



LEA 02	Disclosures	Monetary	Principles
<p>By providing investors the ability to make informed decisions on investments, the company is committed to transparency and accountability. The company's ESG performance is disclosed in its annual report and on its website. The company's ESG performance is also disclosed in its annual report and on its website.</p>	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Monetary</p>	<p>Principles PRI 1, 2, 3</p>
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG issues</p> <p>To engage internal ESG expertise</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in internal staff</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG issues</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG issues</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in service provider engagements</p>	<p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG issues</p> <p>To engage internal ESG expertise</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in internal staff</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG issues</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p> <p>To support investment decision-making in & company's interests</p> <p>To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG issues</p> <p>Other: specify</p> <p>We do not engage in service provider engagements</p>

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2017

Treehouse Investments, LLC

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		-	n/a							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SAM 02	RI factors in selection, appointment and monitoring across asset classes	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
SAM 04	ESG incorporation strategies	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
SAM 05	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 06	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 07.1	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 07.2-5	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 08	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 09	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 10	Percentage of (proxy) votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 11	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 12	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	✓						✓
SAM 13	Disclosure of RI considerations	✓	Public							✓
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
LEI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Breakdown by passive,active strategies	✓	Private							✓
FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Private							✓
FI 04	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Negative screening - overview and rationale	✓	Public	✓						
FI 09	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	-	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Thematic investing - overview	✓	Private	✓						
FI 12	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	Thematic investing - assessing impact	✓	Public	✓						
FI 14	Integration overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 15	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 16	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 17	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 18	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 19	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 20	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 21	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 22	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	-	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 23	Communications	✓	Public		✓				✓	
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Treehouse Investments, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation
- Endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Family office
- Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Puerto Rico

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

15

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2016

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			150	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			150	000	000

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	26	0
Fixed income	10	4
Private equity	7	10
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	32	5
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	3
Cash	3	0

Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2	Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].
----------------	---

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1	Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed equities in the reporting year.
----------------	---

- We incorporate ESG in our investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

OO 10.2	Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year.
----------------	--

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

OO 10.3	Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year
----------------	---

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1	Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).
----------------	---

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Private equity
- Infrastructure
- Cash
- None of the above

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)

- Fixed income – SSA
- Private equity
- Infrastructure
- Inclusive finance
- None of the above

Treehouse Investments, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Processes / approaches to incorporating ESG
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

SG 01.5

Describe your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

We target investments that will actively develop clean energy, reduce greenhouse gases, empower women, and support a sustainable economy.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach
- Screening / exclusions policy
- (Proxy) voting policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Active ownership approaches
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3

Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

- Yes
- No

SG 02.4	Additional information [Optional].
----------------	------------------------------------

As a private family office, we have no external clients so we have not published our investment policy documents

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

- Yes
- No

SG 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

We do not manage assets for external clients, so there is no significant risk of conflicts of interest

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1	Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.
----------------	---

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2 For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

All investments are reviewed on the basis of their ESG impact at the same time as their financial benefit.

SG 07.3 Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

6

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

We follow UNPRI news and attend events to continually improve our systems to ensure responsible investment throughout our assets. We have collaborated with other UNPRI signatories to engage companies to publicise their gender pay gap, and to push for ESG factors to be incorporated into credit ratings.

- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

We attend GIIN events and use their resources such as reports and analysis to understand the responsible investment risks and opportunities, particularly in emerging markets. We also participate in their investor survey

- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
 - Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
 - Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
 - Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
 - Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
 - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
 - Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
 - Other, specify
- No

Implementation not in other modules

SG 12**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 1**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 12.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

- We do the following
 - Allocation between asset classes
 - Determining fixed income duration
 - Allocation of assets between geographic markets
 - Sector weightings
 - Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 15**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General**

SG 15.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Private equity	We target our investments at companies that contribute directly to positive environmental and social change. We work with our direct equity investments to bring their governance up to best practice. Often the chief focus here is to improve accounting practices.
Infrastructure	We ensure that applicable environmental and social studies are conducted for all projects and all staff are encouraged to raise issues encountered at the highest level to ensure they are addressed if the project is to go forward.

