available to us to perform this work.

The creation of our investment strategies included numerous simulations to test the efficacy of our stock selection model to predict expected returns, i.e., stock alphas. Additionally, these simulations tested various portfolio construction considerations such as contribution to risk from: stock, industry and sector weights; exposure to macro factors such as interest rates and oil prices; and "blind" statistical factors. The simulation process allows Matarin to both evaluate different input combinations, e.g., constraints on relative sector weights, and understand the interaction among alphas, risk, and transaction costs. This understanding is especially important as it is essential to the efficient construction of optimal portfolios aimed at achieving various levels of risk, given a specific return objective; or achieving various levels of returns given a specific target for risk.

Matarin's simulation process is used to test the efficacy of each and every potential factor we might include in our "alpha" or risk models, including ESG factors. This process includes:

- Appropriately lagging data so as to avoid any "look ahead" bias in developing factors.
- Eliminating stocks from the universe which did not meet specific capitalization and/or liquidity criteria.
- Establishing a targeted predicted tracking error range. This allows Matarin to increase portfolio risk exposure, as warranted by market opportunities, without creating unnecessary portfolio turnover due to an automatic rebalancing to a single fixed risk target.
- At purchase, capping stock weights at 1.2% to achieve diversification of stock specific risk. Additionally, allowing stock weights to rise to a maximum of 2.0%, thus avoiding unnecessary transaction costs from forced rebalancing.
- Constraining non-blind risk factors, within "common sense" limits, ensuring all quantifiable risk exposures are intended and compensated with expected excess returns.
- Constraining sector and industry weights, within a specified range, thus avoiding excessive risk exposure and ensuring portfolio excess returns are generated largely from stock specific risks resulting from stock selection.
- Rigorously analyzing simulation results to ensure that portfolio turnover rates, characteristics, holdings, and constraints were as anticipated, ex ante.

- Estimating and evaluating simulated returns and risks during two distinct time periods to ensure consistency of outcome and similarity of distribution patterns across time and different market environments.

Matarin's investment objective is to provide realistically achievable excess returns while controlling for portfolio risks and, in particular, downside risk. Throughout the simulation process we sought a portfolio construction scenario that afforded a high information ratio, but also one in which downside volatility was dampened. One of Matarin's firm objectives is for clients to have sufficient confidence in our process to remain invested during those inevitable periods of challenging markets. And, ideally, to invest further during such times so as to realize the upside potential as performance of both the portfolio and the market once again improves. Through our simulation process we have been mindful of this firm objective and have selected an optimal combination of stock alphas and risk parameters to deliver consistency of results with lower volatility.

Matarin's process for factor creation is dynamic. Markets are not static and the determinants of future investment returns can vary in influence. Consequently, our proprietary factors and their weights will evolve as both our thinking and model development adapt to changing market environments.

The starting point for research into new factors is typically an insight regarding what may be predictive of stock prices going forward. These insights can originate from a variety of sources; a book, academic research, financial periodicals, or even by a comment made by Warren Buffet one year at his annual meeting. We care little about the source of the ideas, we care more about the quality of the insights and how best to quantify them. We do not buy any factors from external sources, nor do we rely on sell-side research to aid in the determination of industry or stock specific weights. All of the value-added insights housed within the models are our own.

Once each factor has been quantified, they are tested historically to determine how well they performed relative to other factors, and how they interact with other factors. Our investment team tests only those insights it believes has "Future Investment Merit"...not necessarily those ideas which have worked best in the past, but those they believe are likely to be most predictive of stock prices going forward. We are not looking to build the top performing back-test, but instead are focused on building a model that will excel in the real world going forward. The development and testing of these indicators are "assigned" to whoever is most interested in performing them. Factors are included in the model once they have been thoroughly vetted and agreed to by all members of the investment team.

The process of defining, testing and then using a factor in our models is most definitely "rigorous". ESG factors are handled in exactly the same way, and with as much care as any financial factor we might be inclined to use in our process.

(B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 07	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 07.1 Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

LEI 07.2 Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]

Matarin's investment team has been actively applying negative screens at the request of clients since 1989. Beginning in 2011, the firm began to take a more active approach to ESG research with the goal of finding factors within the context of sustainability which would either be additive to returns or reduce overall portfolio risk. We have rigorously tested dozens of such factors since that time. As "financial first" investors, we have opted not to include variables with don't add value just because they are ESG variables. We feel they need to contribute to the performance of the models and to returns.

