



Mandatory LEA 02		Discretionary Mandatory	
Type of engagement	Individual/ internal staff engagements	Collaborative engagements	Service provider engagements
Reason for interaction		Reason for interaction	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to allocate capital <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify _____ <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to allocate capital <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify _____ <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transparency for identifying the need to allocate capital <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify _____ <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service provider	

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2017

Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2017 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04		✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	-	n/a							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
LEI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	✓	Private							✓
LEI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Types of screening applied	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	ESG issues in index construction	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 15	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 17	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation	✓	Public		✓					✓
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	🔒	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	🔒	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Private	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 17	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Confirmation of votes	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 25	Shareholder resolutions	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 27	Disclosing voting activities	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic Information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services you offer.

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products

Other, specify

Execution and advisory services

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Japan

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

218

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------



**OO 04.1** Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/03/2016

**OO 04.2** Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, excluding subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM	25	356	700	000	000
Currency	JPY				
Assets in USD		222	817	781	807

**OO 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**OO 06.1** How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	<10%
Fixed income	10-50%	<10%
Private equity	0	<10%
Property	<10%	<10%
Infrastructure	0	<10%
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	0	<10%
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0

Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

**OO 06.2** Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

### Gateway asset class implementation indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

**OO 10.1** Select the direct or indirect ESG incorporation activities your organisation implemented for listed equities in the reporting year.

- We incorporate ESG in our investment decisions on our internally managed assets
- We address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
- We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

**OO 10.2** Select the direct or indirect engagement activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year.

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers
- We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG issues on our behalf
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

**OO 10.3** Select the direct or indirect voting activities your organisation implemented for listed equity in the reporting year

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We require our external managers to vote on our behalf
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

**OO 11.1** Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income – securitised
- Property
- Commodities
- Cash
- None of the above

OO 11.3a

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your internally managed assets, explain why not.

We're considering correspondence at present.

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes where you addressed ESG incorporation and/or active ownership in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes (during the reporting year)

- Fixed income – SSA
- Fixed income – corporate (financial)
- Fixed income – corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income – securitised
- Private equity
- Property
- Infrastructure
- Hedge funds
- None of the above

# Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Strategy and Governance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.4

Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

- UN Global Compact Principles
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- International Bill of Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify (1)

other (1) description

stewardship code

- Other, specify (2)
- Other, specify (3)
- None of the above
- No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

<b>SG 02.1</b>	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

- Policy setting out your overall approach

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

- URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/stewardship.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- Engagement policy

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

- URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/stewardship.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- (Proxy) voting policy

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

- URL

URL

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai\\_giketsuken.pdf](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai_giketsuken.pdf)

Attachment (will be made public)

We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

<b>SG 03</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

**SG 03.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

**SG 03.2** Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

<https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/stewardship.pdf>

No

## Objectives and strategies

<b>SG 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

**SG 05.1** Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not reviewed

## Governance and human resources

<b>SG 07</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

**SG 07.1** Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

**Roles present in your organisation**

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
  - General Manager**
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Portfolio managers
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Investment analysts
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
  - Dedicated responsible investment staff
    - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
    - Implementation of responsible investment
    - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

**SG 07.3** Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

1

**Promoting responsible investment**

<b>SG 09</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 4,5</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**



**SG 09.1**

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

**Select all that apply**

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ESG Research Australia
- Eumedion
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**SG 10.1** Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

**SG 10.2** Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
- Other, specify

No

### Implementation not in other modules

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 12.1** Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

**SG 12.2** Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

- We do the following
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**SG 16.1**

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

<b>Asset Class</b>	<b>Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved</b>
Fixed income - SSA	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Fixed income - Securitised	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Private equity	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Property	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Infrastructure	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.
Hedge funds	We are considering to introduce due diligence based on the ESG point of view.

# Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd

## Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Communication

LEI 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

**LEI 17.1** Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your approach to ESG incorporation in listed equity.

- We disclose it publicly
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

# Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Engagement

### Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

Yes

LEA 01.2	Indicate what your engagement policy covers:
----------	--

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of engagements
- Transparency
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Engagements following on from decisions
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 01.3	Attach or provide a URL to your engagement policy. [Optional]
----------	---

	URL
--	-----

<https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/stewardship.pdf>

LEA 01.4	Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement
----------	--

<https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/stewardship.pdf>

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/stewardship\\_katsudo.html](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/stewardship_katsudo.html)

No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
----------	--



Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
<b>Service provider engagements</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

## Process

### Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 03.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

**LEA 03.2**

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Geography/market of the companies targeted
  - Materiality of ESG factors
  - Systemic risks to global portfolios
  - Exposure (holdings)
  - In reaction to ESG impacts which has already taken place
  - As a response to divestment pressure
  - As a follow-up from a voting decision
  - Client request
  - Other, describe
- No

**LEA 04****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 04.1**

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.

- Yes
  - Yes, for all engagement activities
  - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
  - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

**LEA 04.2**

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

- Yes
  - Yes, in all cases
  - Yes, in the majority of cases
  - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

**LEA 04.3**

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes

**LEA 04.4**

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Define timelines for milestones and goals
  - Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
  - Establish a process for when the goals are not met
  - Revisit and, if necessary revise goals on continuous basis
  - Other, please specify
- No

### General processes for all three groups of engagers

**LEA 10****Mandatory****Public****Gateway****PRI 2****LEA 10.1**

Indicate if you track the number of engagements your organisation participates in.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

### Communication

**LEA 16****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2,6****LEA 16.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements.

- We disclose it publicly

provide URL

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/stewardship\\_katsudo.html](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/stewardship_katsudo.html)

**LEA 16.2**

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

- Yes  
 No

**LEA 16.3**

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

**LEA 16.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to the public.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

**LEA 16.5**

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

- Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- Number of engagements
- Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- Breakdown of engagements by region
- An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- Other information

**LEA 16.6**

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
  - Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
  - Disclosed biannually
  - Disclosed annually
  - Disclosed less frequently than annually
  - Ad hoc/when requested
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

**(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions**

## Overview

LEA 17

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 1,2,3

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 17.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

Yes

LEA 17.2

Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Transparency
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Share blocking
- Regional voting practices
- Record keeping
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Securities lending process
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 17.3

Attach or provide a URL to your voting policy. [Optional]

URL

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai\\_giketsuken.pdf](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai_giketsuken.pdf)

LEA 17.4

Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai\\_giketsuken.pdf](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai_giketsuken.pdf)

<https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/stewardship.pdf>

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/stewardship\\_katsudo.html](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/stewardship_katsudo.html)

LEA 17.5

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai\\_giketsuken.pdf](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/kokunai_giketsuken.pdf)

No

## Process

LEA 18

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 18.1

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 22

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 22.1

Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

<b>LEA 22.2</b>	Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

**Outputs and outcomes**

<b>LEA 23</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>LEA 23.1</b>	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	--

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

100

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

**LEA 23.2** Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings




- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- We do not vote on environmental resolutions
- We do not vote on social resolutions
- On request by clients
- Other
- We do not track or collect this information

**LEA 24** **Mandatory** **Public** **Additional Assessed** **PRI 2**

**LEA 24.1** Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

**LEA 24.2** Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	 89
Against (opposing) management recommendations	 11
Abstentions	 0

100%

**LEA 24.3** Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

If there is a request from a company, we explain our policy of proxy voting at the meeting.



No, we do not track this information

## Communication

LEA 27

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 27.1

Indicate if your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities.

We disclose it publicly

provide URL

[https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/giketsuken\\_koushi2015.pdf](https://www.mizuho-tb.co.jp/corporate/unyou/pdf/giketsuken_koushi2015.pdf)

LEA 27.2

Indicate if the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries.

Yes

LEA 27.3

Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- Some voting decisions
- Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

**LEA 27.4**

Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/as requested
  
- No
- We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries