



LEA 02	Disclosures: Mandatory	Reason for Interaction	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
Individual/ internal staff engagements	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</p>		
Collaborative engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p>		
Service provider engagements	<p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Troy Asset Management Limited

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Public							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Public							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		⚠	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	🔒	n/a		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	🔒	n/a	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Troy Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

36

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		11	384	275	480
Currency	GBP				
Assets in USD		14	706	122	470

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 04.5 Additional information. [Optional]

Troy reports to the UN PRI on a calendar year basis.

OO 06 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	<10%
Fixed income	10-50%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	<10%
Infrastructure	0	<10%
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
 No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
 No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 06.6 Provide contextual information on your AUM asset class split. [Optional]

Troy Asset Management is predominantly a direct equity investment house however other asset classes are used for specific purposes within the different mandates.

Troy's UK Equity Income mandates invest indirectly in property and infrastructure Real Estate Investment Trusts ("REITs") to gain access to these asset classes via vehicles that provide liquidity, diversification and expertise in capital allocation.

Troy's Multi-Asset mandates hold cash, T-Bills, gold and UK Index Linked bonds and US Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities as protection against equity market volatility. US and UK government debt substantially accounts for all of Troy's Fixed Income and Money Market exposure.

The Environmental, Social & Governance ("ESG") integration and active ownership policies for long and short dated sovereign issues are the same. Troy holds no Fixed Income assets outside of sovereign issues.

Externally managed equities are predominantly held within Troy's Fund of Funds mandate and within private funds which represent some 4% of Troy's total AUM.

OO 07

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Public

Gateway

General

OO 07.1

Provide to the nearest 5% the percentage breakdown of your Fixed Income AUM at the end of your reporting year, using the following categories.

Internally managed	SSA	100
	Corporate (financial)	0
	Corporate (non-financial)	0
	Securitised	0
	Total	100%

OO 08

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Public

Peering

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 08.1

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed assets between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

Asset class breakdown	Segregated mandate(s)	Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)	Total of the asset class (each row adds up to 100%)
[a] Listed equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50 %	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 %	100%
[g] Property	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50 %	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 %	100%
[h] Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50 %	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 %	100%

OO 08.2 Additional information. [Optional]

All property exposure across Troy's AUM is held via listed Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs).

All infrastructure exposure is also held through listed Investment Trust structures.

Where equities are externally managed within our Fund of Fund or private funds it is almost exclusively via Open Ended Investment Companies ("OEICs") and Investment Trusts.

OO 09 **Mandatory** **Public** **Peering** **General**

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

100

Emerging Markets

0

Frontier Markets

0

Other Markets

0

	Total 100%
--	------------

100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1	Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.
----------------	---

	Listed equity – engagement
--	----------------------------

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

	Listed equity – voting
--	------------------------

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf.
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

	Fixed income SSA – engagement
--	-------------------------------

- We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

	Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.
--	---

Troy's Fixed Income exposure is currently comprised of US and UK index linked sovereign debt. These assets are held in order to preserve the value of capital. As such the focus is on risk mitigation. Our aim is primarily to minimise liquidity risk, currency risk, default risk and the risk associated with inflation. Although we recognise the value that engagement could add given a wider portfolio of municipal or corporate debt, we do not feel that engagement with US and UK sovereign issuers would have a probability of delivering meaningful impact (as necessitated by the prioritisation of engagement guidelines in our RI policy).

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1	Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).
----------------	---

	Listed equity
--	---------------

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Commodities

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Money market instruments

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation
Infrastructure	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Infrastructure - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation

OO 11.4 Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

The incorporation of ESG within the selection of both listed property and infrastructure vehicles is conducted in the same way as any other listed equity investment. Both the management team and the underlying investments of any potential collective property or infrastructure investment trust are analysed both from a financial and ESG perspective. This analysis of management and business operations is conducted in the same way (and by the same team) as it would be for any other listed equity.

The monitoring process via meetings and ongoing analysis is also conducted in the same way as per other listed equities.

ESG risks are assessed according to our responsible investment policy and decisions are made according to the same criteria as laid out in paragraph 4. of Troy's investment policy (Environmental, Social and Governance risks within the Research Process).

ESG incorporation practices are now being incorporated into the fund selection and monitoring process for the funds-of-funds where listed equities are held indirectly as outlined above. This process will continue to evolve.

