



| LEA 02 | Disclosures: Mandatory | Reason for Interaction | Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3 |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Individual/ internal staff engagements | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p> | | |
| Collaborative engagements | <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p> | | |
| Service provider engagements | <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to take action on</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p> | | |

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Majedie Asset Management Limited

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

| Symbol | Status |
|--------|---|
| ✓ | The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator |
| ☑ | The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator |
| 🔒 | This indicator was not relevant for this signatory |
| - | The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator |
| Ⓜ | The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review |

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

| Organisational Overview | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|-------------------------|--|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| OO TG | | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | |
| OO 01 | Signatory category and services | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 02 | Headquarters and operational countries | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 03 | Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 04 | Reporting year and AUM | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 05 | Breakdown of AUM by asset class | ✓ | Asset mix disclosed in OO 06 | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 06 | How would you like to disclose your asset class mix | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 07 | Fixed income AUM breakdown | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 08 | Segregated mandates or pooled funds | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 09 | Breakdown of AUM by market | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 10 | Active ownership practices for listed assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 11 | ESG incorporation practices for all assets | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO 12 | Modules and sections required to complete | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 01 | Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO LE 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 01 | Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 02 | Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO FI 03 | Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO SAM 01 | Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 01 | Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PE 02 | Typical level of ownership in private equity investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 01 | Breakdown of property investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 02 | Breakdown of property assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO PR 03 | Largest property types | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 01 | Breakdown of infrastructure investments | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 02 | Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO INF 03 | Largest infrastructure sectors | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO HF 01 | Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| OO End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| CCStrategy and Governance | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| SG 01 | RI policy and coverage | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 01 CC | Climate risk | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 02 | Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents | ✓ | Public | | | | | | ✓ | |
| SG 03 | Conflicts of interest | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 04 | Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 05 | RI goals and objectives | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 06 | Main goals/objectives this year | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 | RI roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 07 CC | Climate-issues roles and responsibilities | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 08 | RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 09 | Collaborative organisations / initiatives | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| SG 09.2 | Assets managed by PRI signatories | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 10 | Promoting RI independently | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 11 | Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters | ✓ | Private | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| SG 12 | Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers | ✓ | Public | | | | ✓ | | | |
| SG 13 | ESG issues in strategic asset allocation | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 13 CC | | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 14 | Long term investment risks and opportunity | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 14 CC | | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 15 | Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| SG 16 | ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 17 | ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 18 | Innovative features of approach to RI | ✓ | Private | | | | | | | ✓ |
| SG 19 | Communication | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| SG End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEI 01 | Percentage of each incorporation strategy | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 02 | Type of ESG information used in investment decision | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 03 | Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 04 | Types of screening applied | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 05 | Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 06 | Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 07 | Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 08 | Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 09 | Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis | ✓ | Public | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 10 | Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 11 | ESG issues in index construction | 🔒 | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 12 | How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition | ✓ | Private | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI 13 | Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance | - | n/a | ✓ | | | | | | |
| LEI End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|---|--|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| LEA 01 | Description of approach to engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 02 | Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| LEA 03 | Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 04 | Objectives for engagement activities | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 05 | Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 06 | Role in engagement process | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | |
| LEA 07 | Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers | ✓ | Public | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 08 | Tracking number of engagements | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 09 | Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 10 | Engagement methods | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 11 | Examples of ESG engagements | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 12 | Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 13 | Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed | 🔒 | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 14 | Securities lending programme | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 15 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 16 | Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 17 | Percentage of (proxy) votes cast | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 18 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 19 | Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions | ✓ | Public | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 20 | Shareholder resolutions | ✓ | Private | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA 21 | Examples of (proxy) voting activities | - | n/a | | ✓ | | | | | |
| LEA End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

| Confidence building measures | | | | Principle | | | | | | General |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Indicator | Short description | Status | Disclosure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| CM1 01 | Assurance, verification, or review | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 02 | Assurance of last year`s PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 03 | Other confidence building measures | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 04 | Assurance of this year`s PRI data | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 05 | External assurance | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 06 | Assurance or internal audit | 🔒 | n/a | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 07 | Internal verification | ✓ | Public | | | | | | | ✓ |
| CM1 01 End | Module confirmation page | ✓ | - | | | | | | | |

Majedie Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

| Select the services and funds you offer | % of asset under management (AUM) in ranges |
|--|---|
| Fund management | <input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% |
| Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products | <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50% |
| Other | <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50% |
| Total 100% | |

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

60

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

| | trillions | billions | millions | thousands | hundreds |
|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total AUM | | 10 | 238 | 228 | 823 |
| Currency | GBP | | | | |
| Assets in USD | | 13 | 225 | 667 | 915 |

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

| | Internally managed (%) | Externally managed (%) |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Listed equity | 93 | 0 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Fixed income | 0 | 0 |
| Private equity | 0 | 0 |
| Property | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 0 | 0 |
| Commodities | 0 | 0 |
| Hedge funds | 7 | 0 |
| Fund of hedge funds | 0 | 0 |
| Forestry | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland | 0 | 0 |
| Inclusive finance | 0 | 0 |
| Cash | 0 | 0 |
| Money market instruments | 0 | 0 |
| Other (1), specify | 0 | 0 |
| Other (2), specify | 0 | 0 |

as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

Yes

No

OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.

No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

| | |
|----------------|--|
| OO 09.1 | Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market. |
| | Developed Markets |
| 99 | |
| | Emerging Markets |
| 1 | |
| | Frontier Markets |
| 0 | |
| | Other Markets |
| 0 | |
| | Total 100% |
| 100% | |

OO 09.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Approximate values have been provided in 09.1

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 10 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 11 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Hedge funds

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO 12 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | General |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Hedge Funds and/or Fund of Hedge Funds

Closing module

- Closing module


Peering questions

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| OO LE 01 | Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose | Public | Gateway | General |
|-----------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|

OO LE
01.1

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities



Majedie Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

| Policy components/types | Coverage by AUM |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM |

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

We see our role as fund managers as investing in those companies that represent the best opportunities for our clients in terms of financial return and also performance across the ESG spectrum. Our Responsible Capitalism approach does precisely this -- as part of our fundamental investment process, we assess the key risks and opportunities (both financial and ESG related) of our investments. This analysis impacts our conviction level in our companies which, in turn, impacts our buy, sell and hold decisions.

Our approach is not about box ticking; it's not an overlay or a screening service. Rather, it is a process born out of our long-held belief that our role is to make a return for our clients, responsibly. For this, we must understand all the key, material issues that our holdings face so that we can determine their ESG resiliency and estimate their longer-term performance across the whole of their operations.

Our Responsible Capitalism approach focuses on engaging with our holdings on their material issues and on continually encouraging our companies to adopt best practice across their entire business. We also vote our proxies for all of the companies in which we invest for our clients.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

○ No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6****SG 02.1**

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Stewardship-Statement.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Controversial-Weapons-Policy.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Engagement policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Shareholder-Engagement-Policy.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- (Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/Proxy-Voting-Principles.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/ESG-Integration-Statement.pdf>

- Attachment

- ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/ESG-Integration-Statement.pdf>

- Attachment

- Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/ESG-Integration-Statement.pdf>

- Attachment

- Reporting

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019-Responsible-Capitalism-Report.pdf>

- Attachment

- Climate change

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Climate-Change-Statement.pdf>

Attachment

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].

For further information, including statements and policies, please see <https://www.majedie.com/the-majedie-difference/responsible-capitalism/responsible-investing/>

SG 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **General**

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Please see the following link for Majedie's Stewardship Conflicts of Interest Policy:
<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Stewardship-Conflicts-of-Interest-Statement.pdf>

Please note that Majedie also has a firm-level Conflicts of Interest Policy.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Core Assessed** **General**

SG 05.1 Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

The review is carried out by the Responsible Capitalism team reporting to the CIO and CEO. The Legal and Compliance teams are also involved in checking any changes as required.

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify CFO/COO, other departmental heads.

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

2

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Investment Association

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Financial Reporting Council

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Investor Forum

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Intentional Endowments Network

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| SG 10 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 4 |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

SG 10.1 Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2 Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

We provide training to pension fund trustees on SIPs and on integration of ESG into their investment principles. We also provide training to clients on general ESG type issues. For example, we host ESG luncheons in which clients can come and discuss the issues that they are dealing with in terms of ESG.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
 - Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
 - Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
 - Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

We speak at events that either focus on ESG or have sessions regarding ESG related topics. These include speaker sessions and panel discussions. Topics include (but are not limited to) integrating ESG across asset classes, SIPs, ESG related regulation, and engagement.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

Our fund managers wrote a number of newsletters for clients about RI, excerpts of which were published on our public website.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

SG 16.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

| Asset Class | Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved |
|-------------------|--|
| Hedge funds - DDQ | <p>Select whether you have responded to the PRI Hedge Fund DDQ</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> |
| Hedge funds | Majedie's Stewardship policy covers the Tortoise strategy. |

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| | |
|--|--|
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| Disclosure to public and URL | |
| <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used | |
| Frequency | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | |
| URL | |
| https://www.majedie.com/the-majedie-difference/responsible-capitalism/ | |

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| Disclosure to public and URL | Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries |
|---|--|
| <p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other information <p>We produce on an annual basis our 'Responsible Capitalism Report', which includes a review of the previous year, an outlook, engagement case studies & voting highlights.</p> | <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other information <p>In addition to our annual Responsible Capitalism Report, clients receive information of engagement via quarterly reports.</p> |
| <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested | <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested |
| <p>URL</p> <p>https://www.majedie.com/the-majedie-difference/responsible-capitalism/</p> | |

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

| Disclosure to public and URL | Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries |
|---|---|
| <p>Disclosure to public and URL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management | <p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management |
| <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested | <p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested |
| <p>URL</p> <p>https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/MjUwOA==/</p> | |

Hedge Funds

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

| | |
|--|---|
| Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries | |
| Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries | <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation for all strategies <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation for each strategy used |
| Frequency | <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested |

| | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| SG 19.2 | Additional information [Optional] |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|

Please note, we only explain a selection of voting decisions via our quarterly reports, as we would like to highlight those which we think are of particular interest to clients. We continue to record all reasons for going against management and/or ISS on the ISS ProxyExchange platform, so that we can provide further information if required. Clients can see on their client sites whether our recommendations were in or out of line with ISS recommendations. These are not shown on our public website.