The first factor we incorporated into our US Equity strategies was a governance factor which focused on board diversity. We obtained data for this factor from a proprietary source which we believe continues to be

proprietary today. Later, we added risk factors related to the environment/climate (weather) and social (opioid) risks.

In the Patience Premium strategies that we launched in March 2019 we went a s step further and added an incidence factor and "Progress toward sustainability" factor, as well as making the product fossil free by eliminated investments in energy as well as the users of energy such as cruise ships, airlines and truckers. Again, over time we will continue to search for other novel ways to include ESG in our products.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100px; height: 20px; background-color: #0070c0; margin-right: 10px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px;">Environmental</div> </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Social	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100px; height: 20px; background-color: #0070c0; margin-right: 10px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px;">Social</div> </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Corporate Governance	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100px; height: 20px; background-color: #0070c0; margin-right: 10px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 5px;">Corporate Governance</div> </div> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>

LEI 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

ESG variables are systematically included in all our investment models, such that ESG is used across 100% of our strategies.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 09.1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2 Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.3 Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4 Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.6

Additional information. [Optional]

Please refer to the detailed section (SG) previously which discusses how we go about doing our research into all variables, including ESG variables.

Matarin Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

[File 1:Matarin Active Engagement Policy 2020 03.pdf](#)

URL provided:

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
Public advocacy.
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
- Methods of voting
- Transparency of voting activities
- Regional voting practice approaches
- Filing or co-filing resolutions
- Company dialogue pre/post-vote
- Decision-making processes
- Securities lending processes
- Other; (specify)

Focus on offering constructive feedback of proxy service provider.

- Other
- None of the above

No

LEA 01.4

Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

Yes

LEA 01.5

Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:

- Outline of service provider's role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy
- Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
- Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
- Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
- Description of service provider monitoring processes
- Other; (specify)

Focus on offering constructive feedback with regard to ESG policies of proxy service provider.

- None of the above

No

LEA 01.6

Additional information [optional]

Engagement

Matarin has joined the 2020 Non-Discloser campaign with CDP - it is our intent to initially take on the role of co-signatory for our holdings.

Proxy Voting

In the equity markets, shareholder action through proxies can be the most direct way to have impact on a firm's business practices. All proxies voted by Matarin on behalf of its clients are voted based upon ESG standards, through our proxy-voting partner, Glass Lewis which is partnered with Sustainalytics for ESG research. Our ESG proxy guidelines focus on sustainable business practices such as ESG disclosure, risk mitigation and good governance. While Glass Lewis's policies determine the vote, we have the ability to override any such vote if we don't feel it is consistent with our own ESG principals.

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- We discuss the rationale for the engagement
- We discuss the objectives of the engagement
- We select the companies to be engaged with
- We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- We discuss the next steps for engagement activity
- We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider
- Other; specify
- We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

No

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Among the broader ESG community including think tanks and asset owners, we have engaged in a meaningful way via panel and speaking engagements on topics related to sustainability and climate. You will find a list of these engagements earlier in this application. We have also addressed the issue of carbon and other environmental issues specifically with other with asset owners around the world to help develop a cohesive response to the climate issue. We believe we have been successful in widening the sphere of interested and concerned investors, educating them about what the critical issues are, and helping build a coalition to tackle this challenge as a group..

Additionally, at Matarin, we are very focused on our own social responsibility and impact as well. Key areas of focus for our team are:

- A focus on team diversity as a driver of success - as Matarin is a woman- and minority-owned business
- Promoting best practices for our industry in terms of integrity and fairness - for example at Matarin we have policies focused on fair fees, restricted political contributions, no soft dollars, and nurturing a culture of stewardship
- Community engagement through volunteerism in the fields of responsible investment, youth and education, women's entrepreneurship, and diversity in asset management.

LEA 03**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers
Service-provider engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Service-provider engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred

	<input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers
--	---

No

LEA 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	---

As quantitative investors, it is not our practice to engage directly with managements on strategic or financial issues. We believe the numbers tell the story. So, our engagement platform is focused on data, both with non-disclosers and poor quality in disclosed ESG data.

In our investment process, we use also data collected by Sustainalytics and CDP, and we will engage with these service providers about the quality of their data, if we see any issues. We believe that this is an additional way of improving the overall ecosystem for responsible investment.

