



LEA 02	Discretionary Mandate	Gateway
<p>By identifying specific ESG risks and opportunities, investors can understand the impact of their investments on the environment, society, and governance. This understanding is essential for making informed investment decisions and for managing the risks and opportunities associated with their investments.</p> <p>Investors should engage with the companies in their portfolios to understand the risks and opportunities associated with their investments. This engagement should be based on the investor's values and the needs of the beneficiaries of the investments.</p>	<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage with internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage with collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage with service providers</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG advisors</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</p>

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Greentech Capital Advisors, LLC

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive, active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 13	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 14	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Public	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 15	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 16	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 18	Confirmation of votes	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 24	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Assurance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							
CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.4, 10-12	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.5	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.7	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Greentech Capital Advisors, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products
- Other, specify

Further options for investment managers (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Execution and advisory services
- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United States

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

60

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

Yes

No

OO 04

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2017

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			630	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			630	000	000

OO 04.4

Indicate the total assets at the end of your reporting year subject to an execution and/or advisory approach.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			630	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD			630	000	000

OO 06

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	100	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0

Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 09	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Peering	General
--------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50 %
Emerging, Frontier and Other Markets	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50 %
Total	100%

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

Closing module

OO 12.2 Additional information. [Optional]

N/A

Peering questions

OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	---	--------	---------	---------

OO LE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

	Passive	0
	Active - quantitative (quant)	0
	Active - fundamental and active - other	100
	Total	100%

Greentech Capital Advisors, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change and related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4	Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.
----------------	--

The GCA Sustainable Growth Fund invests in sustainable energy, food, and water companies, and greater emphasis is placed on the environmental component of ESG. Our coverage universe was specifically crafted to include both pure-play companies providing sustainable products and services, as well as legacy companies with >50% of revenue from sustainability initiatives. We look for companies whose products promote resource efficiency.

The GCA Emerging Markets Sustainable Growth Fund invests in a concentrated portfolio of companies that embrace robust environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards across emerging and frontier markets. The coverage universe includes thematic sectors aligned with UN Sustainable Development Goals including Climate Change, Health & Nutrition, Water & Waste Management, Financial Inclusion, and Communication & Education.

Once a company is deemed to be within the coverage universe, the portfolios are constructed of companies that offer the best risk-adjusted return potential. In determining risk-adjusted returns, ESG assessments factor into our estimate of a company's cost of capital and therefore the intrinsic value of the security. Companies with high ESG assessments will have lower costs of capital and therefore higher valuations than those with low ESG assessments.

No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

- Policy setting out your overall approach
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- Sector specific RI guidelines
- Screening / exclusions policy
- Engagement policy
- (Proxy) voting policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2	Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	---

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

- URL

URL

<https://www.greentechcapital.com/investment-management/>

Attachment

Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<https://www.greentechcapital.com/investment-management/>

Attachment

- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate-related issues
- Climate change and related issues
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

Yes

URL

<https://www.greentechcapital.com/investment-management/>

No

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

- The firm has rigorous procedures and controls in place to avoid conflicts of interest:
- > Clear disclosure in fund offering documents and presentation material of potential conflicts
 - > Distinct business lines housed in separate legal entities

- > Physical segregation of employees to prevent informal exchange of information
- > Fund team in separate office space or in segregated space at GCA with secure key-card access for each business group
- > Physical segregation of company files (electronic and physical)
- > "Need to Know" policy in place
- > Conflict Review Committee, comprised of Managing Partner, Chief Operating Officer and Compliance Manager

No

SG 04	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 04.1	Indicate if your organisation has a process for identifying and managing incidents that occur within portfolio companies.
----------------	---

Yes

No

SG 04.2	Describe your process on managing incidents
----------------	---

On each business day, the Compliance Team compiles a post-trade Compliance Report detailing compliance with the established investment guideline constraints and tolerances for each Fund. Any deviation detected will be highlighted in the report. Our Compliance Team and Accounting Team investigate any investment guideline breach or deviation.

Once a breach or deviation has been identified, the Compliance Team will commence a specific workflow to monitor that the appropriate actions are taken.

The exposure parameters are reviewed daily and if a parameter is breached the Chief Operating Officer and the Compliance Manager will force the PM to close down or reduce the position. If required, the Chief Operating Officer can close down positions.