Majedie Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| LEI 01 | Mandatory | Public | Gateway | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|

LEI 01.1

Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)

| | | |
|---|-----|---|
| Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5% | 100 | % |
| | | |

- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.




ESG integration for us means that we undertake materiality assessment on all of our holdings and that this assessment is updated at least annually, but in practice, this is done more frequently. To undertake materiality assessment, we examine all the factors of a particular company, including (but not limited to) its results, valuation, environmental impacts, the macro environment in which it is operating, balance sheet strength, its unique set of risks and opportunities across the spectrum of its business, margin growth, its supply chain, cyber security, and any changes in legislation that could impact on the group's ability to operate.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEI 08 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 1 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

LEI 08.1

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

| ESG issues | Proportion impacted by analysis |
|----------------------|--|
| Environmental |  Environmental <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% |
| Social |  Social <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% |
| Corporate Governance |  Corporate Governance <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% |

LEI 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 09.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify

ESG research may be undertaken as part of a wider piece of research, and will be done in a comprehensive manner where relevant.

None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

Majedie Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<https://www.majedie.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Stewardship-Statement.pdf>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Methods of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other; (specify)
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other; (specify)
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes
- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

| Type of engagement | Reason for interaction |
|---|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements |
| Service provider engagements | <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 03 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 03.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements. |
|----------|---|

Yes

| | |
|----------|--|
| LEA 03.2 | Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement. |
|----------|--|

| Type of engagement | Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Individual / Internal staff engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements |
| Collaborative engagements | <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues through other investors <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other; (specify) <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagement providers |

No

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|
| LEA 04 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|-------|

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

| | |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff |
| Collaborative engagements | <input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration |

LEA 05**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 05.1**

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

| | |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff. |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration. |

LEA 05.2

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

| | |
|---|--|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| LEA 06 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 2,4 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|

| | |
|----------|--|
| LEA 06.1 | Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful. |
|----------|--|

Yes

| | |
|----------|--|
| LEA 06.2 | Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements. |
|----------|--|

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other; specify

Where we concluded necessary, we voted against certain remuneration proposals following unsatisfactory engagement outcomes.

No

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| LEA 07 | Voluntary | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 1,2 |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|

| | |
|----------|---|
| LEA 07.1 | Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers. |
|----------|---|

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|---|---|
| Individual / Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

LEA 07.2 Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

| Type of engagement | Insights shared |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No |

LEA 08 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **PRI 2**

LEA 08.1 Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

| Type of engagement | Tracking engagements |
|--|--|
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | <input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |
| Collaborative engagements | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track |

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| LEA 08.2 | Additional information. [Optional] |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| LEA 12 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 12.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions. |
|-----------------|--|

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Where a management recommendation and our proxy voting research provider's recommendation are in alignment we will be minded to vote in favour, except where items concern approval of political donations and expenditure, where we will be minded to vote against. Where there is divergence, the relevant Majedie fund manager will make a decision on how to vote.

We also scrutinise in particular the recommendations of management and ISS in the UK small cap space.

LEA 15**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 2****LEA 15.1**

Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2

Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.

- Vote(s) concerned selected markets
- Vote(s) concerned selected sectors
- Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

LEA 16**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 16.1**

Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 16.2 | Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. |
|-----------------|---|

- Vote(s) concern selected markets
- Vote(s) concern selected sectors
- Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings
- Client request
- Other

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| LEA 16.3 | In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public. |
|-----------------|--|

- Yes
- No

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| LEA 16.4 | Additional information. [Optional] |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|

For 16.3, please note that we only publish a selection of voting rationales which may have included either abstentions or voting against management.

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LEA 17 | Mandatory | Public | Core Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| LEA 17.1 | For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. |
|-----------------|---|

- We do track or collect this information

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) |
|--|--------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| | % |
|--|---|

100

| | |
|--|--|
| | Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated |
|--|--|




- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| LEA 18 | Voluntary | Public | Additional Assessed | PRI 2 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|

LEA 18.1 Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

| Voting instructions | Breakdown as percentage of votes cast |
|---|--|
| For (supporting) management recommendations |  93.0 |
| Against (opposing) management recommendations |  6.3 |
| Abstentions |  0.7 |

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3 In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

LEA 19 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2**

LEA 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

Yes

No

Majedie Asset Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| CM1 01 | Mandatory | Public | Additional Assessed | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 02 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 03 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| CM1 04 | Mandatory | Public | Descriptive | General |
|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)