SG 16**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General****SG 16.1**

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - SSA	We target external managers with clear, well-defined ESG standards and procedures in place, and we actively review their reports and meet with our managers to address any issues that come up.
Infrastructure	We engage external managers with clear, well-defined ESG standards and procedures in place, backed by large international donors and DFIs that insist that ESG best practice be applied. We actively review their reports and meet with our managers to address any issues that come up.
Inclusive finance	We only engage external managers with clear, well-defined ESG standards and procedures in place, and we actively review their reports and meet with our managers to address any issues that come up.

Treehouse Investments, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

SAM 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SAM 01.1 Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants and/or fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager that delegates management of some or all of our assets to third-party managers.
- No, we do not use investment consultants or fiduciary managers.

SAM 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

SAM 02.1 Indicate for which of the following externally managed asset classes your organisation, and/or your investment consultants, consider responsible investment factors in investment manager: (a) Selection, (b) Appointment (investment management agreements/contracts), and (c) Monitoring

Select all that apply

Asset classes	(a) Selection	(b) Appointment	(c) Monitoring
Private equity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

SAM 02.2 Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

We include ESG issues in our due diligence process and these contribute to our selection of managers. We follow up on ESG issues raised during due diligence, and any new ones that arise, in regular quarterly monitoring over the life of our investments

Selection

SAM 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

SAM 05.1 Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

SAM 12	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

SAM 12.1 Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

Add Example 1

Topic or issue	Gender diversity
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal staff
Asset class	<input type="checkbox"/> All asset classes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private equity
Scope and process	Engaged with fund managers to improve their gender diversity. Monitoring is ongoing
Outcomes	<p>One fund improved their maternity policy.</p> <p>Another fund has increased their number of women on the board from less than 5% to over 15%.</p> <p>We feel that all would benefit from further improvement, and continue to engage them for further progress.</p>

- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- We are not able to provide examples

Communication

SAM 13	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SAM 13.1 Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses any information about responsible investment considerations in your indirect investments.

- Yes, we disclose information publicly
- Yes, we disclose information to clients/beneficiaries only

SAM 13.3

Indicate what type of information your organisation proactively discloses to clients and/or beneficiaries about your indirect investments.

- How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes
 - Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf
 - E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and active ownership
 - Other, specify
- We do not proactively disclose information to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Treehouse Investments, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 03	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 03.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)
-----------------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 65
---	--------

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and Integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (+/- 5%)	 35
--	--------

- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities
--

100%

LEI 03.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.
-----------------	---

We apply negative screening to non-sustainable sectors, in particular coal and fossil fuels, and apply positive screening to all listed equity based on the EIRIS rating system. In addition, we invest in certain sustainable themes affected by global warming infrastructure and water scarcity.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 06.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

We do not invest in fossil fuel extraction companies, or in companies operating in countries in contravention of UN sanctions. We also avoid investing in companies with poorly defined or implemented environmental and social practices, as evaluated on the EIRIS platform.

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

We invest in companies that score highest in their sector, and prioritarily in sectors such as renewable energy that have a net positive sustainability impact. We also invest in companies with clearly articulated and implemented environmental and social practices.

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

	Description
--	-------------

We weigh compliance with minimum standards particularly highly in our screening of potential and current investments, based on information available through the EIRIS platform.

LEI 06.2	Description
-----------------	-------------

The screening criteria are established by consensus in discussions in the investment committee. They are reviewed annually. The beneficiaries are notified when changes are made.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 07.1	Description
-----------------	-------------

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 09.1	Description
-----------------	-------------

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

Communication

LEI 17

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 17.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEI 17.5

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad-hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

Treehouse Investments, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.

- Yes
 No

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements
----------	--

Yes

LEA 05.2	Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements
----------	---

- Potential to learn from other investors
- Ability to add value to the collaboration
- Geography / market of the companies targeted
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In response to ESG impacts which has already taken place
- In response to divestment pressure
- Client requests
- Other, describe

No

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.
----------	--

Yes

- Yes, for all engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of engagement activities

We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2	Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements.
----------	--

Yes

We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to engagement activities carried out via collaborations.

- Yes
- No

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 2

LEA 10.1

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Communication

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 16.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 16.5

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 16.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**Overview****LEA 17****Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 1,2,3**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 17.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

- Yes

LEA 17.2

Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Transparency
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Share blocking
- Regional voting practices
- Record keeping
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Securities lending process
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 17.4	Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.
-----------------	---

We vote for board diversity, particularly with a view to gender parity. We also evaluate shareholder resolutions and vote to support all those that encourage better ESG practices.

LEA 17.5	Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).
-----------------	---

The managing directors are consulted and review each engagement.

No

Process

LEA 18	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 18.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
-----------------	--

	Approach
--	----------

We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

	Based on
--	----------

- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain

We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 22.1	Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 22.2	Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 23	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 23.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	--

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

86

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 23.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings




- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- We do not vote on environmental resolutions
- We do not vote on social resolutions
- On request by clients
- Other
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 24 **Mandatory** **Public** **Additional Assessed** **PRI 2**

LEA 24.1 Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

LEA 24.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 20
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 80
Abstentions	 0

100%

LEA 24.3 Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

None so far

No, we do not track this information

Communication

LEA 27

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 27.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only

LEA 27.5

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 27.6

Indicate how frequently you report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
 - Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
 - Quarterly or more frequently
 - Between quarterly and annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Other
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

Treehouse Investments, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer


This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 04.1	Indicate 1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and 2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.			

SSA	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Strategy</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + thematic strategies</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All three strategies combined</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No incorporation strategies applied</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Strategy	Percentage	Screening alone	0	Thematic alone	0	Integration alone	0	Screening + integration strategies	0	Thematic + integration strategies	0	Screening + thematic strategies	100	All three strategies combined	0	No incorporation strategies applied	0
Strategy	Percentage																		
Screening alone	0																		
Thematic alone	0																		
Integration alone	0																		
Screening + integration strategies	0																		
Thematic + integration strategies	0																		
Screening + thematic strategies	100																		
All three strategies combined	0																		
No incorporation strategies applied	0																		
Corporate (financial)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Strategy</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Strategy	Percentage	Screening alone	0	Thematic alone	0	Integration alone	0	Screening + integration strategies	0								
Strategy	Percentage																		
Screening alone	0																		
Thematic alone	0																		
Integration alone	0																		
Screening + integration strategies	0																		



Corporate (non-financial)	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	0
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	100
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
		100%

FI 04.2 Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

We select only green bonds or debt with companies that contribute to a clean energy economy

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 06.1 Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:

- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way
- ESG analysis is benchmarked for quality against other providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 06.2 Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, 'tear sheets', 'dashboards' or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 07	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

FI 07.1 Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

FI 07.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

We only invest in debt that supports a clean energy economy or provides financial inclusion services. Any bonds are further screened to ensure they are best in class

FI 08	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

FI 08.1 Indicate why you conduct negative screening.

SSA

SSA

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

Corporate (financial)

Corporate (fin)

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

Corporate (non-financial)

Corporate (non-fin)

- For legal reasons
- For non-legal reasons

FI 08.2 Describe your approach to ESG-based negative screening of issuers from your investable universe.

We exclude any company that isn't actively supporting a clean energy economy or supporting financial inclusion.

FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

FI 10.1 Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

(B) Implementation: Thematic

FI 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 12.1	Indicate whether you encourage transparency and disclosure relating to the issuance of themed bonds as per the Green Bonds Principles:
---------	--

- We require that themed bond proceeds are only allocated to environmentally or socially beneficial projects
- We require the issuer (or 3rd party assurer) to demonstrate a process which determines the eligibility of projects to which themed bond proceeds are allocated
- We require issuers to demonstrate a systematic and transparent process of disbursing themed bond proceeds to eligible projects until all funds are allocated
- We require issuers to report at least once per year on the projects to which proceeds have been allocated including a description of those projects
- Other, specify
- None of the above

FI 12.2	Describe the actions you take when issuers do not disburse bond proceeds as described in the offering documents.
---------	--

This has not been an issue so far.

FI 13	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 13.1	Indicate how you assess the environmental or social impact of your thematic investments
----------------	---

- We require issuers to report at least once per year on specific environmental or social impacts resulting from our themed investments
- We ensure independent audits are conducted on the environmental or social impact of our investments
- We have a proprietary system to measure environmental and social impact
- We measure the impact of our themed bond investments on specific ESG factors such as carbon emissions or human rights
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Communication				
----------------------	--	--	--	--

FI 23	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

FI 23.1	Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to RI across all of your fixed income investments.
----------------	---

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

FI 23.5	Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to RI incorporation.
----------------	---

- Broad approach to RI incorporation
- Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used

FI 23.6	Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.
----------------	--

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries