



LEA 02	Disclosures	Monetary	Gateway	Principle
<p>By providing investors the ability to make informed decisions on investments for which they are responsible, investors can contribute to the achievement of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Paris Agreement. This is done through the use of their capital and influence as owners, managers and voters of companies.</p> <p>Investors should consider the impact of their investments on the environment, society and governance (ESG) factors, and the need to address these factors in their investment decisions.</p>	<p>LEA 02</p>	<p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	<p>Principle PRI 1, 2, 3</p>
		<p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify 	
		<p>Service provider engagements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company's material ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional or enhanced ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify 	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Pathfinder Asset Management

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
⚠	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		✓	-							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive, active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 14	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	✓	Public		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 16	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 18	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 20	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Assurance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							
CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.4, 10-12	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.5	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01.7	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Pathfinder Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the services and funds you offer

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products
- Other, specify

Further options for investment managers (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Execution and advisory services
- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

New Zealand

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

5.5

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

 Yes No**OO 04****Mandatory****Public****Gateway/Peering****General****OO 04.1**

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/03/2017

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM			125	000	000
Currency	NZD				
Assets in USD			85	787	580

OO 06**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General****New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.****OO 06.1**

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

 as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	45.3	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	54.67	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0

9

Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 10.1

Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

OO 11

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Commodities

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

- Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

- Closing module

Pathfinder Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change and related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)

Exclusions policy is in line with the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, 2003

- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

Acknowledges a range of controversial weapons legislation including Convention on Cluster Munitions, 2008, Cluster Munitions Prohibition Act (NZ) 2009 and the Ottawa Treaty (Mine Ban Treaty), 1997.

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

We take a long-term approach to investing. We believe that this will produce better risk weighted returns for our investors than focusing on a short-term horizon.

Given we are investing for the long term, we want to invest in a way that is consistent with our values as a fund manager. We want to avoid (or positively engage with) companies that cause harm to individuals, society or indeed the planet.

Considering all operational risks over a long-term horizon means investors must include Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors in their investment process. We believe that companies that score highly on ESG metrics will likely perform better than low scoring companies over long time periods. We'd like to reward companies that adopt and implement sound long term practices.

In short, we believe investing in a socially responsible manner will lead to better investment outcomes for our investors and better outcomes for society generally.

No

SG 02**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

{hyperlink:https://www..path.co.nz}

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy
- (Proxy) voting policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

{hyperlink:https://www..path.co.nz}

- Attachment
- Time horizon of your investment

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

{hyperlink:https://www..path.co.nz}

- Attachment
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available

- Yes

URL

{hyperlink:https://www..path.co.nz}

- No

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Conflicts of Interest, both real and perceived are taken very seriously by Pathfinder.

Running a best practice and ethical business requires that these situations are (a) identified and acknowledged and then (b) reviewed and debated. Specific action must be taken to ensure the best interests of investors, staff, shareholders and other stakeholders are protected.

Full disclosure is the primary defense against COI situations. Board members and staff are all individually responsible for identifying potential COI situations. Each is required to notify the Board of any potential situation where a CIO may arise.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

The CEO and CIO drive the responsible investment ethos which Pathfinder is built on. The CEO and CIO are involved in the day to day investment, and will actively exclude investments that they feel don't sit within the funds mandate. The analyst has been trained to look at financial and ESG data alongside each other during the entire investment process. The inhouse RI support (new to Pathfinder) has been tasked with taking a more in depth ESG look at investments and supporting Pathfinder to define a wider strategy around RI.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

1

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify RIAA

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

	Description
--	-------------

Pathfinder has provided training and RI education to clients, investment consultants and financial advisers

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Pathfinder has encouraged better transparency with clients, investment consultants, and financial advisers. This has included articles published in news media and industry websites, as well as conference presentations.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

Description

The Pathfinder CEO has spoken at the annual RIAA conference and numerous investment seminars on RI.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media

Description

Pathfinder writes both a monthly commentary on an industry website and a bi-weekly newspaper column on the benefits, common misconceptions and questions on responsible investment.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify
Historic risk events modeled using current portfolios. For example the impact of past significant environmental events on markets and asset values.
- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Communication

SG 19

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- Yes
- No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Details on the overall engagement strategy <input type="checkbox"/> Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals <input type="checkbox"/> Number of engagements undertaken <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by type/topic <input type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of engagements by region <input type="checkbox"/> An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Examples of engagement cases <input type="checkbox"/> Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured <input type="checkbox"/> Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Other information
Frequency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested
URL	{hyperlink:https://www.path.co.nz}

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Explain all voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Explain some voting decisions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Only explain abstentions and votes against management</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No explanations provided</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</p>

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to ESG incorporation</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used</p>
	<p>Frequency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested</p>

Pathfinder Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 01.1	Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)
-----------------	--

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies
- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied (+/- 5%)	 34
--	--------

- All three strategies combined

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied	 66
---	--------

- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities
--

100%

LEI 01.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.
-----------------	---

We believe investing in a socially responsible manner will lead to better investment outcomes for our investors and better outcomes for society generally.

Considering all operational risks over a long-term horizon includes reviewing Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors in their investment process.

We have chosen to avoid investing in some industries or companies that have significant ESG risks which we do not feel comfortable investing in for example Tobacco. Our thematic strategies are based on broader ESG issues that we feel will have a significant impact if not addressed for example the water fund.

LEI 01.3	If assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]
-----------------	---

For all listed equity, Pathfinder excludes companies with (a) revenue from armaments, gambling, tobacco, thermal coal or pornography and (b) high levels of current operational "controversy", and then prioritize companies with high environmental, social and governance scores.

In addition to this, for the Water Fund Pathfinder select listed water stocks from developed markets.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
-----------------	--

Type of screening

- Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

Description

We believe investing in a socially responsible manner will lead to better investment outcomes for our investors and better outcomes for society generally.

We have chosen to avoid investing in some industries or companies that have significant ESG risks which we do not feel comfortable investing in for example Tobacco, thermal coal and controversial weapons. Our thematic strategies are based on broader ESG issues that we feel will have a significant impact if not addressed for example the water fund.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening

Screened by

- UN Global Compact Principles
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- International Labour Organization Conventions
- United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Other, specify

WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (2003)

Description

Pathfinder have chosen to support the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (2003) whose aim is to "protect present and future generations from the devastating health, social, environmental and economic consequences of tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke". We therefore have chosen to exclude tobacco related investments from our portfolio.

LEI 04.2	Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.
----------	---

The screening criteria are established to reflect Pathfinder's investing values.

Pathfinder uses the NZ Super Fund exclusions list as a starting point for our exclusions list. This list is updated on an ad-hoc basis but is at least once per annum.

All changes are amended within our exclusions policy which is available on our website.

Investors are notified in our monthly reporting if screen criteria are changed.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.
----------	--

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions
- A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out
- Review and evaluation of external research providers
- Other, specify
- None of the above

LEI 05.2

Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to comprehensive ESG research as part your ESG screening strategy.

- <10%
- 10-50%
- 51-90%
- >90%

LEI 05.3

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings are updated for screening purposes.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

(B) Implementation: Thematic

LEI 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

LEI 07.1

Indicate the type of sustainability thematic funds or mandates your organisation manages.

- Environmentally themed funds
- Socially themed funds
- Combination of themes

LEI 07.2

Describe your organisation's processes relating to sustainability themed funds. [Optional]

Pathfinder have three responsible investment funds that focus on water, global property, and broader responsible investment.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues

LEI 08

Mandatory




Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1

LEI 08.1

Indicate which ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis and the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 <p>Environmental</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Social	 <p>Social</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p>
Corporate Governance	 <p>Corporate Governance</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 51-90% <input type="radio"/> >90% </p>

LEI 08.2 Additional information. [Optional]

ESG factors are research by both an external ESG service provider and our in-house team.

Pathfinder Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Engagement

Overview

LEA 01	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
----------	--

- Yes
 No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

LEA 02.1	Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	---

- Yes
- No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities.
----------	--

- Yes
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2	Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take during and following your engagements activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	---

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.3	Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
----------	---

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

LEA 04.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------	------------------------------------

We plan to formally monitor our engagements going forward.

Process for engagements conducted via collaborations

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 05.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements
----------	--

- Yes
- No

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.
----------	--

- Yes
- We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.

LEA 06.2	Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take during and following your collaborative engagements.
----------	---

- Yes
 - Yes, in all cases
 - Yes, in the majority of cases
 - Yes, in the minority of cases
- We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively

LEA 06.3	Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.
----------	---

- Define timelines for your objectives
- Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives
- Tracking and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met
- Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis
- Other, please specify
- We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff

Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers

LEA 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 07.1 Indicate if you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- Yes
- No

LEA 08 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2,6**

LEA 08.1 Do you monitor and review the outcomes of the engagement activities undertaken by your service providers on your behalf?

Please select all that apply

- Yes, periodically
- Yes, ad hoc basis
- We do not monitor or review them

General processes for all three groups of engagers

LEA 09 **Voluntary** **Public** **Additional Assessed** **PRI 1,2**

LEA 09.1 Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 10 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **PRI 2**

LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

LEA 15

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,2,3

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 15.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

Yes

LEA 15.2 | Indicate what your voting policy covers:

- Conflicts of interest
- Share blocking
- Securities lending process
- Prioritisation of voting activities
- Decision making processes
- Environmental factors
- Social factors
- Governance factors
- Filing/co-filing resolutions
- Extraordinary meetings
- Regional voting practices
- Transparency of proxy voting activities
- Company dialogue pre/post vote
- Other, describe
- None of the above

LEA 15.4 | Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

We undertake proxy voting as our broadest form of engagement and use a third-party organization (Glass Lewis) to support us with this. Our votes are conducted in the best interests of shareholders and our investors.

We aim to engage with management where we have concerns prior to the annual general meeting.

No

Process

LEA 16

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 2

LEA 16.1 | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

- We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based on

- the service provider voting policy signed off by us
- our own voting policy
- our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 16.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).

Our internal ESG specialist reviews all votes which have been pre-populated by our service provider. If a change is made, our specialist notifies the CEO and CIO.

LEA 20

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 20.1

Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases:
 - Votes for selected markets
 - Votes relating to certain ESG issues
 - Votes for significant shareholdings
 - Votes for companies we are engaging with
 - On request by clients
 - Other
- Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 20.2 Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- Sometimes, in the following cases.
 - Votes in selected markets
 - Votes on certain issues
 - Votes for significant shareholdings
 - Votes for companies we are engaging with
 - On request by clients
 - On request by companies
 - Other
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 21	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 21.1 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

82

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 21.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
 - Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
 - Missed deadline
 - Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
 - Cost
 - Conflicts of interest
 - Holdings deemed too small
 - Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
 - On request by clients
 - Other
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

LEA 22.1 Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information

LEA 22.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 70%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> % </div> <p>70</p>
Against (opposing) management recommendations	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 29%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> % </div> <p>29</p>
Abstentions	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 1%; height: 20px; background-color: #0072bc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> % </div> <p>1</p>

100%

LEA 22.3 Describe the actions you take in relation to voting against management recommendations.

We generally vote against management recommendations if the issue (usually ESG related) is particularly important to us or our stakeholders. For example, management recently voted against increased reporting and disclosure for sustainable packaging. We supported the proposal and voted against management as we believe it is up to the company to constantly improve on key issues like this.

No, we do not track this information

Pathfinder Asset Management

Reported Information

Public version

Assurance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Assurance

CM1 01.1	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
----------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

CM1 01.1	Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:
----------	---

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	--

CM1 01.2	Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?
----------	--

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.3	We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report
----------	---

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.

CM1 01.4, 10-12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-----------------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 01.4

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme

CM1 01.10

Which scheme?

- National SRI label based on the EUROSIF Transparency guidelines
- B-corporation
- UK Stewardship code
- GRESB
- Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- Social label
- Climate label
- RIAA

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
- 25-50 %
- 50-70 %
- >75 %

- Other

- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 01.7

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 01.7

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)