We are also very activist in the sense that we regularly give speeches, as well as engage with asset owners and though leaders one on one, in private settings and at public gatherings on topics related to ESG integration, how to use their investment power to influence what companies actually do, and to attempt to find solutions to some of the very vexing problems faced by the world at large.

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1	Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.
-----------------	---

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration
Service-provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers

LEA 04.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

While we are a quantitative investor and don't have an expansive platform for engaging companies actively, we engage with companies about ESG in the same way that we engage with them about traditional financial issues - that is with a focus on their data and reporting.

This is not only for the individual companies in which we may invest, but also with the data providers with whom we have partnered - both for traditional and ESG data.

We have also used our investment platform and our relationships with large asset owners to advocate and educate around many other ESG issues.

We have focused a fair bit of our time in the past decade on issues related to diversity and inclusion, as Matarin is a women owned firm and we have had a lot of opportunities to talk about the importance of understanding these social issues.

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 05.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.
-----------------	---

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.
Service-provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers.

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Service-provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

LEA 05.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

One of the many ways we are trying to have an impact is to help thought leaders, particularly in the area of climate, to find ways to measure and manage their portfolios' intensity. We do this through one-on-one as well as collaborative initiatives.

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 06.1	Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

LEA 08.1	Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.
-----------------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Service-provider engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

LEA 08.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Yes. in addition to engaging with our portoflio comanies, Matarin's engagement policy is also focused on industry level advocacy. Matarin was involved in, cited or wrote the following related to sustainable investment:

- CGTN America Sustainable, Long Term Investing 10.18.19
- Thomson Reuters The Patience Premium 3.27.19
- CNBC Sustainable Investing and Getting Paid to Wait 4.22.19
- CNBC Long Term Investors Should Consider a Company's Social Impact 1.23.19
- Fortune Does Impact Investing Have a Data Problem? 10.8.18
- Columbia Buisness School Integrity in Investing? 10.21.16
- Institutional Investor Women Still Struggle to Break Into Hedge Funds 6.10.16
- The Milken Institute, 23rd Annual Global Conference, Featured Speaker 5.3.20
- Wall Street Journal, Women in Finance, Leading Investment and Impacting Roles, Panelist 3.19.20
- The Garrison Institute, Regenerative Economics and Impact Investing, Lead Speaker, 3.5.20
- CleanTechIQ, Integrating Social Impact Themes& UN SDG Reporting, Investors, Panelist, 2.26.20

- Institutional Portfolio Princeton Summit, Corporate Governance& ESG, Panelist, 2.4.20
- Managed Funds Association (MFA), Optimizing Partnerships in ESG and SRI, Panelist, 1.29.20
- Davos, Feminine Quotient, Modelling Responsibility In The Supply Chain, Panelist, 1.21.20
- Responsible Investor Americas, The Cleaning up of Dirty Fossil Fuels in North America, Panelist, 12.5.19
- NAIC Annual Conference, Diversity in Asset Management, Panel Moderator, 10.24.19
- CFA Society of New York Podcast, What ESG and Climate Mean to Portfolios, 10.22.19
- Labaton Sucharow, Women-Led Investment Firms, Panelist, 9.27.19
- Bloomberg Global Business Forum, ESG Integration Interview, 9.25.19
- World Economic Forum Sustainable Development Summit, ESG Materiality Panel, 9.24.19
- United Nations Closing the SDG Investment Gap, Patience Premium Presentation, 9.22.19
- Financial Times Future of Asset Management Summit, Moral Money: Investment and Activism, 9.18.19
- CFA Society of South Africa Webinar, The Patience Premium, 7.31.19
- World Economic Forum, Young Global Leader Advisory Board, Patience Premium Presentation, 6.30.19
- Robert Wood Johnson/CECP Investors Forum, The Patience Premium, 6.19.19
- U.S. Congressional Testimony to House Financial Services Subcommittee, ESG Disclosures, 5.15.19
- S&P Video Interview, "Does Patience Pay?", 4.23.19
- CNBC Halftime Report, Earth Day, 4.22.19
- CNBC Op-Ed, "Patient Investors Can Capitalize on Mispricing", 4.22.19
- Oxford University Ownership Project, The Patience Premium, 2.23.19
- Davos, World Economic Forum Annual Meeting, Supply Chain Traceability Moderator, 1.24.19
- Davos, CNBC Squawk Box, 1.24.19
- Davos, UBS 'Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, 1.23.19
- Davos, United Nations Global Sustainability Index Institute Presentation, 1.21.19
- CFA Institute, Let's Measure Up Campaign, ESG& Cognitive Diversity, Q4'18-Present
- Fortune's Most Powerful Women International, "The Rise of the Responsible Corporation", 11.6.18
- World Economic Forum, Young Global Leaders "Big Ideas", The Patience Premium, 10.11.18
- United Nations Headquarters, Impact 2030 Summit Opening Address, 9.7.18
- CFA Financial Analysts Seminar Keynote, Patience Premium and Behavioral Investing, 7.24.18
- Karma Networks - Timeless Capital Interview, Behavioral Alpha& The Patience Premium, 7.13.18
- Matarin Quarterly Newsletter, "No Woman Do Cry", Q3'18
- Yale / Commonfund Endowment Institute Lecture, ESG& The Patience Premium, 6.29.18
- CFA Society of Portland Keynote, Market Outlook& The Patience Premium, 6.19.2018
- Bloomberg Financial Journalism Program, Diversity and Responsibility in Financial Services, 5.17.18
- Garrison Institute Summit on Regenerative Capitalism, The Patience Premium, 4.18.18
- Columbia 15Yr Reunion, Diversity, Corporate Responsibility& The Patience Premium, April 2018
- BC Center for Corporate Citizenship Conference, ESG& Patience Premium, Los Angeles, April 2018
- NAIC Perspective Interview, Diversity in Financial Services, 4.4.18
- Commonfund Forum, Global Investing& The Patience Premium, March 2018
- United Nations Sustainable Investment Summit, SDG Financing& The Patience Premium, 2.28.18
- United Nations Presentation for 70 UN Ambassadors, SDG Financing& The Patience Premium, 2.23.18
- CFA Society of Seattle Annual Dinner Keynote, Market Outlook& The Patience Premium, 1.25.18
- New York Stock Exchange, CFA Institute Panel on ESG Investing, 11.10.17
- World Economic Forum YGL Impact Lab Pitch Competition, The Matarin / S&P Long-Term Index, 10.18.17
- Toigo Foundation / Commonfund Panel on Diversity in Asset Management, 9.27.17
- Congressional Black Caucus, Hosted by Senator Cory Booker, Diversity in Asset Management, 9.20.17

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2 Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We utilize the ESG proxy voting capability of Glass Lewis and we review Glass Lewis' voting record to make sure they comply with their policy. In certain instances we will adjust their recommended vote if we have a different perspective on what is in the long term best interest.

LEA 12.3 Additional information.[Optional]

All proxies voted by Matarin on behalf of its clients are voted based upon ESG standards, through our proxy-voting partner, Glass Lewis which is partnered with Sustainalytics for ESG research. Our ESG proxy guidelines focus on sustainable business practices such as ESG disclosure, risk mitigation and good governance.

LEA 13	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 13.1 Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate the percentage that was reviewed by your organisation, giving the reasons.

Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed

- 100-75%,
- 74-50%,
- 49-25%,
- 24-1%
- None

Reasons for review

- Specific environmental and/or social issues
- Votes concerning significant holdings
- Votes against management and/or abstentions
- Conflicts of interest
- Corporate action, such as M&As, disposals, etc.
- Votes concerning companies with which we have an active engagement
- Client requests
- Ad-hoc oversight of service provider
- Shareholder resolutions
- Share blocked securities
- Other (explain)

LEA 13.2 Additional information. [Optional]

We review all proxies to ensure that all are voted according to our proxy voting policies and in the best interest of the stakeholder.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 15.1 Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.
-----------------	---

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1	For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Matarin's Head of Operations tracks and reports each proxy vote cast for our clients.

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

Matarin Capital Management

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.2 Additional information [OPTIONAL]

Matarin's entire Transparency Report has been internally verified by Nili Gilbert, Co-Founder, Portfolio Manager, Valerie Malter, Co-Founder, Managing Principal, Melanie Mendoza, Chief Compliance Officer.

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 02.3 Additional information [OPTIONAL]

2020 is Matarin's inaugural year as an UNPRI signatory.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)