



LEA 02	Disclosures	Monetary	Principles
<p>By providing details on the nature and extent of engagement activities undertaken for each of the companies in the portfolio, the investor should demonstrate the use of the engagement process and the impact of such activities on the company's ESG performance.</p> <p>The table should include the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Company name Company sector Company size Company's ESG performance Company's ESG risks Company's ESG opportunities Company's ESG issues Company's ESG controversies Company's ESG controversies Company's ESG controversies 	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Monetary</p>	<p>Principles</p> <p>PRI 1, 2, 3</p>
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage: do internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage: do collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p>		

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2019

Santander Empleados Pensiones, F.P.

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	-	n/a	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Santander Empleados Pensiones, F.P.

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

- Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- Insurance company
- Foundation
- Endowment
- Development finance institution
- Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- Family office
- Other, specify

OO 01.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Santander Empleados Pensiones FP is a corporate pension fund for the Santander Group employees.

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Spain

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

0

OO 02.4 Additional information. [Optional]

The pension fund does not have any employees as it does not have a legal form, instead it acts as an investment vehicle. In order to deal with its lack of a legal form, the Pension Fund is managed by Santander Pensiones SA, SGFP, and Santander Securities Services SA acts as the custodian. The pension fund does have a governance organ (the control commission), whose main function is to supervise its appropriate functioning and to safeguard the interests of the fund's participants and beneficiaries.

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			262	199	366
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD			299	141	456

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 06 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	0
Fixed income	>50%	0

Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 09.1

Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

95

5	Emerging Markets
0	Frontier Markets
0	Other Markets
100%	Total 100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

Fixed income SSA – engagement

- We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Our engagement process currently concentrates on our Listed Equity securities, sometimes engagement can be done in a company in which we are invested in through fixed income & equity securities.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Our engagement process currently concentrates on Equity securities, although engagement could be done in a company in which we are invested in through fixed income & equity securities.

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers**Direct - Listed Equity incorporation**

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- Fixed income - SSA
- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)

RI implementation via external managers**Closing module**

- Closing module

Peering questions**OO LE 01****Mandatory****Public****Gateway****General****OO LE 01.1**

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Update: this indicator has changed from "*Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose*" to "*Mandatory*". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Strategies	Percentage of internally managed listed equities
Passive	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Active - quantitative (quant)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Active - fundamental and active - other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%
Total 100%	

OO LE 01.2	Additional information. [Optional]
---------------	------------------------------------

Our fund manager's teams use fundamental value strategies for their investment selection process in listed equities.

OO FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
----------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

Update: this indicator has changed from "*Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose*" to "*Mandatory*". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies
------------	---

Type	Passive	Active - quantitative	Active - fundamental & others	Total internally managed fixed income security
SSA	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	100%
Corporate (financial)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	100%
Corporate (non-financial)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	100%

OO FI 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Our fund manager's team uses fundamental value strategies to determine their investments in fixed income.

OO FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.

SSA	Developed markets	100
	Emerging markets	0
	Total	100%

OO FI 03.2

Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.

Type	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	100%
Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	100%

Santander Empleados Pensiones, F.P.

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and its relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

The Santander Empleados Pensiones pension fund's investment principles are rooted on ESG factors and a socially responsible investment approach. From an SRI standpoint, ESG factors add an added value to a company when it comes to its investment potential. The pension fund builds on this statement as a base when looking to get a global vision and valuation of a companies and sovereign entities, with the aim of generating value and promoting a sustainable economic development.

The investable universe for Santander Empleados Pensiones is the result of the incorporation of ESG factors into the investment strategy. This is done via the application of 2 different criteria in our analysis and evaluation of companies:

1. **Exclusion Criteria:** these aim to identify controversial activities or behaviours in a company which would result in direct exclusion from the investable universe.
2. **Value Criteria:** these aim to provide a picture of a company's ESG performance, and are therefore used to determine its value in terms of SRI.

Furthermore, ESG factors are divided into 5 different impact areas that collectively provide an assessment of a company's dedication to sustainability and responsible business practices. These are:

- **Environment**
- **Corporate Governance**
- **Human Rights**
- **Stakeholder Relationship**
- **Other Ethical Concerns**

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Our responsible investment approach seeks to provide an investable universe composed of companies that stand out due to their commitment to mitigate ESG issues and act in a socially responsible manner.

The incorporation of a socially responsible investment approach into Santander Empleados Pensiones pension fund is done with the aim of obtaining a global vision of enterprises' behavior via an analysis of their social responsibility. Furthermore, this investment approach leads to a promotion of sustainable capital markets and a reduction of systemic risks within our portfolio. Our investment approach is based on UN PRI's responsible investment guidelines, seeking an alignment of our investment strategy with international SRI frameworks.

The investment policy for Santander Empleados Pensiones is approved by the Control Commission, the governance organ of the pension fund. It is characterized with a flexible and dynamic structure, as this will allow the policy to reflect the evolution of corporate social responsibility over time. Currently, the policy covers the main ESG issues that are present in the 5 impact areas aforementioned in different manners through our exclusion and value criteria.

3. Exclusion Criteria

Participation in the following activities will lead to a direct exclusion of a company from our investable universe.

- **Environment:** *Inappropriate use of nuclear energy*
- *Toxic waste-related activities*
- *Environmental Pollution*
-
- **Huma Rights:** *Child Labour*
- *Universal Decalration of Human Rights breaches*
-
- **Other Ethical Concerns:** *Child Pornography*
- *Manufacturing or sale of a finished product/strategic parts of cluster ammunition, anti-personnel landmines or nuclear weapons*
- *Irresponsible advertisement of alcohol, tobacco, pornography or gambling related products*
-

2. Value Criteria:

Environment:

Within this field we aim to assess different procedures and initiatives of companies related to their environmental impact. Our investment policy looks at environmental policies, management and other systems that an enterprise might have to mitigate their impact on the environment, concentrating on the implementation and evolution of these. Moreover, it also takes into account the adherence to international environmental treaties (such as the Kyoto Protocol). Our investment policy also takes into account emissions control, adding value to those companies that make efforts on reducing their GHG emissions or have systems in place to avoid these. In addition, those that promote and operate on renewable energy sources are considered as more valuable under our policy. Ultimately, those companies that follow a sustainable approach to timber-related practices are rewarded. Firms that underperform in these areas or avoid their environmental responsibilities will be penalized and are therefore seen as less valuable under our analysis.

Corporate Governance:

We believe that corporate governance reflects executives' commitment to the firm's social responsibilities. When upper-management is directly involved with the ethical functioning and behaviour of the enterprise, this will be transferred across all levels of the company. Under these ideas, we analyze a company's corporate governance looking at several factors which we believe are key in this area. Its executive board's membership and activities are assessed to ensure an appropriate and representative composition of the board and alignment with their responsibilities. Furthermore, firms must have a clear and transparent policy to deal with bribery and corruption and concise code of ethics that is applied and reaches all employees. Executives' commitment to their CSR commitments are assessed via their relationship with the firm's stakeholders. The success of gender equality policies and practices and the higher percentage of women in upper management is rewarded in our investment policy.

Human Rights:

Any firm that considers itself as socially responsible must present and apply clear policies in relation to human and labor rights. In order to assess a firm's performance in this area, our investment policy looks at the existence and quality of human rights policies in an enterprise and the way in which this is implemented in all of its business activities, including its supply chain. Furthermore, compliance with the ILO's International Labor Standards is analyzed in order to ensure that those companies that are underperforming in this area are penalized. Much like human rights policies, we believe that these standards must be maintained across all levels of a company's supply chain in order to consider them socially responsible in this regard. Some other specific ESG issues are also analyzed in this area, such as: child or forced labor, discrimination in the workplace or freedom of association and the workers' right to unionize.

Stakeholder Relationship:

We firmly believe that a good relationship between a firm and its stakeholders leads to tangible and intangible benefits for the company, therefore adding value to it. As a result, in regards to the establishment and

maintenance of a good relationship with its stakeholders, we assess firms on their policies of stakeholder management and communication. These must have the objective of benefitting all parties involved in any discussion or dispute. Furthermore, we look for initiatives that promote: equal opportunities, health and safety at the workplace, employee training or job creation and security. The existence of these demonstrates a firm's intent to better the position of its stakeholders, which we deem as a valuable approach to social responsibility. Thus, resulting in a greater value for the firm overall.

Other Ethical Concerns:

In this case, we look at firms' activities to assess the negative impact that these might have on society or the environment. We perceive the relationship with these sort of activities as detrimental for a company and therefore its value. In this regard, we penalize companies for their involvement in:

- *Animal testing (with the exception of medicine)*
- *Genetic engineering*
- *Production or sale of military products or armament*
- *Intensive farming*

No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1 Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Screening / exclusions policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].

As a corporate pension fund only available for Santander employees (closed to the general public), our investment strategy and responsible investing approaches are not disclosed to the public.

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

All of the activities under the Santander Group (*that includes the management of the Santadner Empleados Pensiones pension fund by the Santander Pensiones Pension fund manager*) are held liable under the Group's Policy on Conflict of Interests. This policy covers:

- Subjective Scope
- Objective Scope
- Purpose
- General Responsibilities of Compliance Management
- Specific Actions
- Procedures
- Disclosure of Conflict of Interests to Clients
- Register of Conflict of Interests

This policy is available to the public here:

https://www.santander.com/csgs/StaticBS?blobcol=urldata&blobheadname1=content-type&blobheadname2=Content-Disposition&blobheadname3=applD&blobheadvalue1=application%2Fpdf&blobheadvalue2=inline%3Bfilename%3D223%5C69%5C3.1MiFID_General+Conflicts+of+Interest+Policy+201411.pdf&blobheadvalue3=santander.wc.CFWCSancomQP01&blobkey=id&blobtable=MungoBlobs&blobwhere=1278706204121&ssbinary=true

No

SG 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

As the supervisory board of the pension fund, we do not have a policy for managing potential conflicts of interest. However, our pension fund's manager does have one.

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------------	---------

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2	For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.
----------------	---

The Control Commission acts as the governing body of the pension fund. They are responsible for the oversight of the implementation of the pension fund's responsible investment policy by the pension fund manager.

Both, the portfolio managers and the SRI/ESG Team, are a part of Santander Pensiones - the fund managers for Santander Empleados Pensiones pension fund. They are responsible for the implementation of the SRI/ESG policy over the investable universe and the analysis of the 5 impact areas aforementioned, This team acts under the oversight of the Chief Investment Officer.

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

Number	
--------	--

0

SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

The pension fund has a fund manager (Santander Pensiones SGFP SA) that applies the ESG policy earlier described on the investment process.

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Santander Empleados Pensiones pension fund is a UN PRI signatory and joined a collaborative engagement initiative through the PRI platform in the reporting year.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

	Description
--	-------------

Our SRI Director (member of the governance board) participated in the elaboration of the online course “Invertir con criterios sociales” (Investing with social criteria) that covers SRI investing and how to differentiate it from traditional investments. This course is free and available to the public via the UNED website:
https://iedra.uned.es/courses/course-v1:UNED+InvCritSoc_001+2018/about

And can be streamed online:
<https://canal.uned.es/video/5b1676d0b1111f45548b4567>

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

	Description
--	-------------

The SRI Director (member of the governance board of the pension fund) has participated in several events with the aim of promoting responsible investment such as:
 Radio programs
 Newspaper articles (<https://es.fundspeople.com/news/en-que-punto-esta-la-isr-en-espana>)
 Round tables (Spainsif Annual Event)

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)

Description

Contributed to Santander Group's response to the European Commission's consultation regarding the new Sustainable Finance taxonomy.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

SG 13.1 Indicate whether the organisation undertakes scenario analysis and/or modelling and provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, to assess future ESG factors
- Yes, to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- No, not to assess future ESG/climate-related issues

SG 13.3 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Santander Empleados Pensiones does not implement scenario analysis and/or modelling due to a lack of resources to do so.

SG 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	---	--------	---------------------	-------

SG 14.1 Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.

- Changing demographics
- Climate change
- Resource scarcity
- Technological developments
- Other, specify(1)
- Other, specify(2)
- None of the above

SG 14.2 Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity

- Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
- Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments
- Phase out your investments in your fossil fuel holdings
- Reduced portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
- Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
- Sought climate change integration by companies
- Sought climate supportive policy from governments
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.3

Indicate which of the following tools the organisation uses to manage climate-related risks and opportunities.

- Scenario analysis
- Disclosures on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
- Climate-related targets
- Encouraging internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks
- Emissions-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
- Weighted average carbon intensity
- Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)
- Portfolio carbon footprint
- Total carbon emissions
- Carbon intensity
- Exposure to carbon-related assets
- Other emissions metrics
- Other, specify
- None of the above

Please explain why not

We are currently developing an in-house ESG tool that will provide data on managing climate-related risks and opportunities.

