



| LEA 02 | Discretionary Mandate | Gateway |
|---|---|--|
| <p>By default, assets for which we have no responsibility (understand for generalist investors) are not subject to our engagement process. However, we may engage in a discretionary manner on behalf of our clients on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>Discretionary engagement refers to our engagement on behalf of external managers. Discretionary engagement is not subject to our engagement process.</p> | <p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p> | <p>Reason for Interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional information</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements</p> |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2017

TG Fund Management B.V.

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| Ⓜ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|---|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | Asset mix disclosed in OO 06 | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Fixed income AUM breakdown | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | RI activities for listed equities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | RI activities in other asset classes | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Strategy and Governance | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| SG 01 | RI policy and coverage | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 02 | Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 03 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 04 | | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 08 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 09 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| SG 10 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 11 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | ✓ | Private | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| SG 12 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 13 | Long term investment risks and opportunity | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 14 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 15 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 16 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | ⚠ | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 17 | Innovative features of approach to RI | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| LEI 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| LEI 03 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Types of screening applied | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | ESG issues in index construction | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 14 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 15 | Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 16 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 17 | Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ |
| LEI End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Objectives for engagement activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 07 | Role in engagement process | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 08 | Monitor / discuss service provider information | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 09 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | ✓ | Private | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Engagement methods | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Engagements on E, S and/or G issues | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Examples of ESG engagements | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 16 | Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA 17 | Voting policy & approach | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Confirmation of votes | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Securities lending programme | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 22 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 23 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 24 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 25 | Shareholder resolutions | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 26 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 27 | Disclosing voting activities | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| LEA End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

TG Fund Management B.V.

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic Information

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OO 02 | Mandatory | Public | Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Netherlands

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

3

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| OO 03 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| OO 04 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway/Peering | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

30/09/2016

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | | 814 | 257 | 000 |
| Currency | EUR | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | | 871 | 420 | 699 |

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | 100 | 0 |
| Fixed income | 0 | 0 |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | 0 | 0 |
| Hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | 0 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

| | | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 09 | Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose | Public | Peering | General |
|--------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Developed Markets | <input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 % |
| Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets | <input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50 % |
| <i>Total</i> | <i>100%</i> |

Gateway asset class implementation indicators

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 10.1 Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG in our investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

OO 10.2 Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year.

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

OO 10.3

Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 10.4

Indicate why you do not cast your votes

- Indicate why you do not cast your votes

We emphasize active engagement at this time, and have not set up a voting procedure until now due to cost and questions about impact.

- We do not cast our votes but provide substantive research and voting recommendations to our clients.

TG Fund Management B.V.

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

SG 01.4

Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)

other (1) description

Robeco SAM Responsible Investment screening and Sector exclusions

- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above
- No

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| SG 02 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 6 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|---------|--|
| SG 02.1 | Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document. |
|---------|--|

- Policy setting out your overall approach

| |
|----------------|
| URL/Attachment |
|----------------|

- URL

| |
|-----|
| URL |
|-----|

http://www.robecosam.com/images/CSA_2016_Annual_Scoring_Methodology_Review.pdf

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy
- Engagement policy

| |
|----------------|
| URL/Attachment |
|----------------|

- URL

| |
|-----|
| URL |
|-----|

<https://bmogam.nl/downloads#maatschappelijk-verantwoord-advies-en-beleggen>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

| | |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| SG 02.4 | Additional information [Optional]. |
|---------|------------------------------------|

Our funds follow the DowJones Sustainable indices. The sustainability and index construction policies are available from the sustainability research and index providers. Our engagement service provider BMO publishes the engagement policy and annual report on their website.

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| SG 03 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | General |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Due to investing in indices and the use of an external engagement service provider, conflicts of interest are deemed to be ruled out. We follow the Dutch Banking Code ("Code Banken"). Compensation policies and extensive controls on employee trading further mitigate any residual risks.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Directors

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

| | |
|----------------|---|
| SG 07.2 | For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities. |
|----------------|---|

External service provider Robeco SAM executes the RI research and provides ESG-input to the index construction by S&P, owner of the DJ Sustainable Indices.

| | |
|----------------|--|
| SG 07.3 | Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has. |
|----------------|--|

| |
|--------|
| Number |
|--------|

0

| | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|
| SG 07.4 | Additional information. [Optional] |
|----------------|------------------------------------|

TG Fund Management is manager of an index umbrella fund. Currently this index umbrella fund is the dedicated fund vehicle for three sustainable index funds. Portfolio management (investment) of the funds is delegated to the institutional asset management unit of Theodoor Gilissen Bankiers. This unit also manages other responsible and institutional investment mandates.

Promoting responsible investment

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| SG 09 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 4,5 |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|----------------|---|
| SG 09.1 | Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played. |
|----------------|---|

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
VBDO, a Dutch organisation for responsible investors.

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
 - Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
 - Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
 - Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
 - Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
 - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
 - Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
 - Other, specify
- No

Implementation not in other modules

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1 Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 12.2 Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

- We do the following
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 12.3 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Strategic asset allocation is not an activity of TG Fund Management B.V.

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|
| SG 15 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|

SG 15.1 Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

| Asset Class | Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Listed equity - (proxy) voting | We have implemented engagement with the companies in which we have investments, but not proxy voting at this time. |

TG Fund Management B.V.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Communication

LEI 17

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEI 17.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly

Provide URL

<http://www.gilissen.nl/Duurzaamindexbeleggen/02%20Duurzame%20indexfondsen/02%20a2%20Aanvullende%20informatie%20SWIF>

Provide URL

<http://www.gilissen.nl/Duurzaamindexbeleggen/02%20Duurzame%20indexfondsen/02%20b2%20Aanvullende%20informatie%20SEIF>

LEI 17.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes

LEI 17.3

Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries and the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
 Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.4

Indicate how frequently you typically report this information.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 Biannually
 Annually
 Less frequently than annually
 Ad-hoc/when requested
- No
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
 We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

TG Fund Management B.V.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 01 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.

Yes

LEA 01.2 Indicate what your engagement policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Engagements following on from decisions
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.4 Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement

We have contracted with BMO Global Asset Management to provide engagement services with the companies in which our funds are invested. The annual engagement policies are determined by BMO after consultation with us and other clients. These may change each year, but environment, governance and social issues are always prominent in the priorities.

No

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|
| LEA 02 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1,2,3 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|--|---|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers |

Process

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| LEA 07 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2,4 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 07.1 Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

Yes

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 07.2 | Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf. |
|-----------------|--|

- Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
 - Discuss the rationale for engagement
 - Discuss the objectives of the engagement
 - Select the companies to be engaged with
 - Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
 - Discuss progress towards the engagement objectives
 - Monitor and review outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
 - Discuss next steps for engagement activity
 - Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider
 - Ad hoc monitoring and review of engagement processes.
 - Other, specify
- No

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| LEA 07.3 | Additional information. [Optional] |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|

TG Fund Management advises the service provider on preferred priorities for engagement. This way we actively take part in the process and can communicate the topics that the organization deems most important. We receive quarterly reports about their progress and accomplishments, which are monitored carefully.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| LEA 08 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2,6 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 08.1 | Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider |
|-----------------|--|

- Please select all that apply
- The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
 - The objectives of the engagement
 - The rationale for engagement
 - The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
 - Progress towards achieving engagement goals
 - Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
 - Next steps for engagement activity
 - Other, specify
 - None of the above

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| General processes for all three groups of engagers | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| LEA 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Service provider engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements |

Communication

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 16.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only

LEA 16.5

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Engagement information disclosed

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

LEA 16.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
 - Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
 - Disclosed biannually
 - Disclosed annually
 - Disclosed less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc/when requested
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.