



LEA 02	Discretionary Mandate	Gateway
<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in a company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG advisors <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider engagements

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2019

Perennial Value Management Limited

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Public							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Public	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Perennial Value Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Australia

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

39

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		5	200	000	000
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD		3	834	985	835

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the total assets at the end of your reporting year subject to an execution and/or advisory approach.

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)

Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

100

Emerging Markets

0

Frontier Markets

0

Other Markets

0

Total 100%

100%

OO 09.2 Additional information. [Optional]

AUSTRALIAN MARKET

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1	Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).
----------------	---

	Listed equity
--	---------------

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1	Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.
----------------	---

	Core modules
--	--------------

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

	RI implementation directly or via service providers
--	---

	Direct - Listed Equity incorporation
--	--------------------------------------

- Listed Equity incorporation

	Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
--	---

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

	RI implementation via external managers
--	---

	Closing module
--	----------------

- Closing module

Peering questions				
--------------------------	--	--	--	--

OO LE 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-----------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO LE 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.
-------------------	---

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

Strategies	Percentage of internally managed listed equities
Passive	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Active - quantitative (quant)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Active - fundamental and active - other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%
Total 100%	

Perennial Value Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Perennial Value is a specialist active Australian equities manager, with a 'value' stockpicking investment style. The cornerstone of Perennial Value's investment process is our proprietary, in-house research. We conduct independent first-hand research on all securities before their inclusion in our investment portfolios. We invest in companies that offer a strong balance sheet with low debt levels, a track record of paying reliable dividends and good quality management. As a signatory to the United Nations backed Principles for Responsible Investment, we have incorporated ESG principles into our investment processes. We have engaged with CAER and CGI Glass Lewis, broker research and other information to supplement our own analyst bottom up ESG research. We have also developed a proprietary ESG database which captures over 30 different data points of ESG data. We have also developed an in house ESG scoring system for each company under coverage.

No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf](#)

- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties
- Engagement policy
- (Proxy) voting policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf \[225KB\]](#)

- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf \[225KB\]](#)

- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf \[225KB\]](#)

ESG incorporation approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf \[225KB\]](#)

Active ownership approaches

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf \[225KB\]](#)

Reporting

URL/Attachment

- URL
- Attachment

File Attachment

[PVM Responsible Investment Policy - Mar19.pdf \[225KB\]](#)

- Climate change
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
---------	---

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Perennial's conflict of interest policy covers how we manage any potential conflicts in the investment process. There must be no conflict, or perception of such, between the interests of any employee and their responsibility to Perennial or its stakeholders. All employees may never improperly use their position for personal or private gain to themselves, a family member, or any other person.

No

SG 04	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 04.1

Indicate if your organisation has a process for identifying and managing incidents that occur within portfolio companies.

- Yes
 No

SG 04.2

Describe your process on managing incidents

When a company-specific ESG issue arises we will typically seek to engage with Company management on the issue. If we have significant unresolved concerns in relation to the issue we will seek to escalate the issue by one or a combination of the following:

- writing to Company management;
- meeting with the Chairperson or Lead Independent Director; or
- writing a formal letter to the Board of Directors.

If the issue remains unresolved, we will consider divesting our holding in the Company.

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
 Biannually
 Annually
 Less frequently than annually
 Ad-hoc basis
 It is not set/reviewed

SG 06	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 06.1

List the main responsible investment objectives that your organisation set for the reporting year.

Responsible investment processes

- Provide training on ESG incorporation

Key performance indicator

Encourage all analysts to complete ESG section of research reports

Progress achieved

All analysts should fill out the ESG section of their research reports and also add data to our internal ESG database

- Provide training on ESG engagement

Key performance indicator

Encourage Analysts to engage on ESG issues with their companies

Progress achieved

Staff also attend various ESG information sessions, conferences and events.

- Improved communication of ESG activities within the organisation

Key performance indicator

Improved frequency and quality of ESG communications within the Investment team

Progress achieved

Clear communication of topical ESG issues and standards

- Improved engagement to encourage change with regards to management of ESG issues

Key performance indicator

Increased engagement with companies on ESG issues

Progress achieved

More company interactions which incorporate ESG related engagement

- Improved ESG incorporation into investment decision making processes

Key performance indicator

Incorporation of an Engagement score into our internal ESG database scoring system

Progress achieved

This has now been implemented

- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

Financial performance of investments

- Increase portfolio performance by consideration of ESG factors
- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

ESG characteristics of investments

- Over or underweight companies based on ESG characteristics

Key performance indicator

This has always been a fundamental factor in evaluating investment into stocks

Progress achieved

We continue to practise this as part of our investment process

- Improve ESG ratings of portfolio

Key performance indicator

Report an internal ESG score for some of our portfolios

Progress achieved

Scores are reported on a monthly basis

- Setting carbon reduction targets for portfolio
- Other, specify (1)

Other description (1)

Establishment and continued development of a Sustainable Future Small Caps Product

Key performance indicator

Established 1/2/18

Progress achieved

Product is live and open for investors

- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

Other activities

- Joining and/or participation in RI initiatives

Key performance indicator

Increase RI activities in RI activities

Progress achieved

Regularly attending RI events (eg. RIAA Conference 2018, AICD events)

- Encouraging others to join a RI initiative
- Documentation of best practice case studies
- Using case studies to demonstrate engagement and ESG incorporation to clients

Key performance indicator

case studies involve investment thesis on investing in companies

Progress achieved

This has been achieved as this is a core part of the marketing story of the Sustainable Future trust.

- Other, specify (1)
- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

We execute these responsibilities in our day to day roles.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

2

SG 07.4 Additional information. [Optional]

Perennial Value has two team members who along with their Portfolio Management or analyst responsibilities are responsible for coordinating, recording and implementing ESG issues and engagement within the team.

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

SG 08	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

SG 08.1 Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes have a responsible investment element.

Board members/Board of trustees

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee

SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Portfolio managers

SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Investment analysts

SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Dedicated responsible investment staff

SG 08.1a RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- None of the above

SG 08.1b RI in personal development and/or training plan

- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

We actively encourage and provide advice to Australian listed companies on their RI impact and focus.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Supporter of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

Continually write notes and articles on companies in our Sustainable Future Fund

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

Description

Contributor to Livewire media platform

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

SG 11	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6
--------------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-----------

SG 11.1	Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or regulators in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.
----------------	---

- Yes
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants				
---	--	--	--	--

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
--------------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.
----------------	---

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation				
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

SG 13.1	Indicate whether the organisation undertakes scenario analysis and/or modelling and provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).
----------------	--

- Yes, to assess future ESG factors

Describe

This is part of our wider fundamental analysis of companies we cover.

- Yes, to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe

This is part of our wider fundamental analysis of companies we cover.

- No, not to assess future ESG/climate-related issues

SG 13.2 Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify

Specialist in Australian Shares and don't invest in different geo-locations and asset classes

- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 14	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	--	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

SG 14.1 Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.

- Changing demographics
- Climate change
- Resource scarcity
- Technological developments
- Other, specify(1)
- Other, specify(2)
- None of the above

SG 14.2 Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity

- Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
- Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments

Specify the AUM invested in low carbon and climate resilient portfolios, funds, strategies or asset classes.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM				400	000
Currency	AUD				
Assets in USD				294	999

Specify the framework or taxonomy used.

This is part of our Sustainable Future Strategies

- Phase out your investments in your fossil fuel holdings
- Reduced portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
- Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
- Sought climate change integration by companies
- Sought climate supportive policy from governments
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.3

Indicate which of the following tools the organisation uses to manage climate-related risks and opportunities.

- Scenario analysis
- Disclosures on emissions risks to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
- Climate-related targets
- Encouraging internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risks
- Emissions-risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
- Weighted average carbon intensity
- Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)
- Portfolio carbon footprint
- Total carbon emissions
- Carbon intensity
- Exposure to carbon-related assets
- Other emissions metrics
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.4

If you selected disclosure on emissions risks, list any specific climate related disclosure tools or frameworks that you used.

Greenhouse gas emissions intensity

SG 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------

SG 15.1

Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

Yes

SG 15.2

Indicate the percentage of your total AUM invested in environmental and social themed areas.

%

0.2

SG 15.3

Specify which thematic area(s) you invest in, indicate the percentage of your AUM in the particular asset class and provide a brief description.

Area

- Energy efficiency / Clean technology
- Renewable energy
- Green buildings
- Sustainable forestry
- Sustainable agriculture
- Microfinance
- SME financing
- Social enterprise / community investing
- Affordable housing
- Education
- Global health
- Water
- Other area, specify

Sustainable

Asset class invested

- Listed equity

% of AUM

0.2

Brief description and measures of investment

Sustainable Future Strategies which includes negative screens in relation to:

- Fossil fuels
- Alcohol
- Tobacco
- Weapons
- Forestry activities
- Gambling activities
- Human right violations
- Unhealthy fast food

No

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested</p>

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other information</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested</p>

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions <input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested

Perennial Value Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1


Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 99.8
---	--

- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 0.2
---	---

- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

The majority of Perennial's equity investments use an integration strategy to incorporate ESG as we believe this strategy is the most appropriate and meaningful way to integrate ESG considerations to our investment process. This strategy places the integration of ESG on the same level as other important key metrics that are considered when analysing sound companies to invest in.

Newly established Sustainable Future Strategies applies all 3 strategies given the investment process of these funds

LEI 02	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEI 02.1	Indicate what ESG information you use in your ESG incorporation strategies and who provides this information.
----------	---

Type of ESG information

- Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Company-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Sector-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Country-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Screened stock list

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Other, specify

LEI 02.2	Indicate if you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research.
-----------------	--

Yes

LEI 02.3	Describe how you incentivise brokers.
-----------------	---------------------------------------

Brokers are incentivised to provide ESG research by two methods:

1. A factor in the Annual Broker review is an assessment of the ESG research a broker provided over the previous year. This is a factor in determining the percentage of brokerage that will be allocated to the broker over the upcoming year. Other factors that form the end rating include level of service, quality of company analysis etc.
2. Brokers can also be incentivised and rewarded for quality ESG research by tagging them in trades. An example of when this may occur is if a broker was to provide a high quality report on ESG which may be company, sector or country specific.

No

LEI 03	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.
-----------------	---

- Engagement
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.
- (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
-----------------	--

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

Sustainable Future Strategy uses a negative screen as described in section SG 15.3

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
----------	--

We notify clients/beneficiaries in accordance with our compliance procedure.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.
----------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Review and evaluation of external research providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 06**Voluntary****Public****Additional Assessed****PRI 1****LEI 06.1**

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure fund criteria are not breached.

- Systematic checks are performed to ensure that stocks meet the funds' screening criteria.
- Automated IT systems prevent investment managers from investing in excluded stocks or those that do not meet positive screening criteria.
- Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit function
- Periodic auditing/checking of the organisations RI funds by external party
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 06.2

If breaches of fund screening criteria are identified - describe the process followed to correct those breaches.

In accordance with compliance procedures

(B) Implementation: Thematic**LEI 07****Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 07.1**

Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

LEI 07.2

Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]

Sustainable Future strategy incorporates all themes including the below,




Fossil fuels
 Alcohol
 Tobacco
 Weapons
 Forestry activities
 Gambling activities
 Human right violations
 Unhealthy fast food

We also seek to invest in companies that make a positive contribution to create a sustainable future.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 08.1 Indicate the ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis and the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 <p>Environmental</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Social	 <p>Social</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Corporate Governance	 <p>Corporate Governance</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90% </p>

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 09.1

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on a robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly.
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 09.5

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 10**Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 10.1

Indicate which aspects of investment analysis you integrate material ESG information into.

- Economic analysis
- Industry analysis

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Quality of management

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Analysis of company strategy

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Portfolio weighting

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Sensitivity and/or scenario analysis

Fair value/fundamental analysis

Other, specify

LEI 10.3 Describe how you integrate ESG information into portfolio weighting.

ESG factors are one of the matters taken into account by portfolio managers when determining portfolio weightings.

Outputs and outcomes

LEI 12	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 12.1	Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.
-----------------	---

- Screening
- Thematic
- Integration of ESG factors

Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration:
--

- Reduce or prioritise the investment universe
- Overweight/underweight at sector level
- Overweight/underweight at stock level
- Buy/sell decisions
- Engagement / Voting
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 13	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 13.1	Provide examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view and/or performance during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- ESG factor 1

	ESG factor and explanation
<p>A healthcare holding ("the company") received a takeover offer from a smaller competitor. This bid was ultimately rejected by the company. Both Perennial and the Board of the company viewed the offer as too opportunistic and detrimental to shareholders, employees and patients. We had low conviction that a merged entity would deliver any material synergies. We were also concerned that senior management may have become distracted if the takeover went ahead, potentially affecting the quality of service delivered to patients. We engaged heavily with the board and we were impressed by their level of engagement and ensuring the takeover did not occur.</p>	
	ESG incorporation strategy applied
<p>Thematic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thematic <input type="checkbox"/> Integration 	
	Impact on investment decision or performance
<p>The experience was indicative of the company having a strong board and good governance. We increased our stake in the company on the back of this.</p>	

- ESG factor 2

ESG factor and explanation
We engaged with a company with significant hydrocarbons operations to obtain insight into their emissions. The Managing Director did not have a figure for greenhouse gas emissions and appeared to not have any process in place to determine it.
ESG incorporation strategy applied
Thematic <input type="checkbox"/> Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thematic <input type="checkbox"/> Integration
Impact on investment decision or performance
Chose not to invest in company.

ESG factor 3

ESG factor and explanation
We had discussions with a listed retailer over CY2018 in relation to their supply chain. The company has been active in ensuring a supply chain that is sustainable. From our discussions with management, we have learned about the retailer having Oeko-Tex certification and employing processes to review factories. This engagement has continued in CY2019, with the company flagging the plans and processes they have in place in relation to the Modern Slavery Act.
ESG incorporation strategy applied
Thematic <input type="checkbox"/> Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thematic <input type="checkbox"/> Integration
Impact on investment decision or performance
Stock held in portfolio

ESG factor 4

ESG factor 5

LEI 13.2	Additional information.[Optional]
----------	-----------------------------------

These examples allowed us to make better informed investment decisions.

Perennial Value Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy.

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to active ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach

Engagement

- ESG issues
- Prioritisation of engagement
- Method of engagement
- Transparency of engagement activities
- Due diligence and monitoring process
- Insider information
- Escalation strategies
- Service Provider specific criteria
- Other specify;
- (Proxy) voting approach

Voting

- ESG issues
 - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
 - Methods of voting
 - Transparency of voting activities
 - Regional voting practice approaches
 - Filing or co-filing resolutions
 - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
 - Decision-making processes
 - Securities lending processes
 - Other specify;
 - Other
 - None of the above
- No

LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes
- No

Engagement

LEA 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.
----------	---

Yes

LEA 03.2	Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Individual / Internal engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Internal / Individual engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography / market of the companies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of the ESG factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Client request <input type="checkbox"/> Breaches of international norms <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements.
Collaborative engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Collaborative engagements</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues from other investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ability to add value to the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred <input type="checkbox"/> Responses to divestment pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-up from a voting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Alleviate the resource burden of engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with clients/beneficiaries <input type="checkbox"/> Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagements.

No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1	Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.
----------	--

Individual / Internal engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1 Indicate if you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.

Individual / Internal engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes via collaborative engagement activities.

LEA 05.2 Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
---	--

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 06.1 Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

LEA 06.2

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other, specify

Writing to company management or the board of directors; meeting with the chair or the lead independent director

No

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.2

Indicate the practices used to ensure information and insights collected through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEA 08.1 Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 09	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	---	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 09.1 Indicate the proportion of companies from your listed equities portfolio with which your organisation engaged with during the reporting year.

	We did not complete any engagements in the reporting year.	Number of companies engaged (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)	Proportion of companies engaged with, out of total listed equities portfolio
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/>	1211	100

LEA 09.2 Indicate the proportion breakdown of engagements conducted within the reporting year by the number of interactions (including interactions made on your behalf)

No. of interactions with a company	% of engagements
One interaction	<input type="radio"/> >76% <input type="radio"/> 51-75% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 11-50% <input type="radio"/> 1-10% <input type="radio"/> None
2 to 3 interactions	<input type="radio"/> >76% <input type="radio"/> 51-75% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 11-50% <input type="radio"/> 1-10% <input type="radio"/> None
More than 3 interactions	<input type="radio"/> >76% <input type="radio"/> 51-75% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 11-50% <input type="radio"/> 1-10% <input type="radio"/> None
Total	100%

LEA 10	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 10.1

Indicate which of the following your engagement involved.

- Letters and emails to companies
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with board/senior management
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with the CSR, IR or other management
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Visits to operations
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Visits to the supplier(s) from the 'company's supply chain
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Participation in roadshows
 - In a minority of cases
 - In a majority of cases
 - In all cases
- Other

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**LEA 12****Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 2****LEA 12.1**

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy we sign off on
 - our own voting policy
 - our clients' requests or policies
 - other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We utilise a proxy voting platform called Viewpoint which allows us to track meetings and the manner in which we voted. This includes whether we have voted in line with management recommendations and CGI Glass Lewis recommendations.

The Viewpoint system allows us to review proxy voting and identify exceptions to the voting policy.

LEA 14

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 14.1

Indicate if your organisation has a securities lending programme.

- Yes
- No

LEA 14.2

Describe why your organisation does not lend securities.

It is against the values of the business as a long only value equity fund manager.

LEA 15

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 15.1

Indicate the proportion of votes where you or the service providers acting on your behalf have raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2 Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.

- Vote(s) for selected markets
- Vote(s) for selected sectors
- Vote(s) relating to certain ESG issues
- Vote(s) on companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Vote(s) for significant shareholdings
- On request by clients
- Other

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 16.1 Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which, you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, have communicated to companies the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.2 Indicate the reasons your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- Votes for selected markets
- Votes for selected sectors
- Votes relating to certain ESG issues
- Votes on companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- Votes for significant shareholdings
- On request by clients
- Other

LEA 16.3 In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for the abstention or the vote against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

- Yes
- No

LEA 17

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

LEA 17.1

For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

100

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

We do not track or collect this information

LEA 18	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEA 18.1

Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 10%; height: 20px; background-color: #ccc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div style="flex-grow: 1; border: 1px solid #ccc; background-color: #eee; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">%</div> </div> <p>92.3</p>
Against (opposing) management recommendations	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 10%; height: 20px; background-color: #ccc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div style="flex-grow: 1; border: 1px solid #ccc; background-color: #eee; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">%</div> </div> <p>6.2</p>
Abstentions	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 10%; height: 20px; background-color: #ccc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div style="flex-grow: 1; border: 1px solid #ccc; background-color: #eee; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">%</div> </div> <p>1.5</p>

100%

No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3	In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies you have engaged.
-----------------	---

64

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEA 19.2	Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following abstentions and/or votes against management.
-----------------	---

- Contacting the company's board
- Contacting the company's senior management
- Issuing a public statement explaining the rationale
- Initiating individual/collaborative engagement
- Directing service providers to engage
- Reducing exposure (holdings) / divestment
- Other

LEA 20	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 20.1	Indicate if your organisation directly or through a service provider filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- Yes
- No

LEA 20.6	Describe whether your organisation reviews ESG shareholder resolutions filed by other investors.
-----------------	--

We review all resolutions

LEA 21	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 21.1	Provide examples of the (proxy) voting activities that your organisation and/or service provider carried out during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- Add Example 1

61

ESG Topic	<p>Climate Change, Other governance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Executive Remuneration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate Change <input type="checkbox"/> Human rights <input type="checkbox"/> Company leadership issues <input type="checkbox"/> Pollution <input type="checkbox"/> General ESG <input type="checkbox"/> Diversity <input type="checkbox"/> Shareholder rights <input type="checkbox"/> Health and Safety <input type="checkbox"/> Sustainability reporting <input type="checkbox"/> Water risks <input type="checkbox"/> Labour practices and supply chain management <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-bribery and corruption <input type="checkbox"/> Deforestation <input type="checkbox"/> Aggressive tax planning <input type="checkbox"/> Cyber security <input type="checkbox"/> Political spending / lobbying <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other governance <input type="checkbox"/> Plastics <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Conducted by	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual/Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider
Objectives	<p>Objectives: to encourage a major ASX listed energy company to disclose its positions and process in relation to public policy advocacy through industry associations, particularly in relation to climate change and energy policy</p>
Scope and Process	<p>Scope and Process:</p> <p>Proposal: shareholder proposal to require the company to disclose its positions and its process regarding public policy advocacy through industry associations, with the proposal relating to climate change and energy policy</p> <p>Proponent: not-for-profit association focused on responsible investment</p> <p>Mgmt Rec: Against</p> <p>Vote: For</p> <p>For/Against Mgmt: Against</p>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Company changed practice <input type="checkbox"/> Company committed to change

<input type="checkbox"/> Disclosure / report published <input type="checkbox"/> Divestment <input type="checkbox"/> Failed/no outcome <input type="checkbox"/> Increased understanding / information <input type="checkbox"/> Invested in company <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing <input type="checkbox"/> Voting <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Add Example 2

ESG Topic	<p>Executive Remuneration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executive Remuneration <input type="checkbox"/> Climate Change <input type="checkbox"/> Human rights <input type="checkbox"/> Company leadership issues <input type="checkbox"/> Pollution <input type="checkbox"/> General ESG <input type="checkbox"/> Diversity <input type="checkbox"/> Shareholder rights <input type="checkbox"/> Health and Safety <input type="checkbox"/> Sustainability reporting <input type="checkbox"/> Water risks <input type="checkbox"/> Labour practices and supply chain management <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-bribery and corruption <input type="checkbox"/> Deforestation <input type="checkbox"/> Aggressive tax planning <input type="checkbox"/> Cyber security <input type="checkbox"/> Political spending / lobbying <input type="checkbox"/> Other governance <input type="checkbox"/> Plastics <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Conducted by	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual/Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider
Objectives	<p>Objectives: to encourage an improved and fairer remuneration structure for a major ASX listed company. The company's performance had been consistently weak and our view was that remuneration was excessive.</p>
Scope and Process	<p>Scope and Process:</p> <p>Proposal: to vote in favour of the Remuneration Report for the year ended 30 June 2018</p> <p>Proponent: Management</p> <p>Mgmt Rec: For</p> <p>Vote: Against</p> <p>For/Against Mgmt: Against</p>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Company changed practice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Company committed to change <input type="checkbox"/> Disclosure / report published <input type="checkbox"/> Divestment <input type="checkbox"/> Failed/no outcome <input type="checkbox"/> Increased understanding / information

	<input type="checkbox"/> Invested in company <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing <input type="checkbox"/> Voting <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	---

Add Example 3

ESG Topic	<p>Company leadership issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Executive Remuneration <input type="checkbox"/> Climate Change <input type="checkbox"/> Human rights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Company leadership issues <input type="checkbox"/> Pollution <input type="checkbox"/> General ESG <input type="checkbox"/> Diversity <input type="checkbox"/> Shareholder rights <input type="checkbox"/> Health and Safety <input type="checkbox"/> Sustainability reporting <input type="checkbox"/> Water risks <input type="checkbox"/> Labour practices and supply chain management <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-bribery and corruption <input type="checkbox"/> Deforestation <input type="checkbox"/> Aggressive tax planning <input type="checkbox"/> Cyber security <input type="checkbox"/> Political spending / lobbying <input type="checkbox"/> Other governance <input type="checkbox"/> Plastics <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Conducted by	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual/Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider
Objectives	Objectives: to not re-elect a particular Director
Scope and Process	<p>Scope and Process: Proposal: elect Director Proponent: Management Mgmt Rec: For Vote: Against For/Against Mgmt: Against</p>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Company changed practice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Company committed to change <input type="checkbox"/> Disclosure / report published <input type="checkbox"/> Divestment <input type="checkbox"/> Failed/no outcome <input type="checkbox"/> Increased understanding / information <input type="checkbox"/> Invested in company

	<input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing <input type="checkbox"/> Voting <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	---

- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10

Perennial Value Management Limited

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General****CM1 07.1**

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- RI/ESG Team

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Investment Teams

What data has been verified

- Policies
- Overarching strategy and processes
- Asset class specific processes
- Quantitative data related to RI processes
- Other

Relevant modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance
- Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation
- Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)