



LEA 02	Discipline: Mandatory	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive ESG disclosure</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal staff</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency or identify the need for additional or more comprehensive ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements</p>	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Red Mountain Capital Partners, LLC

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive, active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	-	n/a							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	-	n/a							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	-	n/a				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 14	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	-	n/a	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 16	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 18	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 24	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Assurance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							
CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.4, 10-12	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.5	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.7	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Red Mountain Capital Partners, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

<10%

10-50%

>50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Further options for investment managers (may be selected in addition to the above)

Execution and advisory services

Hedge funds

Fund of hedge funds

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

1

2-5

6-10

>10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

7

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2017

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			329	950	453
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			329	950	453

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	<10%	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

'Other (1)' specified

Private Preferred Shares

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Other (1)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

'Other (1)' [as defined in OO 05]

Private Preferred Shares

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

- Closing module

Red Mountain Capital Partners, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change and related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

In order to source attractive prospects for value enhancement through strategic focus and operational efficiencies, we screen for companies that have certain quantitative metrics, including:

- declining return on invested capital (ROIC), return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE);
- declining and low operating margins relative to peers;
- unprofitable business segments, products or channels;
- under-levered businesses with strong balance sheets;
- poor share price performance;
- high free cash flow yields and low P/E and EBITDA valuation multiples;
- misaligned management compensation plans;
- low, declining management / board stock ownership;
- management turnover; and
- poor governance.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

In connection with any potential investment, we conduct research and due diligence as though RMP II were buying the whole company, including in-depth meetings with senior management, on-site facility visits, discussions with industry experts, competitors, suppliers and customers and reviewing independent research reports. We develop comprehensive financial models to assist in our evaluation of specific value enhancing strategies and refine such models with input from management, industry experts and consultants. We seek to understand the drivers of free cash flow (such as unit economics, product line profitability, switching costs, pricing power and capital expenditure requirements), any special risk factors such as leverage, customer concentrations and unfunded liabilities and industry dynamics, competitive profile and opportunities for growth and/or consolidation. We evaluate environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors that could pose material risks to a company, including executive compensation, shareholder rights, management/board structure and environmental and social liabilities. We consider a company's existing governance structure and how it could potentially facilitate a path to influence or present a value enhancement opportunity. We also examine any litigation or regulatory issues, trading activity by company insiders and the potential for any fraudulent or aggressive accounting practices. Finally, we use our extensive network of relationships to obtain independent assessments of management, directors and the target company's prospects.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.redmtncap.com>

Attachment (will be made public)

Sector specific RI guidelines

Screening / exclusions policy

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

Active ownership approaches

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

Yes

URL

<http://www.redmtncap.com>

No

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

1. Conflict of Interest. The General Partner believes that the significant investment of the Principals in the Fund, as well as the Principals' interest in the carried interest, operate to align, to some extent, the interest of the Principals with the interest of the Partners, although the Principals have or may have economic interests in such other investment funds and investments as well and receive management fees and carried interests relating to these interests. Such other investment funds and investments that the Principals may control or manage may compete with the Fund or companies acquired by the Fund. At such time as the General Partner is permitted to raise a successor investment fund to the Fund, the Principals will continue to manage the Fund's investments, but also may and likely will focus investment activities on other opportunities and areas unrelated to the Fund's investments. Certain investments may be allocated between the Fund and any successor or predecessor fund in a manner as set forth in the Partnership Agreement.

No

SG 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Until such time as the General Partner is permitted under the Partnership Agreement to raise a successor investment fund to the Fund, the Principals generally will pursue substantially all appropriate investment opportunities that meet the investment criteria of the Fund principally for the benefit of the Fund, subject to certain exceptions set forth in the Partnership Agreement. However, Red Mountain and its affiliates currently manage and may in the future manage other funds and accounts, including the Co-Invest Fund (collectively, the "Other Red Mountain Funds"), that invest in securities or obligations eligible for purchase by the Fund, which presents the possibility of overlapping investments and thus the potential for conflicts of interest. Over time, certain investment opportunities suitable for the Fund are likely also to be suitable for Other Red Mountain Funds. In determining which investment funds should participate in such investment opportunities, subject to the Partnership Agreement, the General Partner, the Principals and their affiliates are subject to potential conflicts of interest among the investors in the Fund and investors in the Other Red Mountain Funds. The General Partner will determine the allocation of investment opportunities among the Fund and Other Red Mountain Funds in a manner that it believes is fair and equitable consistent with the General Partner's obligations and may take into consideration factors such as those set forth above. In the event that the available amount of an investment opportunity in which the Fund will invest exceeds an amount appropriate for the Fund, such excess may also be offered to one or more potential investors (see Section V - "Risk Factors - Co-Investments" below). The General Partner's allocation of investment opportunities among the Fund and any of the other investment funds sponsored by the General Partner may not always, and often will not, be proportional. Therefore, such allocations may be more advantageous to the Fund relative to one or all of the other investment funds, or vice versa. While the General Partner will allocate investment opportunities in a way that it believes in good faith is fair and equitable to the Fund, there can be no assurance that the Fund's actual allocation of an investment opportunity, if any, or terms on which the allocation is made, will be as favorable as they would be if the conflicts of interest to which the General Partner may be subject did not exist. By acquiring an interest in the Fund, each Limited Partner will be deemed to have acknowledged the existence of any actual or potential conflicts of interest and that such conflicts are often resolved by the same or overlapping investment professionals at Red Mountain. Additionally, conflicts of interest can arise if the Fund makes an investment in a portfolio company in conjunction with an investment made by another investment fund sponsored by the General Partner or an affiliate. For instance, the Fund may not invest through the same investment vehicles, have the same access to credit or employ the same hedging or investment strategies as such other investment fund. This may result in differences in price, investment terms, leverage and associated costs between the Fund and any other investing fund sponsored by the General Partner or an affiliate. There can be no assurance that the Fund and the other investing fund(s) will exit the investment at the same time or on the same terms, and there can be no assurance that the Fund's return on such an investment will be the same as the returns achieved by any other investment fund participating in the transactions. Given the nature of these conflicts, there can be no assurance that the resolution of these conflicts will be beneficial to the Fund.

The General Partner may be faced with a variety of potential conflicts of interest when it determines allocations of various fees and expenses to the Fund. The General Partner, in its sole discretion, will allocate fees and expenses in accordance with the Partnership Agreement and in a manner that it believes in good faith is fair and equitable to the Fund under the circumstances and considering such factors as it deems relevant. The allocations of such expenses may not be proportional, and any such determinations involve inherent matters of discretion, e.g., in determining whether to allocate pro rata based on number of funds or co-investors receiving related benefits or proportionately in accordance with asset size.

The Fund may make controlling investments in portfolio companies. As a result of these controlling interests, the General Partner may have the right to appoint portfolio company board members (including current or former General Partner personnel or persons serving at their request), or to influence their appointment, and to determine or influence the determination of their compensation. Additionally, from time to time, portfolio company board

members may approve compensation and other amounts payable to the General Partner in connection with services provided by the General Partner and its affiliates to such portfolio company, and, except to the extent such amounts are subject to the Partnership Agreement's offset provision, are in addition to the Management Fee or carried interest discussed herein. The General Partner's authority to appoint or influence the appointment of portfolio company board members who may be involved in approving compensation payable to the General Partner and its affiliates subjects the General Partner and any such portfolio company board appointees to potential conflicts of interest.

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1 Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Chief Compliance Officer

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

We employ a Chief Financial Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Controller and top-tier service providers to manage operational risk.

The Fund's cash is primarily held in custody at Goldman Sachs, but Red Mountain also maintains a bank account and a second custody account with State Street Bank and Trust Company ("State Street"). We require the signature of an authorized signatory to open accounts and to execute transfers of cash and securities.

We maintain internal controls and procedures at each stage of the trading cycle, including trade authorization, execution, settlement, reconciliation and accounting. In addition, we segregate duties between those who are authorized to trade and those who are responsible for recording trading activity.

Our operations and compliance teams maintain the Fund's authorized signatory list and are responsible for providing updates to the list to Goldman Sachs and State Street.

Since inception, the Fund has experienced no significant operational issues.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

3

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Partner, Chris Teets was elected to the CII Advisory Council. Two Members of Red Mountain attend CII conference twice a year.

- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Implemented Governance on our boards through majority voting shareholder right plans, diversification and compensation clawbacks.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
 - Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
 - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
 - Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
 - A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

Description

On the board of CII advisory Council

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	N/A

Communication

SG 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Explain all voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Explain some voting decisions</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Only explain abstentions and votes against management</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No explanations provided</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested</p>

Red Mountain Capital Partners, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 01.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)
-----------------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 100
---	---

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities
--

100%

LEI 01.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.
-----------------	---

Red Mountain Capital Partners LLC (the "Firm") is a constructive activist investment firm founded in January 2005 by Willem Mesdag to manage investments primarily in undervalued small cap companies and to enhance and realize shareholder value through active ownership. We seek to build significant ownership stakes (5-15%) in a concentrated portfolio (10-15 positions) of small cap public companies and seek to actively engage with the management teams of our portfolio companies on a range of initiatives to enhance value. ESG is an integrated part of our investment process. As part of our diligence process for each potential new investment we seek to identify key ESG issues specific to a company or its sector and understand how these risks will/could impact investment outcomes. If the risks are too significant we will either not invest or formulate an engagement plan to mitigate or eliminate these risks.

Due to our bottom-up investment approach, we have not employed a thematic approach to ESG issues historically, but do not rule out the possibility of doing so in the future.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
 Activity
 Sector
 Country/geographic region
 Environmental and social practices and performance
 Corporate governance

Description

We perform a negative screen for certain tobacco and firearms businesses.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
 Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

We perform a negative screen for certain tobacco and firearms businesses. We review the criteria quarterly, but do not notify investors when changes are made.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Review and evaluation of external research providers
- Other, specify
 - We rely on a list of excluded companies provided by a third party.**
- None of the above

Red Mountain Capital Partners, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

- Yes
 No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px;">Please specify why your organisation does not engage via service providers.</div> <p>we are a closed end fund. No new investments.</p>

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 03.2 Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Geography / market of the companies
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In response to ESG impacts that have already occurred.
- As a response to divestment pressure
- Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
- Consultation with other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, trade unions etc.)
- As a follow-up from a voting decision
- Client request
- Other, describe

other description

During the life of the investment the engagement plan is periodically evaluated and new potential initiatives are identified.

No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take during and following your engagements activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

- Yes
- No

LEA 06

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take during and following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify

other description

Progress for collaborative engagements are monitored and evaluated on the same basis as other engagements.

- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 10**Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 2****LEA 10.1**

Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-----------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 15.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.
----------	--

- Yes
- No

Process

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 16.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
----------	--

	Approach
--	----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

	Based on
--	----------

- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 16.2	Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).
----------	---

Our proxy voting policy takes into account the proposals made by management and advisors such as ISS and Glass Lewis. With that being said, we ultimately vote based on which directors, compensation plans, shareholder proposals etc. we believe will create the most long-term value for shareholders.

LEA 20	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 20.1	Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 20.2	Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 21	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 21.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	--

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 21.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- On request by clients
- Other

We do not track or collect this information

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEA 22.1 Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 22.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">%</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">%</div> </div> <p>100</p>
Against (opposing) management recommendations	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">%</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">%</div> </div> <p>0</p>
Abstentions	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">%</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 100%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">%</div> </div> <p>0</p>

100%

LEA 22.3 Describe the actions you take in relation to voting against management recommendations.

N/A

No, we do not track this information

Red Mountain Capital Partners, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Assurance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Assurance

CM1 01.1	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
----------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

CM1 01.1	Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:
----------	---

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	--

CM1 01.2	Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?
----------	--

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.3	We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report
----------	---

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.

CM1 01.4, 10-12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.4

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.7

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 01.7

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)