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

Quarterly or more frequently

Biannually

Annually

Less frequently than annually

Ad-hoc basis

It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

All portfolios managed at GCA are ESG integrated funds. The investment teams embed responsible investment into their decision in making processes and fundamental analysis.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

5

SG 08	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	----------------

SG 08.1	Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes have a responsible investment element.
----------------	---

Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Portfolio managers

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Investment analysts

- Responsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
- Responsible investment included in appraisal process
- Variable pay linked to responsible investment performance
- Responsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
- None of the above

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

We attended PRI in person in Berlin.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
 - Moderate
 - Advanced
- CDP Forests
 - CDP Water
 - CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
 - Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
 - Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
 - Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
 - Eumedion
 - Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
 - ESG Research Australia
 - EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
 - Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
 - Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

We've spoken on panels at numerous Ceres events. We are in active discussions around partnering for the Global Action Summit 2018.

- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SASB

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

	Description
--	-------------

Spoke at WEF and CERES conferences in this regard. We also published two op-eds on this topic.

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

	Description
--	-------------

Spoke at WEF and CERES conferences in this regard.

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment

Description

Wrote an Op-Ed for P &I, WEF and Bloomberg on these topics in the past year.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
 - Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

Description

Wrote an Op-Ed for P &I, WEF and Bloomberg on these topics in the past year.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
 - Other, specify
- No

SG 11	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	------------------

SG 11.1	Indicate if your organisation - individually or in collaboration with others - conducted dialogue with public policy makers or regulators in support of responsible investment in the reporting year.
----------------	---

- Yes
 - Yes, individually
 - Yes, in collaboration with others

SG 11.2

Select the methods you have used.

- Endorsed written submissions to governments, regulators or public policy-makers developed by others
- Drafted your own written submissions to governments, regulators or public-policy markers
- Participated in face-to-face meetings with government members or officials to discuss policy
- Other, specify

SG 11.3

Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.

- Yes, publicly available
- No
- No

SG 11.4

Provide a brief description of the main topics your organisation has engaged with public policy-makers or regulators on.

- The need for standardized sustainability metrics and disclosure across all industries
- The need for investors to utilize sustainability metrics before making investment decisions
- Increasing foreign share holder limits (emerging markets)
- Transparency and disclosure of key financial and sustainability related metrics at company level
- Corporate governance related matters (e.g. Independent Board)

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities

Is this scenario analysis based on a 2°C or lower scenario?

- Yes
- No
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 14

Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 1

SG 14.1

Describe the process used to identify short, medium and long-term risks and opportunities that could have a material impact on your organisation and its activities.

N/A

SG 14.2

Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following you act on.

- Changing demographics
- Climate change and related issues

SG 14.3

Indicate which of the following activities you have undertaken to respond to climate change risk and opportunity

- Established a climate change sensitive or climate change integrated asset allocation strategy
- Targeted low carbon or climate resilient investments
- Reduced portfolio exposure to emissions intensive or fossil fuel holdings
- Used emissions data or analysis to inform investment decision making
- Sought climate change integration by companies
- Sought climate supportive policy from governments
- Other, specify
- None of the above

SG 14.4

Indicate which of the following tools you use to manage emissions risks and opportunities

- Scenario analysis
 - Disclosure on emissions risk to clients/trustees/management/beneficiaries
 - Climate-related targets
 - Encourage internal and/or external portfolio managers to monitor emissions risk
 - Emissions risk monitoring and reporting are formalised into contracts when appointing managers
 - Weighted average carbon intensity
 - Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)
 - Portfolio carbon footprint
 - Total carbon emissions
 - Carbon intensity
 - Exposure to carbon-related assets
 - Other emissions metrics
 - Other, specify
 - None of the above
- Resource scarcity
 - Technology developments
 - Other, specify(1)
 - Other, specify(2)
 - None of the above

SG 14.5

If you selected disclosure on emissions risks, list any specific climate related disclosure tools or frameworks that you used.

N/A

SG 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 15.1

Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.

Yes

SG 15.2

Indicate the percentage of your total AUM invested in environmental and social themed areas.

%

100

SG 15.3

Specify which thematic area(s) you invest in, indicate the percentage of your AUM in the particular asset class and provide a brief description.

Area

Clean technology (including renewable energy)

Asset class invested

Listed equity

Brief description and measures of investment

All portfolios managed at GCA are ESG integrated funds. The investment teams embed responsible investment into their decision in making processes and fundamental analysis.