OO 11.5

For your externally managed pooled funds, describe any mechanisms in place to set expectations as part of the appointment or commitment process.

We are not prescriptive in our demands of external fund managers but do seek to understand how they incorporate ESG into their research process, how they engage with companies, and how ESG issues impact portfolio construction. We ask the fund managers we invest in to fill out an ESG-related due diligence questionnaire, provide details of their responsible investment policy and a record of how they have voted and engaged with companies. To get a more nuanced understanding of how ESG is incorporated at both a firm and fund level, we also ask ESG-related questions during our monitoring meetings with a fund manager. By maintaining a written record of these meetings, we are able to judge how a fund manager's investment process evolves over time.

OO 12

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed income - SSA

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- Listed Equities
- Property
- Infrastructure

Closing module


- Closing module

Peering questions

OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
-----------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities




OO LE 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Troy Asset Management has no passive or quant driven equity strategies.

OO FI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
-----------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO FI 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies




OO FI 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Troy only holds UK and US sovereign debt, predominantly as part of its multi-asset mandates.

OO FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.



OO FI 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Troy Asset Management's SSA investments are all in developed market sovereign issues.

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Troy Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)

	Other description (1)
--	-----------------------

Conflicts resulting from RI activity

Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4	Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.
----------------	--

Troy's Investment Philosophy and Strategy:

Our aim is to protect investors' capital and to increase its value year on year. We believe that those who have money should concentrate on not losing it and therefore we focus on absolute rather than relative returns.

Our approach is conservative, with attention always paid to the downside risk of any investment. Only once we are satisfied that we understand the risk to which we are exposing our investors capital do we analyse the potential rewards of the investment. We consider that risk is not about underperforming a particular benchmark, but rather is defined by a permanent loss of an investor's capital. We judge the safety and attractiveness of asset classes and stocks not just relative to each other, but also relative to their own histories.

Finally, we adopt a long-term, long-only approach running concentrated portfolios with low turnover.

Troy's long-term and risk averse investment style means the integration of ESG factors and the principles of responsible investment are a natural fit with our investment philosophy and strategy as we seek to further improve the financial performance of our investments as well as promote sustainable capital markets and reduce systemic risks.

SG 01.5	Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]
----------------	--

Troy's policy on Responsible Investment has a particular focus on the fundamental integration of **environmental, social and governance factors** into Troy's research process, Troy's confirmation of its support for the **principles of to the Stewardship code**, the firm's **policy on engagement and on (proxy) voting**, and Troy's status as a **signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment**.

The policy also references Troy's standalone policy on **conflicts of interest**.

Troy's Responsible Investment **policy covers all assets managed by Troy Asset Management** and is rooted in our long investment horizon and focus on capital preservation.

The policy was implemented in 2017 and is intended to be broad enough to capture all assets managed by Troy Asset Management.

The policy has been approved by Troy's executive committee and is reviewed on an annual basis.

The sole exception is the **screening and exclusions policy** which only currently applies to the assets managed within the Trojan Ethical Income Fund and Trojan Ethical Fund.

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on environmental factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on social factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment (will be made public)

Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment (will be made public)

Asset class-specific RI guidelines

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- (Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

Time horizon of your investment

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

Reporting

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

Climate change

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

Attachment

Other RI considerations, specify (1)

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Troy has a Conflicts of Interest policy, with which all employees are expected to be familiar. Within the conflicts of interest framework is a Conflicts of Interest Register which identifies conflicts and sets out the management arrangements aimed at mitigating those respective conflicts. The first portion of this register deals with Trading and Investment Conflicts and makes explicit reference to the potential conflicts surrounding engagement and proxy voting. The policy and register are updated at least annually.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Troy's responsible investment policy and objectives will be reviewed on a continuing basis as Troy's processes evolves. A formal review will take place at least annually and proposed objectives are approved by Troy's Executive Committee.

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 07.1 Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Head of Responsible Investment

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Troy's CIO, Head of Research and Board have responsibility for oversight of Troy's responsible investment policies and objectives.

Troy's Head of Responsible Investment is responsible for the drafting of Troy's firm wide RI policies and objectives, with oversight from the CIO and Head of Research. He is also responsible for the implementation of Troy's RI policy and the associated systems, processes and training required to deliver Troy's responsible investment objectives. As of late 2019 he has dedicated analyst resource in support of this function.