SG 15

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 15.1

Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

- Yes
- No

Innovation**SG 18**

Voluntary

Public

Descriptive

General

SG 18.1

Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

- Yes
- No

Communication

SG 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation <input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used
	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Santander Empleados Pensiones, F.P.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)
----------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	
---	--

- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities
--

100%

LEI 01.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.
----------	---

As earlier stated, our screening process is divided into two components/criteria. We use the exclusion criteria to ensure that we obtain an investable universe formed by socially responsible companies. On the other hand, the value criteria allows us to identify the best ESG performers and follow a best-in-class approach in every sector. With this, we aim to accomplish our objective of having an investment process that produces an investable universe composed by socially responsible companies and a selection of the best ESG performers in their sector. These strategy is drafted by the Control Commission of the pension fund and implemented by the fund managers.

Our **screening for exclusion criteria** seeks to weed out companies that engage in the following controversial activities:

- *Inappropriate use of nuclear energy*
- *Toxic waste related activities*
- *Environmental Pollution*
- *Child Labour*
- *Universal Declaration of Human Rights breachment*

- *Child Pornography*
- *Manufacturing or sale of a finished product/strategic parts of cluster ammunition, anti-personnel landmines or nuclear weapons*
- *Irresponsible advertisement of alcohol, tobacco, pornography or gambling related products*

The exclusion of these companies from our investable universe ensures that we avoid investments in those companies that do not have responsible business practices.

The **value criteria screening** allows us to apply our own standards to the information we receive from our ESG information third party provider. We obtain information from 5 different impact areas:

- *Environment*
- *Corporate Governance*
- *Human Rights*
- *Stakeholder Relationship*
- *Other Ethical Concerns*

Our value criteria is the ESG rating that a company receives based on our analysis. This process involves using the ESG performance indicators we receive from our ESG information third party provider for the 5 different impact areas. This data is then subject to 2 considerations. First, we add weight to those specific ESG issues that are deemed as more important by our ESG/SRI Team in order to obtain a global picture of a company's ESG performance. Secondly, we identify sector-specific issues incorporate them into our analysis to derive a fair ESG score. We add a second ponderation to the selected indicators that takes industry into account in order to ensure that our investment approach results in a best-in-class selection for every sector. Using emissions as an example, a company that underperforms in this matter in the Energy sector will be penalized in a greater manner than a company that underperforms in the Finance sector, thus taking into account the impact this industry has on the environment. Using these 2 weightings we obtain our final ESG score, which takes into account the importance of ESG issues and their impact at a sector level. This score leads us to select the best-in-class companies from our investable universe.

LEI 02	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
LEI 02.1	Indicate what ESG information you use in your ESG incorporation strategies and who provides this information.			

Type of ESG information

- Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Company-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Sector-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Country-related analysis or ratings
- Screened stock list

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings
- Other, specify

LEI 02.2	Indicate if you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEI 03	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.
-----------------	---

- Engagement
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.
- (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.

LEI 03.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

When a company is attractive as a potential investment within our investment universe and breaches our exclusion criteria, the Control Commission can take the decision to follow an engagement process with this company. A formal letter is sent by the pension fund to the corporation involved asking them for information regarding the incident, giving them the right to defend their position and enquiring about the incident that has led them to this situation. Depending on the company's feedback the Commission Control can decide to maintain this company in our investment universe or exclude it. In terms of proxy voting information, it is shared with the fund managers, yet it does not affect investment decisions.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
----------	--

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

We exclude companies when they are related to or engage in the following activities:

- Inappropriate use of nuclear energy
- Toxic waste related activities
- Environmental Pollution
- Child Labour
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights breachment
- Child Pornography
- Manufacturing or sale of a finished product/strategic parts of cluster ammunition, anti-personnel landmines or nuclear weapons
- Irresponsible advertisement of alcohol, tobacco, pornography or gambling related products

- Positive/best-in-class screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

As it was earlier stated, we obtain an overall ESG rating via a 2-step process. We use the data provided by Vigeo-Eiris and give a greater weight towards the overall score to the indicators that concentrate on the main ESG issues. This same process is repeated but taking into account the impact each indicator has on each of the 11 GICS Sectors in order to obtain a final ESG rating for companies that takes into account both, their overall ESG performance and their sector-specific impact.

- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

	Description
--	-------------

Some of the indicators that we use to determine the ESG Rating of our companies directly derive from the principles in these conventions.

LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
----------	--

Screening criteria is established by the ESG/SRI team based on the ESG approach depicted by the Control Commission in the investment policy. The review of the criteria in our investment process is performed on an ad-hoc basis. The Control Commission, governing organ of the pension fund, is notified about the status of the investment policy twice a year and clients and beneficiaries receive information about the pension fund/any changes to policies every 4 months.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 05.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Review and evaluation of external research providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

LEI 05.5

Additional information. [Optional]

We update our records from third party ESG information every 4 months.

LEI 06	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 06.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure fund criteria are not breached.
-----------------	---

- Systematic checks are performed to ensure that stocks meet the funds' screening criteria.
- Automated IT systems prevent investment managers from investing in excluded stocks or those that do not meet positive screening criteria.
- Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit function
- Periodic auditing/checking of the organisations RI funds by external party
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 06.2	If breaches of fund screening criteria are identified - describe the process followed to correct those breaches.
-----------------	--

In the case that during our trimestral screening update a company has breached one or more of our exclusion policies, the Control Commission decides whether to start an engagement process with the company or divest from it directly. In case of engagement, a formal letter is sent by the pension fund to the corporation involved asking them for information regarding the incident, giving them the right to defend their position and enquiring about the incident that has led them to this situation. If the result from this process is negative, the pension fund divests from the company. The list of excluded companies is sent to the fund managers who have 6 months to divest in such a way that does not affect the value of the portfolio.

Outputs and outcomes

LEI 12	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 12.1	Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.
-----------------	---

- Screening

	Describe any reduction in your starting investment universe or other effects.
--	---

The application of our exclusion criteria and value criteria through screening procedures has led to the exclusion of 45 companies from an investable universe of 925.

	Specify the percentage reduction (+/- 5%)
--	---

	%
--	---

5

Santander Empleados Pensiones, F.P.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1 Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy.

- Yes
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes

LEA 01.5 Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following.

- Outline of service providers role in implementing organisation's active ownership policy
- Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements
- Identification of key ESG frameworks service providers must follow
- Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers
- Description of service provider monitoring processes
- Other, specify
- None of the above

- No

LEA 01.6 Additional information [optional]

Voting decisions are made by the governance body of the pension fund, however the voting process is carried out by the custodian.

Engagement

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-----------

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.4

Additional information. [Optional]

This work is made by our asset manager, Santander Pensiones, SA, EGFP in behalf of the Control Committee. Once we identify an ESG issue that we wish to discuss with a company, we engage with them by establishing a formal discussion through letters & emails. This is done with the objective of helping them in the correction of the troubling activity or to allow them to disclose more information on ESG issues and indicators. Santander Empleados Pensiones Pensio fund has joined a collaborative engagement initiative during the reporting year to encourage governments' commitment to climate change objectives.

LEA 03

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Internal / Individual engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography / market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements.
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues from other investors <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagements.

No

LEA 03.3

Additional information. [Optional]

When we detect that a company's activities or behaviour do not comply with the ESG criteria established by our investment strategy, we prioritize engagement with a company in regards to this activity.

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1	Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.
----------	--

Individual / Internal engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1	Indicate if you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.
----------	--

Individual / Internal engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes via collaborative engagement activities.

LEA 05.2	Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and review the progress of engagement activities.
----------	--

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
---	--

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 06.1 Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2 Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other, specify

No

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 07.1 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.2 Indicate the practices used to ensure information and insights collected through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3 Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

The result of the engagement activities are shared with the control commission, the fund managers, and the client/beneficiaries representatives in the bi-annual meetings that are held with them. This involves the description of the process, the company's response and a decision-making process to determine next steps to take.

LEA 08 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **PRI 2**

LEA 08.1 Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

LEA 08.2	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We collect all the letters/emails that are sent to and from the company and any other information that the company shares with us.

In terms of collaborative engagements, we collect the PRI final report and inform our beneficiaries on the outcomes of this report.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 10	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 10.1	Indicate which of the following your engagement involved.
-----------------	---

- Letters and emails to companies
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with board/senior management
- Meetings and/or calls with the CSR, IR or other management
- Visits to operations
- Visits to the supplier(s) from the 'company's supply chain
- Participation in roadshows
- Other

LEA 10.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Our engagement procedure consists on establishing a dialogue with companies. We believe this allows them to provide information on any ESG issue that may arise and explain their actions in a more concise manner. This is done via letters and emails to the personnel responsible for sustainability and investor relations in such companies.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 12.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
-----------------	--

	Approach
--	----------

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based on

- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policies
- other, explain

Voting decisions are carried out by the Control Commission; these are based on alignment with our ESG policy.

- We hire service provider(s) who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.3	Additional information.[Optional]
-----------------	-----------------------------------

The fund management team sends the ballot paper from the shareholders boards to the Control Commission, where the company's and workers' (client/beneficiaries) representatives express their opinion for each issue on the voting agenda. If they reach a consensus among all parties the vote will be casted based on their decision. If a consensus is not reached the vote will be casted as an abstention for that particular issue.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you or the service providers acting on your behalf have raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which, you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, have communicated to companies the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.
-----------------	--

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 17.1 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

9

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings




- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other

LEA 18	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 18.1 Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 65
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 0
Abstentions	 35

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 19.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

- Yes
- No

Santander Empleados Pensiones, F.P.

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer





This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.			

SSA	<p>Stacked bar chart for SSA. The y-axis represents percentage from 0 to 100. The x-axis lists eight categories. The 'Screening alone' category is filled with blue and reaches the 100% mark. All other categories (Thematic alone, Integration alone, Screening + integration strategies, Thematic + integration strategies, Screening + thematic strategies, All three strategies combined, and No incorporation strategies applied) are also filled with blue but reach the 0% mark.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + thematic strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All three strategies combined</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No incorporation strategies applied</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Screening alone	100	Thematic alone	0	Integration alone	0	Screening + integration strategies	0	Thematic + integration strategies	0	Screening + thematic strategies	0	All three strategies combined	0	No incorporation strategies applied	0	Total	100%
Category	Percentage																				
Screening alone	100																				
Thematic alone	0																				
Integration alone	0																				
Screening + integration strategies	0																				
Thematic + integration strategies	0																				
Screening + thematic strategies	0																				
All three strategies combined	0																				
No incorporation strategies applied	0																				
Total	100%																				
Corporate (financial)	<p>Stacked bar chart for Corporate (financial). The y-axis represents percentage from 0 to 100. The x-axis lists four categories. The 'Screening alone' category is filled with blue and reaches the 100% mark. All other categories (Thematic alone, Integration alone, and Screening + integration strategies) are also filled with blue but reach the 0% mark.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Screening alone</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Thematic alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Integration alone</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Screening + integration strategies</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Screening alone	100	Thematic alone	0	Integration alone	0	Screening + integration strategies	0										
Category	Percentage																				
Screening alone	100																				
Thematic alone	0																				
Integration alone	0																				
Screening + integration strategies	0																				

	 <p>Thematic + integration strategies</p> <p>0</p>
	 <p>Screening + thematic strategies</p> <p>0</p>
	 <p>All three strategies combined</p> <p>0</p>
	 <p>No incorporation strategies applied</p> <p>0</p>
	<p>100%</p>

Corporate (non-financial)	Screening alone	100
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	0
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
		100%

FI 01.2 Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

Our screening strategies provide us with instant access to information and ESG data, which allows us to deliver a faster response when any ESG issues arise in any fixed income securities we have in our portfolio.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 03.1 Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- Other, specify

specify description

Exclusion list sent regularly to fund managers and compliance to avoid investment in these companies.

- None of the above

FI 03.2 Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

(A) Implementation: Screening

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

FI 04.1 Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

Select all that apply

	SSA	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Norms-based screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

FI 04.2	Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income
----------------	--

Our screening approach involves an exclusion list based on our exclusion criteria (Negative/Exclusionary Screening). Furthermore, our value criteria allows us to perform a best-in-class selection of Corporate and SSA Fixed Income products based on the ESG score they receive (Positive/Best-in-class Screening). Ultimately, some of the indicators in our value criteria process are based on compliance with international norms (Norms-based Screening).

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

FI 06.1	Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.
----------------	---

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Norms-based screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above