Green buildings

Asset class invested

Listed equity

Sustainable forestry

Asset class invested

Listed equity

Sustainable agriculture

Asset class invested

Listed equity

Microfinance

SME financing

Social enterprise / community investing

Affordable housing

Asset class invested

- Listed equity
- Education

Asset class invested

- Listed equity
- Global health

Asset class invested

- Listed equity
- Water

Asset class invested

- Listed equity
- Other area, specify

Waste Management, Transportation, Transmission and Distribution, Business Services, Energy Generation, Energy Storage

Asset class invested

- Listed equity

No

Innovation

SG 18	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

SG 18.1	Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.
----------------	--

Yes

SG 18.2	Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.
----------------	---

The GCA Sustainable Growth Fund invests in companies that are solving constraints around energy, food and water, so we place the greatest emphasis on the environmental component of ESG. We not only invest in pure-play companies, but also companies making the migration to providing these sustainable products/services. We require that 50% of revenue come from sustainability initiatives and that this component of overall revenue be growing faster than the corporate average.

The GCA Emerging Markets Sustainable Growth Fund invests in companies that embrace robust ESG standards across emerging and frontier markets. We believe that emerging and frontier markets will be the engines of global growth in the next century. At the same time, they face considerable challenges related to climate change, urbanization, pollution, disease, governance, infrastructure deficits and institutional capacity. By focusing on material ESG factors and combining rigorous bottom-up fundamental analysis with macroeconomic factors, we identify drivers of growth and profitability while mitigating risk.

No

Communication

SG 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.
----------------	---

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Explain all voting decisions</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Explain some voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Only explain abstentions and votes against management</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No explanations provided</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested</p>

Greentech Capital Advisors, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes


LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 01.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)
-----------------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 100
---	---

- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.
-----------------	---

We believe that companies that embrace robust environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards will achieve strong and sustainable growth and profitability over the long term.

The GCA Sustainable Growth Fund invests in companies that are solving constraints around energy, food and water, so we place the greatest emphasis on the environmental component of ESG. We not only invest in pure-play companies, but also companies making the migration to providing these sustainable products/services. We require that 50% of revenue come from sustainability initiatives and that this component of overall revenue be growing faster than the corporate average.

The GCA Emerging Markets Sustainable Growth Fund invests in companies that embrace robust ESG standards across emerging and frontier markets. We believe that emerging and frontier markets will be the engines of global growth in the next century. At the same time, they face considerable challenges related to climate change, urbanization, pollution, disease, governance, infrastructure deficits and institutional capacity.

By focusing on material ESG factors and combining rigorous bottom-up fundamental analysis with macroeconomic factors, we identify drivers of growth and profitability while mitigating risk.

LEI 02	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 02.1	Indicate what ESG information you use in your ESG incorporation strategies and who provides this information.
-----------------	---

Type of ESG information

- Raw ESG company data

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Company-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Sector-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Country-related analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Screened stock list

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- ESG issue-specific analysis or ratings

Indicate who provides this information

- ESG research provider
- Sell-side
- In-house – specialised ESG analyst or team
- In-house – analyst or portfolio manager
- Other, specify

LEI 02.2	Provide a brief description of the ESG information used, highlighting any different sources of information across your ESG incorporation strategies.
-----------------	--

We assign each company a grade (high, medium, low) for its environmental, social and governance profile, but we do not employ a rigid, quantitative ESG scoring methodology to evaluate a company's sustainability and determine inclusion and sizing in a portfolio. This approach doesn't work for the team for several reasons:

1. The limited disclosure of ESG data in our investment universe makes it difficult to score or rank companies in absolute or relative terms.
2. We feel that many ESG factors are qualitative; and while we try to incorporate these into our model assumptions and decision-making, we don't believe that translating them into an abstract quantitative score helps us make better investment decisions.
3. Scoring is almost by definition backward-looking. We see some of the most exciting investment opportunities among companies that are at a sustainability inflection point. Such companies may not have been doing everything right in the past, but as they embrace value-creating ESG practices and we can get some visibility into the implementation of these changes, we want to be able to take advantage of this change over our long-term holding period.

LEI 02.3	Indicate if you incentivise brokers to provide ESG research.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEI 03	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a process through which information derived from ESG engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities is made available for use in investment decision-making.
-----------------	---

- Engagement
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.
- (Proxy) voting
 - We have a systematic process to ensure the information is made available.
 - We occasionally make this information available.
 - We do not make this information available.

(B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 07	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 07.1	Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.
-----------------	---

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes




LEI 07.2	Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]
-----------------	--

The whole firm is dedicated to sustainability and ESG investing. All of our funds incorporate ESG and thematic portfolios that address sustainability.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 08	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 08.1	Indicate which ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis and the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis.
-----------------	---

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 Environmental <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%
Social	 Social <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%
Corporate Governance	 Corporate Governance <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> 51-90% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >90%

LEI 09	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEI 09.1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on a robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products

LEI 09.2 Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies

Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly.

A periodic review of the internal research is carried out

LEI 09.4 | Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 09.5 | Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 10	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	--	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 10.1 | Indicate which aspects of investment analysis you integrate material ESG information into.

- Economic analysis

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 11-50%
- 51-90%
- 90%
- Industry analysis

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 11-50%
- 51-90%
- 90%

Quality of management

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 11-50%
- 51-90%
- 90%

Analysis of company strategy

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 11-50%
- 51-90%
- 90%

Portfolio weighting

Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis

- <10%
- 11-50%
- 51-90%
- 90%

LEI 10.2a

Indicate which methods are part of your process to integrate ESG information into fair value/fundamental analysis and/or portfolio construction.

- Adjustments to forecasted company financials (sales, operating costs, earnings, cash flows)
- Adjustments to valuation tools (discount rates, terminal value)
- Other adjustments to fair value projections, specify

LEI 10.3

Describe how you integrate ESG information into portfolio weighting.

We manage high conviction, concentrated portfolios of companies that meet high standards across the E, S and G. Companies that not only meet our ESG standards, but also present high adjusted risk / reward ratios over our holding period, often represent top 10 positions (as a percentage of AUM) in the portfolios and are sized accordingly.

LEI 10.4a	Describe the methods you have used to adjust the income forecast / valuation tool
------------------	---

- The teams focus on material factors that impact valuation and quantify them if possible. These conclusions are then incorporated into the valuation model (impact on growth, margins, cost of capital)
- The teams run probability weighted scenario analysis or adjustments to discount rates (e.g. explicit corporate governance discount)

Fair value/fundamental analysis

LEI 10.4b	Proportion of actively managed listed equity exposed to investment analysis
------------------	---

- <10%
- 11-50%
- 51-90%
- 90%

LEI 10.2b	Indicate which methods are part of your process to integrate ESG information into fair value/fundamental analysis and/or portfolio construction.
------------------	--

- Adjustments to forecasted company financials (sales, operating costs, earnings, cash flows)
- Adjustments to valuation tools (discount rates, terminal value)
- Other adjustments to fair value projections, specify

LEI 10.4b	Describe the methods you have used to adjust the income forecast / valuation tool
------------------	---

- The teams focus on material factors that impact valuation and quantify them if possible. These conclusions are then incorporated into the valuation model (impact on growth, margins, cost of capital)
- The teams run probability weighted scenario analysis or adjustments to discount rates (e.g. explicit corporate governance discount)

Other, specify

Outputs and outcomes				
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--

LEI 12	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 12.1	Indicate how your ESG incorporation strategies have influenced the composition of your portfolio(s) or investment universe.
-----------------	---

Thematic

Describe any alteration to your investment universe or other effects.

From inception, the GCA Funds were designed to capitalize on investment opportunities in both developed and emerging markets around ESG themes.

Integration of ESG issues

Select which of these effects followed your ESG integration:

- Reduce or prioritise the investment universe
- Overweight/underweight at sector level
- Overweight/underweight at stock level
- Buy/sell decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 13	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEI 13.1 Indicate whether your organisation measures how your approach to responsible investment in Listed Equity has affected your portfolio's financial and/or ESG performance.

We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' reputation

a) Funds' reputation

Describe the impact on:	Describe the impact	Which strategies were analysed?
Funds' reputation	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Positive <input type="radio"/> Negative <input type="radio"/> No impact	<p>Which strategies were analysed?</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thematic

We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance: return

b) Funds' financial performance: return

Describe the impact on:	Describe the impact	Which strategies were analysed?
Funds' financial performance: return	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Positive <input type="radio"/> Negative <input type="radio"/> No impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thematic

We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' financial performance: risk

c) Funds' financial performance: risk

Describe the impact on:	Describe the impact	Which strategies were analysed?
Funds' financial performance: risk	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Positive <input type="radio"/> Negative <input type="radio"/> No impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thematic

- We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts funds' ESG performance
- None of the above

LEI 13.2

Describe how you are able to determine these outcomes.

The GCA Funds' objective is to outperform their relevant indices over a market cycle by integrating ESG and by investing in key sectors that we believe are core to the transition to a low carbon economy. We regularly analyze our fund contribution and attribution relative to the market. We believe that by integrating ESG we are able to identify opportunities and mitigate risks.

LEI 14

Voluntary

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 14.1

Provide examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view and/or performance during the reporting year.

- ESG issue 1

ESG issue and explanation

- In our Sustainable Growth Fund, we require that a minimum of 20% of company revenues are derived from sustainability initiatives with a clear sight line to north of 50% over time. If a company fails or breaches that requirement, it could be considered for divestment from the portfolio.
- In our Emerging Markets portfolio, the team spends a lot of their efforts on corporate governance related matters at portfolio companies. If a company fails to respond to engagement efforts related to e.g. board composition, board compensation, transparency and disclosure, a company may be disqualified for inclusion in the portfolio.

ESG incorporation strategy applied

- Thematic
- Integration
- Combination of ESG incorporation strategies

Impact on investment decision or performance

All investment decisions spring from ESG analysis.

- ESG issue 2
- ESG issue 3
- ESG issue 4
- ESG issue 5

Greentech Capital Advisors, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

- Yes
 No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	---

Yes

LEA 03.2	Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	--

- Geography / market of the companies
- Materiality of ESG factors
- Systemic risks to global portfolios
- Exposure (holdings)
- In response to ESG impacts that have already occurred.
- As a response to divestment pressure
- Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
- Consultation with other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, trade unions etc.)
- As a follow-up from a voting decision
- Client request
- Other, describe

No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.
----------	--

Yes

- Yes, for all engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take during and following your engagements activities carried out by internal staff.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements

- Yes

LEA 05.2

Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements.

- Potential to learn from other investors
 - Ability to add value to the collaboration
 - Geography / market of the companies targeted by the collaboration
 - Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration
 - Systemic risks to global portfolios addressed by the collaboration
 - Exposure (holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration
 - In reaction to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred.
 - As a response to divestment pressure
 - As a follow-up from a voting decision
 - Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
 - Consultation with other stakeholders (i.e. NGOs, trade unions etc.)
 - Other, describe
- No

LEA 06**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1

Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

- Yes
 - Yes, for all engagement activities
 - Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
 - Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2

Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take during and following your collaborative engagements.

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 07.1

Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Yes
- No

LEA 08

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2,6

LEA 08.1

Do you monitor and review the outcomes of the engagement activities undertaken by your service providers on your behalf?

Please select all that apply

- Yes, periodically
- Yes, ad hoc basis
- We do not monitor or review them

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 09

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 1,2

LEA 09.1

Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 11	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	--	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 11.1 Indicate the amount of your listed equities portfolio with which your organisation engaged during the reporting year.

	Number of companies engaged (avoid double counting, see explanatory notes)	Proportion (to the nearest 5%)	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Number of companies engaged</div> 8	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Proportion (to the nearest 5%)</div> 10	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated</div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> of the total number of companies you hold <input type="radio"/> of the total value of your listed equity holdings
Collaborative engagements	2	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Proportion (to the nearest 5%)</div> 10	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated</div> <input type="radio"/> of the total number of companies you hold <input checked="" type="radio"/> of the total value of your listed equity holdings
Service provider engagements	0	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Proportion (to the nearest 5%)</div> 0	<div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px;">Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated</div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> of the total number of companies you hold <input type="radio"/> of the total value of your listed equity holdings

LEA 11.2	Indicate the proportion of engagements that involved multiple, substantive and detailed discussions or interactions with a company during the reporting year relating to ESG issue.
-----------------	---

Type of engagement	% Comprehensive engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> > 50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> None
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> None
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> None

LEA 11.3 Indicate the percentage of your collaborative engagements for which you were a leading organisation during the reporting year.

Type of engagement	% Leading role
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> None

LEA 11.4 Indicate the percentage of your service provider engagements that you had some involvement in during the reporting year.

Type of engagement	% of engagements with some involvement
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> None

LEA 12	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEA 12.1	Indicate which of the following your engagement involved.
-----------------	---

- Letters and emails to companies
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Meetings and/or calls with the appropriate team
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Visits to operations
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Participation in roadshows
 - In some cases
 - In majority cases
 - In all cases
- Other, specify

LEA 13	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEA 13.1	Indicate whether you track the number of cases during the reporting year in which a company changed its practices, or made a formal commitment to do so, following your organisation's and/or your service provider's engagement activities.
-----------------	--

- Yes
- No

LEA 14	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEA 14.1	Provide examples of the engagements that your organisation or your service provider carried out during the reporting year.
-----------------	--

- Add Example 1

ESG factors	<input type="checkbox"/> Environment <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple
ESG issue	Non-Exec Compensation
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual / Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Collaborative <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider
Objectives	Reduce egregious non-executive board member compensation.
Scope and Process	Engagement with company management to point out the effects of compensation to the company's bottom line and investor shareholder perception.
Outcomes	The Board voted to reduce non-executive board compensation accordingly.

Add Example 2

ESG factors	<input type="checkbox"/> Environment <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input type="checkbox"/> Governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple
ESG issue	Foreign shareholder limitations
Conducted by	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual / Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collaborative <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider
Objectives	Increase foreign shareholder limits.
Scope and Process	A group of fund investors, including GCA, wrote to the company and to the exchange to increase foreign shareholder limits on a specific company.
Outcomes	After several quarters, the company released additional shares for foreign investors.

Add Example 3

ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environment <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input type="checkbox"/> Governance <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple
ESG issue	Sustainability disclosures
Conducted by	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual / Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Collaborative <input type="checkbox"/> Service provider
Objectives	Assist company in creating a sustainability report for use in investor relations.
Scope and Process	Portfolio company approached GCA team to help better understand the types of metrics and milestones they should disclose to the investor community.
Outcomes	

- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-----------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 15.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.
----------	--

- Yes

LEA 15.2

Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Share blocking
- Securities lending process
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Regional voting practices
- Transparency of proxy voting activities
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 15.4

Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

We utilize an external proxy voting service provider. We receive automated alerts on preselected matters of importance (i.e. ESG) and vote accordingly on the service platform.

No

Process**LEA 16****Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 2****LEA 16.1**

Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain

LEA 16.2	Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).
-----------------	---

N/A

LEA 18	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 18.1	Describe your involvement in any projects to improve the voting trail and/or to obtain vote confirmation .
-----------------	--

N/A

LEA 18.2	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]
-----------------	------------------------------------

N/A

LEA 20	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 20.1	Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
 - Votes for selected markets
 - Votes relating to certain ESG issues
 - Votes for significant shareholdings
 - Votes for companies we are engaging with
 - On request by clients
 - Other
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 20.2	Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
 - Votes in selected markets
 - Votes on certain issues
 - Votes for significant shareholdings
 - Votes for companies we are engaging with
 - On request by clients
 - On request by companies
 - Other
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 21	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 21.1	For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	--

- We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 21.3	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We recently engaged an outside service provider to assist with the proxy voting, including tracking and reporting on our voting history. Going forward, we will be in the position to disclose our proxy activities more effectively.

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

LEA 22.1	Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.
-----------------	---

- Yes, we track this information
- No, we do not track this information

LEA 23	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 23.1	Indicate if your organisation directly or via a service provider filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

Yes

No

LEA 23.6	Describe whether your organisation reviews ESG shareholder resolutions filed by other investors.
-----------------	--

Yes, periodically.

LEA 23.7	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

We recently engaged an outside service provider to assist with the proxy voting, including tracking and reporting on our voting history. Going forward, we will be in the position to disclose our proxy activities more effectively.

Greentech Capital Advisors, LLC

Reported Information

Public version

Assurance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Assurance

CM1 01.1	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
----------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

CM1 01.1	Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:
----------	---

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	--

CM1 01.2	Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?
----------	--

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.3	We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report
----------	---

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.

CM1 01.4, 10-12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.4

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.6

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 01.6

Provide details of the third party assurance of RI related processes, and/or details of the internal audit conducted by internal auditors of RI related processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)

What RI processes have been assured

- Data related to RI activities
- RI policies
- RI related governance
- Engagement processes
- Proxy voting process
- Integration process in listed assets
- Thematic process in listed assets
- Other

Specify

N/A

Corresponding indicator number

N/A

When was the process assurance completed(dd/mm/yy)

12/31/2017

Assurance standard used

- IIA's International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing
- ISAE 3402
- ISO standard
- AAF 01/06
- SSE18
- AT 101 (excluding financial data)
- Other

Specify

KPMG conducts annual financial audits of the GCA Funds.

CM1 01.7

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 01.7

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)