Troy's Head of Responsible Investment is required to report to Troy's Board on an annual basis on the RI policy and its implementation.

The wider investment team (including all portfolio managers and analysts) have responsibility for the integration of ESG factors into their research and, alongside Troy's fund operations team, have responsibilities for voting and engagement.

Troy has appointed VigeoEiris to support the screening process for the Trojan Ethical Income Fund and to provide additional ESG research capability on a subset of Troy's stocks. ISS have been appointed to provide Troy's proxy voting platform and proxy voting research as well as broader ESG research. Lastly, Troy employed the services of the consultants Impactive Associates in 2018 in order provide support in the implementation of Troy's RI policy and to provide training to the investment team.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0

SG 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Troy's approach to responsible investment is based on the premise that responsible investment should be conducted as part of the broader investment process rather than as an adjunct. As such the responsibility for implementation and oversight of the firm's policies and objectives is integrated into the wider investment team of 13 people.

Three members of the investment team have RI responsibilities specified within their job descriptions (with commensurate time allocations). These include Troy's Head of RI and Ethical Income Fund Manager, the manager of the Trojan Ethical Fund and the dedicated RI Analyst. However, all of these individuals roles are deliberately combined with significant investment management and analyst obligations.

All members of the investment team have RI obligations that form part of their staff appraisal process as of 2019.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Troy Asset Management became a signatory of the UN PRI in September 2016.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Investor Forum (collaborative engagement organisation)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Troy became a member of Investor Forum in July 2019 and has since entered into collaborative engagements with the organisation.

Troy also became a founding sponsor of S-360, a British Standards Institute Publicly Available Specification on marine plastic pollution. This initiative was entered through Investor Forum.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2 Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Description

Troy's Head of RI attended the Sustainable Finance Course course at Oxford University's Smith School of Enterprise & The Environment. As part of this course participants are expected to complete a sustainability based 'Capstone Project' under the supervision of the department.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

Published Troy's Responsible Investment paper on the rationale for ESG integration and its benefit to long-term investors.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

Description

We have encouraged the adoption of the PRI by our indirect managers across the equity, infrastructure and property asset classes.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
 - A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
 - Other, specify

specify description

Financial supporter of the charity GAIN

Description

Troy was a founding financial supporter of the charity GAIN. The charity aims to improve inclusivity and gender diversity within the investment management industry.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- No

SG 10.3 Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

Troy became a signatory of the UN PRI in September 2016. We have publicised our membership to investors and published our membership on our website.

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1 Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

SG 12.7 Additional information [Optional].

Troy does not use external investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.3

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Currently, Troy does not conduct climate related or ESG based modeling of future scenarios.

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Commodities	<p>Troy's commodity exposure is exclusively to gold which is predominantly held through Exchange Traded Companies ("ETCs"). Although there are few alternative investment vehicles and none that specifically seek to hold responsibly sourced gold, Troy's preferred ETC providers increasingly hold gold that meets the post-2012 LBMA London Good Delivery standards for responsible sourcing.</p> <p>Since January 2012, the LBMA requires that all LBMA Good Delivery Gold Refiners comply with the LBMA Responsible Gold Guidance (RGG), which aims to ensure that the London Market is free from metal that has financed conflict or been used for money laundering or terrorist financing.</p> <p>Such assets account for 4.9% of AUM</p>
Money market instruments	<p>Troy's only investments in short dated money market instruments is in government issued treasury bills. As with longer dated government debt Troy assesses ESG risks in relation to the sovereign issuer.</p> <p>Factors analysed may include, but are not limited to: (a) the jurisdiction's judicial and penal system; (b) the risk of fines or legal action relating to breaches of regulation and conventions relating to social standards of practice and discrimination; and (c) the risk of fines or legal action relating to breaches of regulation and conventions relating to environmental standards.</p> <p>Such assets account for 11.6% of AUM</p>

SG 17	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

SG 17.1	Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.
---------	--

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Listed equities - ESG incorporation	<p>We are not prescriptive in our demands of external fund managers but do seek to understand how they incorporate ESG into their research process and how ESG issues impact portfolio construction. We ask the fund managers we invest in to fill out an ESG-related due diligence questionnaire and provide details of their responsible investing policy. To get a more nuanced understanding of how ESG is incorporated at both a firm and fund level, we also ask ESG-related questions during our monitoring meetings with a fund manager. By maintaining a written record of these meetings, we are able to judge how a fund manager's investment process evolves over time.</p> <p>Such assets account for 1.8% of AUM</p>
Listed equities - engagement	<p>We are not prescriptive in our demands of external fund managers but do seek to understand how they engage with companies and how they vote their shares. We ask the fund managers we invest in to fill out an Responsible Investment related due diligence questionnaire, provide details of their responsible investing policy and a record of how they've voted and engaged with companies.</p> <p>Such assets account for 1.8% of AUM</p>
Listed equities - (proxy) voting	<p>We are not prescriptive in our demands of external fund managers but do seek to understand how they engage with companies and how they vote their shares. We ask the fund managers we invest in to fill out an Responsible Investment related due diligence questionnaire, provide details of their responsible investing policy and a record of how they've voted and engaged with companies.</p> <p>Such assets account for 1.8% of AUM</p>
Property	<p>All property investments are held indirectly through listed REIT structures. Troy applies its RI policy to its indirect investment in property in the same way as it is applies it to any other direct equity investments.</p> <p>Environmental, social and governance risk factors are assessed on a look through basis to the underlying assets and their lease holders. Where screens are applied, the revenue streams associated with the underlying lease holders are taken into account.</p> <p>Governance risks are also assessed at the REIT level. Voting and engagement are conducted at the level of the listed REIT.</p> <p>Such assets account for 2.7% of AUM</p>
Infrastructure	<p>All infrastructure investments are held indirectly through listed fund structures. Troy applies its RI policy to its indirect investment in infrastructure in the same way as it is applied to equity investments.</p> <p>Environmental, social and governance risk factors are assessed on a look through basis to the underlying assets. Where screens are applied, the revenue streams associated with the underlying assets are taken into account.</p> <p>Governance risks are also assessed at the fund level. Voting and engagement are conducted at the level of the listed fund.</p> <p>Such assets account for 0.8% of AUM</p>

Communication

SG 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
Frequency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested
URL	https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
<p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information 	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested 	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested
<p>URL</p> <p>https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature</p>	

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
<p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input checked="" type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management 	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management
<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested 	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested
<p>URL</p> <p>https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature</p>	

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation <input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested
URL	https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature

SG 19.2	Additional information [Optional]
----------------	-----------------------------------

Troy's responsible investment policy covers our strategy and approach to engagement, ESG integration and proxy voting and is available on Troy's website.

Existing clients and prospective clients can request information on the details of Troy's voting record and the engagements conducted during the prior year.

Troy publishes details of our engagement and proxy voting records on a quarterly basis in its Responsible Investment Factsheet.

Troy Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1

Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 97
---	--------

- Screening and integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 3
---	-------

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

All of Troy's investment strategies follow the same investment philosophy. Our aim is to protect investors' capital and to increase its value year on year. The principle of first preserving investors' wealth means that Troy is first committed to properly assessing the risks of any potential investment, even before trying to quantify the potential return.

A significant part of this process is to understand and assess the significant factors that may impact the long-term returns from a particular business. It is within this context that our research team seeks to assess non-financial ESG factors. This is an integrated part of our wider analysis process, which includes, amongst other items, an assessment of the relevant business' strategy, financial performance and capital structure. Troy defines incorporation of an assessment of ESG factors within its investment research process as the

fundamental analysis of non-financial ESG factors that materially influence the potential long-term returns received by investors. We consider long-term to mean more than 5 years. For such a risk/opportunity to be material, both the probability of a detrimental/positive impact on the value of an investment and the magnitude of that impact must be non-de minimis.

We do not seek to narrowly define or limit the factor categories but rather appreciate that such analysis must necessarily take place in the context of the wider assessment of risk and reward.

It is our aim to ensure ESG factors are incorporated into the analysis process from the outset and as such it should be the responsibility of all members of the investment team to contribute to this process. All Troy's mandates are populated from a collectively created investment universe of researched stocks that analysis has shown meet our quality and risk criteria and therefore the ESG factors are equally incorporated into all of Troy's mandates.

The only vehicles to combine incorporation strategies are the Trojan Ethical Income Fund and the Trojan Ethical Fund. These funds apply a negative screen in accordance with the published ethical investment criteria in addition integrating ESG factors into at the stock specific research level.

LEI 01.3

If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

The only mandates to combine equity ESG incorporation strategies are the Trojan Ethical Income Fund and the Trojan Ethical Fund. These vehicle seeks to combine the analysis of ESG factors with a negative screen.

Troy maintains an investment universe of stocks that have been researched and analysed (including the integration of ESG factors) and meet Troy's stringent quality standards. All Troy's portfolios, including the screened Ethicalmandates, arepopulated from this same universe.

As a separate and subsequent step, Troy's Ethical Screening Criteria are then applied to the universe, excluding additional stocks prior to constructing Troy's ethical funds. The criteria are based on exposure to certain revenue streams including those relating to fossil fuels, armaments, alcoholic beverages, high interest rate lending, gambling, pornography and tobacco.

NB. The Trojan Ethical Fund's ethical investment criteria also addresses investment in government & public securities and precious metals.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

Product

Activity

Sector

Country/geographic region

Environmental and social practices and performance

Corporate governance

Description

The Trojan Ethical Income Fund and Trojan Ethical Fund screen equities on the basis of a set of ethical investment criteria. Following a refinement of the criteria in July 2017 the exclusions are based on the percentage of revenue exposures to certain activities and products. In select cases in relation to particular munitions, exclusions are made in relation to breaches of certain conventions. VigeoEiris support this screening process.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify
Conventions relating to cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines.

Description

Two convention watch screens on cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines are used to support the armaments criteria.

LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
----------	--

The Trojan Ethical Income Fund's ethical investment criteria were established as a result of consultation with the fund's seed investor and other potential investors prior to launch. The ethical criteria have been reviewed and adjusted twice since launch in January 2016. It is anticipated that they will be reviewed on an ad hoc basis in future. However we do not anticipate further material change due to the public nature of the fund and the diverse investor base. Any changes made to the criteria will be notified to investors in accordance with the relevant regulatory requirements.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG screens.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5




Additional information. [Optional]

Troy's screening process is supported by VigeoEiris who provide information on a percentage of revenue basis that is updated at least annually. In the rare instances that VigeoEiris cannot cover certain stocks our own internal assessment of the breakdown of revenues is conducted and this research is normally updated bi-annually but in some cases this can be annually.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 08.1 Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 <p>Environmental</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Social	 <p>Social</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Corporate Governance	 <p>Corporate Governance</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Changes to the research process mean that social and environmental factors have been systemically integrated from 2019.

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 09.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.4

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

ESG research is available to analysts in multiple forms. Troy's agreement with VigeoEiris provides ESG research coverage of some, but not all, of our holdings and ISS provides norms based research with relation to the UN Global Compact and on governance matters in relation to proxy voting research. Troy subscribes to MSCI's comprehensive ESG research product. ESG data is also accessed via Bloomberg. Troy's own internal research template has a standard section for governance risk and environmental & social risks.

Troy Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<https://www.taml.co.uk/Literature>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes

LEA 01.5

Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:

- Outline of service provider's role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy
 - Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
 - Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow
 - Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
 - Description of service provider monitoring processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - None of the above
- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.
----------	---

Yes

LEA 03.2	Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers

No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 05.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 06.1	Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.
-----------------	--

Yes

LEA 06.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.
-----------------	--

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other; specify

No

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 07.1	Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.
-----------------	---

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.2 Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Engagement is conducted by Troy's fund managers and investment team rather than a segregated team. As such any engagement is born out of, and is part of, the wider investment process.

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

LEA 08.1 Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

LEA 08.2 | Additional information. [Optional]

A formal register was created in 2016 to track engagement, including the objectives, outcomes and further actions. The details of each engagement are also logged within our research database.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 12.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)

Troy votes against management where we believe that resolutions are significantly misaligned with shareholder interests. Third party research may help inform this process.

- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2 | Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Troy does not prescribe specific voting stances on particular issues within its policy. Instead Troy chooses to focus on particular instances where we believe resolutions are misaligned with shareholder interest.

Votes are automatically cast in-line with management as a default setting if no controversial resolution is identified either by our in-house research, by Troy's third party research provider or as a result of a previous vote against management or engagement.

A third party research provider is used to flag controversial resolutions, supply background research, and provide a voting platform. Troy's voting outcomes may vary significantly from any recommendations made by the research provider.

LEA 12.3	Additional information.[Optional]
-----------------	-----------------------------------

To ensure voting is maintained as an integrated part of the engagement and research processes all voting decisions are made by members of the investment team and preferably only after engagement with the company.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Troy's proxy research provider endeavours to communicate all concerns with companies ahead of publishing research.

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.
-----------------	---

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.4	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Troy does not currently systematically communicate the rationale for an abstention or a vote against management.

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 17.1 For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

99

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other (explain)

LEA 17.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Troy did not successfully vote at 1 meeting out of a total of 150 meetings.




LEA 18	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 18.1 Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 98.8
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 1.2
Abstentions	 0.0

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

0

LEA 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

Yes

No

Troy Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.
----------------	---

SSA	Strategy	Proportion
	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	98
	Screening + integration strategies	2
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
		100%

FI 01.2	Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.
----------------	---

Troy Asset Management invests predominantly in the Sovereign Debt of developed nations. Integrated into that research process are the analysis of the sustainability of the revenues that underpin that sovereigns ability to make both interest and capital payments. We look at environment, social and governance risks, focusing as much on the direction of travel as the current status of those risks.

FI 01.3	Additional information [Optional].
----------------	------------------------------------

Troy currently only invested in the sovereign debt of the UK and US.
 2% of assets (up from 0% a year ago) have an ethical screen which negatively screens out sovereigns subject to EU or UN sanctions.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
----------------	---

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- Other, specify

	specify description
--	---------------------

- Troy receives regular external ESG research on issuers which flags issues that may, or may not, drive changes within our internal research.
- None of the above

FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
----------------	---

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

FI 04.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
---------	---

Select all that apply

	SSA			
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 04.2	Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income
---------	--

A growing minority of the firm's fixed income assets is negatively screened to avoid investment in the debt issued by sovereigns subject to EU or UN sancations.

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 06.1	Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.
---------	---

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

(C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

FI 10.1	Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.
----------------	--

Troy carries out qualitative and quantitative analysis dealing with ethical concerns in relation to sovereign issuers. The analysis centres on several environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues. ESG analysis is integrated into the research process at Troy for fixed income as with equities. The objective of Troy's funds to preserve capital must take into consideration material risks for each investment case. It is within this context that we seek to address ESG factors as part of a wider set of factors that influence our decision to invest. With the launch of the Trojan Ethical Fund in March 2019, our ESG analysis of fixed income has been bolstered by third-party research. Troy's fixed income ESG analysis focuses on the issues which we believe pose the greatest risk to investors' capital. These include but are not limited to the following areas.

- The damage that ESG failings can have on a country's reputation and its ability to issue debt, with particular attention to political stability, political rights and civil liberties.
- The strength of the rule of law, particularly in relation to bribery, extortion and corruption.
- Current account and fiscal balance, debt rating and outlook
- The risk of fines or legal action relating to breaches of regulation and conventions relating to social standards of practice and discrimination.
- The risk of fines or legal action relating to breaches of regulation and conventions relating to environmental standards.
- UN and EU sanctions

FI 10.2	Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.
----------------	---

	SSA
--	-----

We currently invest solely in US and UK government debt. If we were to invest in corporate debt, ESG analysis would centre on issues that are company-specific, following the same framework as that used for ESG equity analysis.

FI 11	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

FI 11.1	Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.
----------------	--

Select all that apply

	SSA			
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Other, specify in Additional Information	<input type="checkbox"/>			

FI 12	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 12.1 Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.

	Environment	Social	Governance
SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all

FI 12.2 Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

ESG research on fixed income issuers held in the funds will be updated at least quarterly. This is currently focused on sovereign debt since this is the funds' current fixed income exposure. The updates centre on changes in the sovereign's outlook, breaches of/withdrawal from conventions, changes in stance from the current government towards significant ESG factors, changes in government following a general election and changes to third-party ESG scores for that particular sovereign. Reference to new independent reports or events of significance for the relevant sovereigns will also be made.

Troy Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
- Other, specify
Internal verification and review by Troy's compliance and legal teams.
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report